

STORAGE NAME: h0719.jo.doc
DATE: March 27, 2001

**HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
AS REVISED BY THE COMMITTEE ON
JUDICIAL OVERSIGHT
ANALYSIS**

BILL #: CS/HB 719
RELATING TO: Damage or destruction of agricultural products
SPONSOR(S): Committee on Agriculture & Consumer Affairs & Representative Stansel & others
TIED BILL(S): None

ORIGINATING COMMITTEE(S)/COUNCIL(S)/COMMITTEE(S) OF REFERENCE:

- (1) AGRICULTURE & CONSUMER AFFAIRS (CCC) YEAS 8 NAYS 0
 - (2) JUDICIAL OVERSIGHT
 - (3) CRIME PREVENTION, CORRECTIONS & SAFETY (HCC)
 - (4) COUNCIL FOR COMPETITIVE COMMERCE
 - (5)
-

I. SUMMARY:

CS/HB 719 creates a civil cause of action by a grower or producer of agricultural products against a person who willfully and knowingly destroys agricultural products belonging to the grower or producer. The grower or producer can recover damages equal to double the amount of the value of the product. The grower or producer can also recover the cost of any experimental product replication and compensatory and punitive damages. The bill also provides limits in the award of damages and provides for court costs and attorney's fees for the prevailing party.

In addition, CS/HB 719 makes trespassing upon agricultural sites, which are legally posted and identified as being used for research and testing purposes, a felony of the third degree.

This legislation has no fiscal impact. The effective date of this legislation is upon becoming law.

II. SUBSTANTIVE ANALYSIS:

A. DOES THE BILL SUPPORT THE FOLLOWING PRINCIPLES:

- | | | | |
|-----------------------------------|---|-----------------------------|---|
| 1. <u>Less Government</u> | Yes <input type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> | N/A <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| 2. <u>Lower Taxes</u> | Yes <input type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> | N/A <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| 3. <u>Individual Freedom</u> | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> | N/A <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 4. <u>Personal Responsibility</u> | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> | N/A <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 5. <u>Family Empowerment</u> | Yes <input type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> | N/A <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |

For any principle that received a "no" above, please explain:

B. PRESENT SITUATION:

In the past two to three years, there has been an increase in domestic terrorism by groups of citizens who vandalize or destroy property to further their causes. Such groups of environmental extremists have been labeled "eco-terrorists". Eco-terrorists often target the biotechnology industry, which is especially disturbing to the agricultural community. Because of the significant investment in agricultural research made by universities and technology companies, they have become prime targets for the destructive activities of these eco-terrorists.¹

Since 1998, various groups of eco-terrorists have damaged or destroyed numerous private and government properties throughout the United States where the group believed genetic engineering research was being conducted.² One eco-terrorist group alone is estimated to have caused more than \$37 million worth of property damage over a four-year period.³ Not only is there a loss of tangible property and crops when these attacks occur, but the greater loss is the value of the research being conducted.⁴

As of March 16, 2001, two states, California and Virginia, have passed anti-crop destruction legislation that allows a grower or producer to recover the cost of both the lost agricultural products and the research investment. Similar legislation has been introduced in sixteen other states.⁵ Florida presently has no such law.

Section 810.09, F.S., provides that if a trespasser willfully exposes crops to destruction, the offender commits a misdemeanor of the first degree. Presently, the following trespasses are a felony of the third degree:

- if the property trespassed is a construction site that is legally posted and identified in substantially the following manner: "THIS AREA IS A DESIGNATED CONSTRUCTION SITE, AND ANYONE WHO TRESPASSES ON THIS PROPERTY COMMITS A FELONY."⁶

¹ Kansas Senator Steve Morris, "Fighting the Wrong Fight", State Government News, February 2001.

² The eco-terrorists often mistakenly destroy crops that are not the result of bioengineering. The attacks also cause significant economic damage and disrupt other types of research.

³ From webpage: www.cbsnews.com "FBI Tracks Ecoterrorists"

⁴ Kansas Senator Steve Morris, "Fighting the Wrong Fight", State Government News, February 2001.

⁵ Information from Council of State Governments. The states with pending legislation include: Arizona, Arkansas, Florida, Hawaii, Iowa, Idaho, Kansas, Missouri, Montana, Nebraska, North Carolina, Oregon, Washington, West Virginia.

⁶ Section 810.09(2)(d), F.S.

- if the property trespassed upon is commercial horticulture property and the property is legally posted and identified in substantially the following manner: "THIS AREA IS DESIGNATED COMMERCIAL PROPERTY FOR HORTICULTURE PRODUCTS, AND ANYONE WHO TRESPASSES ON THIS PROPERTY COMMITS A FELONY."⁷

C. EFFECT OF PROPOSED CHANGES:

CS/HB 719 creates a civil cause of action by a grower or producer of agricultural products against a person who willfully and knowingly destroys agricultural products belonging to the grower or producer. The bill creates a new section 604.60, F.S., which provides that any private, public, or commercial agricultural grower or producer who grows or produces any agricultural product for personal, research, or commercial purposes or for testing or research purposes in a product development program conducted in conjunction or coordination with a private research facility, a university, or any federal, state, or local government agency who suffers damages as a result of another person's willful and knowing damage or destruction of any such agricultural product has a cause of action for damages.

Damages awarded under the new cause of action include an amount equal to double the amount of the value of the product damaged or destroyed and the cost of any experimental product replication. The court may also award compensatory and punitive damages. However, the total damages awarded is limited to twice the market value of the product prior to damage or destruction plus twice the actual damages involving production, research, testing, replacement, and product development costs directly related to the product that has been damaged or destroyed. The prevailing party is entitled to costs and reasonable attorney's fees.

CS/HB 719 creates section 810.09(f), F.S., which provides that a trespasser commits a felony of the third degree if the property trespassed upon is an agricultural site for testing or research purposes as described in s.604.60 that is legally posted and identified in substantially the following manner: "THIS AREA IS A DESIGNATED AGRICULTURAL SITE FOR TESTING OR RESEARCH PURPOSES, AND ANYONE WHO TRESPASSES ON THIS PROPERTY COMMITS A FELONY." A felony of the third degree is punishable by a term of imprisonment not exceeding 5 years, a fine not to exceed \$5000, or in the case of a habitual offender, a term of imprisonment not exceeding 10 years.

D. SECTION-BY-SECTION ANALYSIS:

Section 1: Creates s. 604.60, F.S., allowing any private or commercial agricultural grower or producer who grows or produces any agricultural product for personal, research or commercial purposes or for testing or research purposes in a product development program conducted in conjunction or coordination with a private research facility, a university, or any governmental agency who suffers damage as a result of another person's willful and knowing damage or destruction of such agricultural products to bring an action for damages for twice the value of the crop damaged or destroyed, as well as the cost of any experimental product replication; providing considerations and limits for awards of damages; and, providing for court costs and attorney's fees for the prevailing party.

Section 2: Amends s. 810.09, F.S., providing a third degree felony for offender trespassing on agricultural sites legally posted and identified as being used for research and testing purposes.

⁷ Section 810.09(2)(e), F.S.

Section 3: Reenacts sections 260.0125 and 810.011, F.S., updating the penalty structure referenced in s. 810.09, F.S.

Section 4: Provides the bill will take effect upon becoming law.

III. FISCAL ANALYSIS & ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT:

A. FISCAL IMPACT ON STATE GOVERNMENT:

1. Revenues:

None.

2. Expenditures:

None.

B. FISCAL IMPACT ON LOCAL GOVERNMENTS:

1. Revenues:

None.

2. Expenditures:

None.

C. DIRECT ECONOMIC IMPACT ON PRIVATE SECTOR:

Grower and producers of agricultural products will financially benefit from being able to recover an amount closer to their true economic loss if their crops or other agricultural products are willfully destroyed. Additionally, the increased availability of damages and the deterrence effect of the felony trespass penalty may prevent the willful destruction of crops and other agricultural products.

D. FISCAL COMMENTS:

N/A

IV. CONSEQUENCES OF ARTICLE VII, SECTION 18 OF THE FLORIDA CONSTITUTION:

A. APPLICABILITY OF THE MANDATES PROVISION:

This bill does not require counties or municipalities to spend funds or to take action requiring the expenditure of funds.

B. REDUCTION OF REVENUE RAISING AUTHORITY:

This bill does not reduce the authority that municipalities or counties have to raise revenue in the aggregate.

C. REDUCTION OF STATE TAX SHARED WITH COUNTIES AND MUNICIPALITIES:

This bill does not reduce any state tax shared with counties or municipalities.

V. COMMENTS:

A. CONSTITUTIONAL ISSUES:

None.

B. RULE-MAKING AUTHORITY:

None.

C. OTHER COMMENTS:

Section 604.15, F.S. defines "agricultural products" and "producer" for the purposes of ss.604.15-604.34, F.S. "Agricultural products" means the natural products of the farm, nursery, grove, orchard, vineyard, garden, and apiary (raw or manufactured); livestock; milk and milk products; poultry and poultry products; the fruit of the saw palmetto (meaning the fruit of the Serenoa repens); and limes (meaning the fruit Citrus aurantifolia, variety Persian, Tahiti, Bearss, or Florida Key limes) produced in the state, except tobacco, tropical foliage, sugarcane, and citrus other than limes. "Producer" means any producer of agricultural products produced in the state.

CS/HB 719 does not define these terms for purposes of new section 604.60, F.S.

New section 810.09(f), F.S., provides that the offender commits a felony if the property trespassed upon is an agricultural site for testing or research purposes "as described in s. 604.60." Since s. 604.60 describes a civil cause of action, rather than "an agricultural site", the purpose of the reference to s. 604.60 is unclear.

VI. AMENDMENTS OR COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTE CHANGES:

On March 14, 2001, the Committee on Agriculture and Consumer Affairs adopted one amendment and moved to make HB 719 a committee substitute. The amendment expanded the language to encompass agricultural products rather than just field crops. It also included the cost of any experimental product replication in damages to be recouped.

VII. SIGNATURES:

COMMITTEE ON AGRICULTURE & CONSUMER AFFAIRS:

Prepared by:

Staff Director:

Debbi Kaiser

Susan Reese

STORAGE NAME: h0719.jo.doc

DATE: March 27, 2001

PAGE: 6

AS REVISED BY THE COMMITTEE ON JUDICIAL OVERSIGHT:

Prepared by:

Staff Director:

Lynne Overton

Lynne Overton