### HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES COMMITTEE ON SECURITY, SELECT ANALYSIS

BILL #: CS/SB 22-C

**RELATING TO:** Public Records/Law Enforcement Information

**SPONSOR(S):** Senate Committee on Criminal Justice, Brown-Waite, and others

TIED BILL(S):

## ORIGINATING COMMITTEE(S)/COUNCIL(S)/COMMITTEE(S) OF REFERENCE:

- (2)
- (3)
- (4)
- (5)

## I. SUMMARY:

The bill amends section 119.07(3), F. S., to create an exemption for the period that information from a public record constitutes active criminal intelligence information or criminal investigative information of the following:

- The request for public records by a law enforcement agency for information related to an active investigation;
- The response from the custodial agency (i.e., the transmittal letter); and
- Any information that would identify the records that were requested or provided.

The requesting law enforcement agency must notify the custodial agency when the investigation for which the information was requested is no longer active.

As provided for in the Open Government Sunset Review Act of 1995, this exemption would stand repealed October 2, 2006, unless reviewed and saved from repeal through reenactment.

The bill does not appear to have a fiscal impact on state or local government.

The bill takes effect upon becoming law.

#### II. SUBSTANTIVE ANALYSIS:

### A. DOES THE BILL SUPPORT THE FOLLOWING PRINCIPLES:

1.	Less Government	Yes []	No []	N/A [X]
2.	Lower Taxes	Yes []	No []	N/A [X]
3.	Individual Freedom	Yes []	No []	N/A [X]
4.	Personal Responsibility	Yes []	No []	N/A [X]
5.	Family Empowerment	Yes []	No []	N/A [X]

For any principle that received a "no" above, please explain:

#### B. PRESENT SITUATION:

Public Records Law

Article I, s. 24(a), Florida Constitution, expresses Florida's public policy regarding access to government records as follows:

Every person has the right to inspect or copy any public records made or received in connection with the official business of any public body, officer, or employee of the state, or persons acting on their behalf, except with respect to records exempted pursuant to this section or specifically made confidential by this Constitution. This section specifically includes the legislative, executive, and judicial branches of government and each agency or department created there under; counties, municipalities, and districts; and each constitutional officer, board, and commission, or entity created pursuant to law or this Constitution.

Article I, s. 24(c), Florida Constitution, does, however, permit the Legislature to provide by general law for the exemption of records from the requirements of s. 24. The general law must state with specificity the public necessity justifying the exemption and must be no broader than necessary to accomplish its purpose.

Public policy regarding access to government records is also addressed in the Florida Statutes. Section 119.07(1)(a), F.S., provides:

Every person who has custody of a public record shall permit the record to be inspected and examined by any person desiring to do so, at a reasonable time, under reasonable conditions, and under supervision by the custodian of the public record or the custodian's designee.

Section 119.15, F.S., the Open Government Sunset Review Act of 1995, provides that an exemption may be created or maintained only if it serves an identifiable public purpose and may be no broader than is necessary to meet the public purpose it serves. An identifiable public purpose is served if the exemption meets one of the following purposes, and the Legislature finds that the purpose is sufficiently compelling to override the strong public policy of open government and cannot be accomplished without the exemption:

- 1. Allows the state or its political subdivisions to effectively and efficiently administer a governmental program, which administration would be significantly impaired without the exemption;
- 2. Protects information of a sensitive personal nature concerning individuals, the release of which information would be defamatory to such individuals or cause unwarranted damage to the good name or reputation of such individuals or would jeopardize the safety of such individuals. However, in exemptions under this subparagraph, only information that would identify the individuals may be exempted; or
- 3. Protects information of a confidential nature concerning entities, including, but not limited to, a formula, pattern, device, combination of devices, or compilation of information which is used to protect or further a business advantage over those who do not know or use it, the disclosure of which information would injure the affected entity in the marketplace.
- C. EFFECT OF PROPOSED CHANGES:

The bill creates an exemption in s. 119.07(3), F.S., for requests of a law enforcement agency to another agency for a public record related to criminal intelligence information or criminal investigative information while that investigation is active. The bill makes explicit that the request of the law enforcement agency, the response of another agency to such a request, and any information that would identify the records requested or provided is to be exempt from public disclosure for the period the public record constitutes criminal intelligence information or criminal investigative information.

As provided for in the Open Government Sunset Review Act of 1995, this exemption would stand repealed October 2, 2006, unless reviewed and saved from repeal through reenactment.

The bill includes a legislative statement of public necessity for the expansion of this public record exemption.

The effective date of the bill is upon becoming law.

D. SECTION-BY-SECTION ANALYSIS:

This section need be completed only in the discretion of the Committee.

# III. FISCAL ANALYSIS & ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT:

# A. FISCAL IMPACT ON STATE GOVERNMENT:

1. <u>Revenues</u>:

N/A

2. Expenditures:

N/A

- B. FISCAL IMPACT ON LOCAL GOVERNMENTS:
  - 1. <u>Revenues</u>:

N/A

2. Expenditures:

N/A

C. DIRECT ECONOMIC IMPACT ON PRIVATE SECTOR:

N/A

D. FISCAL COMMENTS:

N/A

### IV. CONSEQUENCES OF ARTICLE VII, SECTION 18 OF THE FLORIDA CONSTITUTION:

A. APPLICABILITY OF THE MANDATES PROVISION:

The bill does not require counties or municipalities to spend funds or to take action requiring the expenditure of funds.

B. REDUCTION OF REVENUE RAISING AUTHORITY:

The bill does not reduce the authority that counties or municipalities have to raise revenues in the aggregate.

C. REDUCTION OF STATE TAX SHARED WITH COUNTIES AND MUNICIPALITIES:

The bill does not reduce the percentage of a state tax shared with counties or municipalities.

#### V. <u>COMMENTS</u>:

A. CONSTITUTIONAL ISSUES:

None.

B. RULE-MAKING AUTHORITY:

None.

C. OTHER COMMENTS:

### Legislative History

This issue originated as House Bill 133-B, which was reported favorably with one amendment by the Select Committee on Security on October 29, 2001. The amendment was adopted and the bill passed the House on a 116-2 vote on October 30, 2001. It died in Senate Messages on November 1, 2001. Its companion, Senate Bill 70-B, died on the Senate calendar at the expiration of 2001 Special Session B.

STORAGE NAME: s0022Cs1a.sec.doc DATE: December 4, 2001 PAGE: 5

# VI. AMENDMENTS OR COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTE CHANGES:

N/A

VII. <u>SIGNATURES</u>:

COMMITTEE ON SECURITY, SELECT:

Prepared by:

Staff Director:

David M. Greenbaum

Thomas Randle/Richard Hixson