

**STORAGE NAME:** h1387a.sa.doc  
**DATE:** February 21, 2002

**HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
AS REVISED BY THE COMMITTEE ON  
STATE ADMINISTRATION  
ANALYSIS**

**BILL #:** HB 1387  
**RELATING TO:** Transportation authorities  
**SPONSOR(S):** Representative(s) Russell

**TIED BILL(S):**

**ORIGINATING COMMITTEE(S)/COUNCIL(S)/COMMITTEE(S) OF REFERENCE:**

- (1) TRANSPORTATION YEAS 12 NAYS 0
- (2) STATE ADMINISTRATION YEAS 3 NAYS 0
- (3) FISCAL POLICY & RESOURCES
- (4) COUNCIL FOR READY INFRASTRUCTURE
- (5)

---

I. SUMMARY:

Nine expressway authorities have been specifically created in Florida law, although several other local road or bridge authorities operate under the general auspices of Part I of Chapter 348, F.S. The extent of the powers and duties of each expressway authority is slightly different, but they are guided in principle by the provisions of Chapter 348.

This bill makes a number of statutory changes related to expressway authorities. The bill:

- Doubles the fines for speeding through toll-collection plazas;
- Allows the Tampa-Hillsborough County Expressway Authority to spend its bond proceeds on improvements to its toll-collection facilities, interchanges to the legislatively approved system, and other appurtenant facility;
- Allows the Miami-Dade County Commission to set terms of office and other criteria for the members of the Miami-Dade County Expressway Authority; and
- Allows expressway authorities to establish escrow accounts that can be accessed by the Department of Environmental Protection and the water management districts to pay for wetlands mitigation associated with expressway projects.

This bill has an indeterminate, but likely minimal, impact on state finances. This bill takes effect July 1, 2002.

**The House Transportation Committee adopted without objection three amendments which are traveling with the bill. For details, please see "VI. AMENDMENTS OR COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTE CHANGES".**

THIS DOCUMENT IS NOT INTENDED TO BE USED FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSTRUING STATUTES, OR TO BE CONSTRUED AS AFFECTING, DEFINING, LIMITING, CONTROLLING, SPECIFYING, CLARIFYING, OR MODIFYING ANY LEGISLATION OR STATUTE.

II. SUBSTANTIVE ANALYSIS:

A. DOES THE BILL SUPPORT THE FOLLOWING PRINCIPLES:

- |                                   |                              |                             |   |
|-----------------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------------|---|
| 1. <u>Less Government</u>         | Yes <input type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> | N/A <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| 2. <u>Lower Taxes</u>             | Yes <input type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> | N/A <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| 3. <u>Individual Freedom</u>      | Yes <input type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> | N/A <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| 4. <u>Personal Responsibility</u> | Yes <input type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> | N/A <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| 5. <u>Family Empowerment</u>      | Yes <input type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> | N/A <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |

For any principle that received a "no" above, please explain:

B. PRESENT SITUATION:

Because of the wide-ranging nature of the changes in this bill, the "Present Situation" relating to each issue is set out in the "Section-By-Section Analysis."

C. EFFECT OF PROPOSED CHANGES:

Because of the wide-ranging nature of the changes in this bill, the "Effect of Proposed Changes" relating to each issue is set out in the "Section-By-Section Analysis."

D. SECTION-BY-SECTION ANALYSIS:

**Section 1: Penalties for speeding through a toll plaza**

Present Situation:

Section 318.14, F.S., explains what constitutes a noncriminal traffic infraction, and the procedures to be followed by motorists who are cited by law enforcement officers for traffic infractions. Typical noncriminal traffic infractions include speeding and other violations of chapter 316, F.S., (the Uniform Traffic Code), as well as driving with an expired license, vehicle tag, or vehicle registration.

The state fines for exceeding the posted speed limit, pursuant to s. 318.18(3)(b), F.S., are staggered, based on how many miles per hour over the speed limit a motorist is driving. For driving 6 to 9 miles per hour over the speed limit, the fine is \$25; motorists driving at least 30 miles per hour over the speed limit are fined \$250.

Speeding through toll plazas is a problem on all the expressways in Florida, but appears to be of particular concern to the Orlando-Orange County Expressway (OOCEA) and its customers. The OOCEA board recently approved a policy aimed at slowing down E-PASS customers who chronically speed through toll plazas. Beginning in November, E-PASS customers who routinely exceed the 35 mph speed limit will be issued courtesy letters encouraging them to slow down. The success of the courtesy letter program will be reviewed in nine months' time. If the number of speeders has not been reduced, a tougher approach involving the suspension and revocation of E-PASS transponders will be initiated.

OOCEA based its policy on a recent survey that indicated most expressway customers are concerned about safety at toll plazas. Speed is listed as one of the contributing factors for most accidents at expressway toll plazas.

Effect of Proposed Changes:

Section 318.18(3), F.S., is amended to double the fines for speeding in a toll collection zone. The new provision also directs the governmental entity or authority that controls the toll facility has to erect DOT-approved signs warning that speeding fines will be doubled. The fines cannot be doubled unless the appropriate signs have been installed.

**Section 2: Miami-Dade County Expressway Authority**

Present Situation:

Chapter 348, F.S., deals with the creation and regulation of expressway authorities. Part I of the chapter, created by the Legislature in 1990, specifies the process for a county or counties to create and operate an expressway authority, including appointment of members. Parts II through IX refer to specific expressway authorities that were legislatively created. But other than the requirement that all the voting members of an authority must live in the county served by the expressway, no other qualifications for authority members are listed in statute.

Effect of Proposed Changes:

The bill amends s. 348.003(2)(d), F.S., to give a charter county, as defined by s. 125.011(1), F.S., the authority to establish qualifications, terms of office, and the obligations and rights of appointees to an expressway authority within its jurisdiction. Although there are several charter counties in Florida, only Miami-Dade County meets all of the conditions relevant to the section being amended. So, only the Dade County Expressway Authority will be impacted by the law change.

**Section 3: Tampa-Hillsborough County Expressway Authority**

Present Situation:

The Tampa-Hillsborough County Expressway Authority, created pursuant to Part IV of chapter 348, F.S., contracts with the state Division of Bond Finance to issue bonds for its legislatively approved projects. Section 348.56(1), F.S., specifies the proceeds of these bonds shall be used to build, reconstruct, improve, extend, repair, maintain, and operate the expressway system, to acquire land for the system, pay interest on the bonds, establish a reserve account, and pay "all other expenditures of the authority incident to and necessary or convenient to carry out its corporate purposes and powers."

However, some question has been raised as to whether proceeds of bonds issued on behalf of the Tampa-Hillsborough County Expressway Authority may be used to improve its toll collection plazas and other facilities related to the system.

Effect of Proposed Changes

HB 1387 creates s. 348.545, F.S., to allow the Tampa-Hillsborough County Expressway Authority to spend bond proceeds to improve toll collection facilities, interchanges to legislatively approved projects, and other related facilities in the expressway system.

**Section 4: Wetlands Mitigation Requirements for expressway and bridge authorities**

Current Situation:

Many DOT projects involve the dredging and filling of wetlands, Florida's environmental "kidneys" that filter surface water runoff before it is absorbed into the ground, help hold floodwaters, and provide natural habitat. Since the 1970s, the state's environmental agencies have required "mitigation" for damage done to wetlands by human development. Originally, this mitigation was either done on-site, or adjacent to the damaged area, by trying to create or restore a wetland area, or to leave existing green space untouched. But a wealth of biological studies in the early 1990s

indicted that this piece-meal, project-by-project approach to mitigation was largely unsuccessful in restoring an ecosystem. Florida and other states began developing regional or basin approaches to mitigating for wetlands damage.

In 1996 the Legislature created s. 373.4137, F.S., detailing a process by which DOT could pay a per-acre sum of money to the Department of Environmental Protection (DEP) and the water management districts (WMDs) for their staffs to perform basin-wide mitigation to offset the adverse environmental impacts of road projects. Currently, DOT, DEP and the WMDs match up transportation projects with wetlands impacts, and develop environmental impact inventories for each WMD region of the state. Based on a current \$80,000 per acre of impact cost, DOT makes quarterly deposits in a special escrow account within the State Transportation Trust Fund, and DEP can withdraw funds from it to pay for the mitigation projects within the basins overseen by each WMD. Much of the funds have been spent over the years to acquire and preserve lands from future development.

Effect of Proposed Changes:

Section 373.4137, F.S., is amended throughout to allow expressway authorities to utilize the process developed for DOT to pay mitigation funds into escrow accounts, managed by DEP, which finance WMD mitigation projects to offset the adverse environmental impacts of expressway projects.

**Section 5:** Provides this act shall take effect July 1, 2002.

III. FISCAL ANALYSIS & ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT:

A. FISCAL IMPACT ON STATE GOVERNMENT:

1. Revenues:

HB 1387 generates no revenues, per se, for state agencies, but the Department of Environmental Protection could, under Section 4 of the bill be able to access expressway authority escrow accounts to finance wetlands mitigation for expressway projects.

2. Expenditures:

None.

B. FISCAL IMPACT ON LOCAL GOVERNMENTS:

1. Revenues:

Indeterminate. Initially, E-pass speeders will be issued courtesy letters, not fines in the event that this procedure is effective in slowing down traffic, fines may not be collected. If collected, the additional revenue generated by doubling the fines for motorists speeding through toll-collection plazas, under Section 1 of the bill, will go to the county or city whose law-enforcement officer wrote the citation. It is unknown at this time how much revenue potentially could be generated.

Also, the water management districts under Section 4 of the bill will be able to access expressway authority escrow accounts, if established, to finance wetlands mitigation for expressway projects.

2. Expenditures:

None.

C. DIRECT ECONOMIC IMPACT ON PRIVATE SECTOR:

None.

D. FISCAL COMMENTS:

None.

IV. CONSEQUENCES OF ARTICLE VII, SECTION 18 OF THE FLORIDA CONSTITUTION:

A. APPLICABILITY OF THE MANDATES PROVISION:

The mandates provision is not applicable to an analysis of HB 1387 because the bill does not require cities or counties to expend funds, or to take actions requiring the expenditure of funds.

B. REDUCTION OF REVENUE RAISING AUTHORITY:

HB 1387 does not reduce the revenue-raising authority of counties or municipalities

C. REDUCTION OF STATE TAX SHARED WITH COUNTIES AND MUNICIPALITIES:

HB 1387 does not reduce the state tax revenues shared with counties or municipalities

V. COMMENTS:

A. CONSTITUTIONAL ISSUES:

HB 1387 raises no apparent constitutional issues.

B. RULE-MAKING AUTHORITY:

The expressway authorities appear to have sufficient existing rulemaking authority to implement the various provisions of HB 1387.

C. OTHER COMMENTS:

None.

VI. AMENDMENTS OR COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTE CHANGES:

At its February 13, 2002, meeting, the House Transportation Committee adopted without objection three amendments offered by the HB 1387's sponsor. A brief synopsis of the amendments follows:

Amendment #1: Allows DOT, the expressway authority, and "law enforcement with proper jurisdiction," to move vehicles, involved in accidents at toll plazas where no one was injured, away from the toll lanes so as to keep traffic flowing.

Amendment #2: Allows expressway authorities to acquire less-than-fee interests in land, and to have reasonable access to private property in order to, for example, reach their facilities and perform surveys and maintenance.

Amendment #3: Adds the Leroy Selmon Crosstown Expressway to I-4 connector to the statutorily approved list of Tampa-Hillsborough County Expressway Authority projects.

The committee then voted in favor of the bill, 12-0. At the sponsor's request, the amendments are traveling separately.

VII. SIGNATURES:

COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION :

Prepared by:

Joyce Pugh

Staff Director:

Phillip B. Miller

AS REVISED BY THE COMMITTEE ON STATE ADMINISTRATION:

Prepared by:

Gip Arthur

Staff Director:

J. Marleen Ahearn, Ph.D., J.D.