HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES AS REVISED BY THE COMMITTEE ON CRIME PREVENTION, CORRECTIONS & SAFETY ANALYSIS

- BILL #: HB 409
- **RELATING TO:** DOC/Criminal Investigations
- **SPONSOR(S):** Representative(s) Bilirakis
- TIED BILL(S): None

ORIGINATING COMMITTEE(S)/COUNCIL(S)/COMMITTEE(S) OF REFERENCE:

- (1) STATE ADMINISTRATION YEAS 5 NAYS 0
- (2) CRIME PREVENTION, CORRECTIONS & SAFETY YEAS 8 NAYS 0
- (3) COUNCIL FOR SMARTER GOVERNMENT
- (4)
- (5)

I. <u>SUMMARY</u>:

THIS DOCUMENT IS NOT INTENDED TO BE USED FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSTRUING STATUTES, OR TO BE CONSTRUED AS AFFECTING, DEFINING, LIMITING, CONTROLLING, SPECIFYING, CLARIFYING, OR MODIFYING ANY LEGISLATION OR STATUTE.

House Bill 409 amends the statute describing the powers and duties of the Office of the Inspector General in the Department of Corrections (DOC). The Secretary of the DOC would be permitted to designate persons within the Inspector General's Office as law enforcement officers. A designee would have to be a law enforcement officer who holds law enforcement certification from the Criminal Justice Standards and Training Commission, and he or she must have 3 years of experience as a law enforcement officer or as an inspector in the inspector general's office. Such a designation by the Secretary would empower designees to arrest persons for law violations uncovered in criminal investigations related to DOC operations.

The bill also provides that the DOC will maintain a Memorandum of Understanding with the Department of Law Enforcement (FDLE) for purposes of notifying FDLE of certain serious incidents and providing for investigation of the incident by FDLE.

The bill also changes the reporting requirements for use of force incidents to require that DOC employees who use force against an inmate must prepare and sign a report within one day of the incident, and requires review of the report by the inspector general with additional investigation if appropriate.

NOTE: There is one amendment traveling with the bill.

II. SUBSTANTIVE ANALYSIS:

A. DOES THE BILL SUPPORT THE FOLLOWING PRINCIPLES:

1.	Less Government	Yes []	No []	N/A [X]
2.	Lower Taxes	Yes []	No []	N/A [X]
3.	Individual Freedom	Yes []	No []	N/A [X]
4.	Personal Responsibility	Yes []	No []	N/A [X]
5.	Family Empowerment	Yes []	No []	N/A [X]

For any principle that received a "no" above, please explain:

B. PRESENT SITUATION:

Inspector General and Inspectors of the Department of Corrections

Chapter 944, F.S., pertains to the state correctional system and the responsibilities of the Department of Corrections (DOC). The DOC is responsible for the security of the correctional institutions and facilities, and the Secretary of the DOC must execute specific responsibilities in order to maintain secure facilities.¹

Section 944.31, F.S., outlines the powers and duties of the DOC's Inspector General. The Office of the Inspector General is responsible for prison inspection and investigation, internal affairs investigations, and management reviews. Each correctional institution, or any place in which state prisoners are housed or worked, is subject to inspection, and such facilities are inspected for cleanliness, sanitation, safety and comfort, quality of the bedding, diversity of the food, the number of prisoners, and the overall condition of the facility. The Office of the Inspector General coordinates and supervises the work of inspectors throughout the state. Inspectors are allowed to enter any facility at any time, and are permitted to consult and confer with any prisoner privately and without molestation during their inspections.

The Inspector General and inspectors are additionally responsible for criminal and administrative investigations² of matters relating to the DOC, including conduct of employees, inmates, and visitors. *Currently, the department's inspectors conduct criminal investigations up to the point of arrest*, pursuant to s. 944.31, F.S. When an inspector determines that there is probable cause to arrest, he or she may detain the suspect, but has to request a law enforcement agency to make the arrest. The inspector is only authorized to detain persons on property owned or leased by the DOC, and the detained person must be surrendered without delay to the sheriff of the county in which the detention occurs. A formal complaint must be subsequently filed against the detainee.³

¹ These responsibilities include appointing a security review committee, establishing a periodic schedule for the physical inspection of buildings and structures to determine security deficiencies, conducting announced and unannounced comprehensive security audits of all state and private correctional institutions, and adopting and enforcing minimum security standards and policies. Section 944.151, F.S.

² An "administrative investigation" means any allegation where an employee has violated any rule, policy, or procedure that was not a violation of a criminal statute but could result in disciplinary action being taken against the employee. This definition was provided to State Administration committee staff by the staff of the Department of Corrections' Office of Legislative Affairs on January 17, 2002. ³ Section 944.31, F.S.

STORAGE NAME: h0409a.cpcs.doc DATE: February 7, 2002 PAGE: 3

The DOC has 97 inspectors located throughout the state. These inspectors are all certified as correctional officers. Forty of the 97 inspectors are already certified law enforcement officers pursuant to s. 943.1395, F.S., although they have no authority to act as such on behalf of the DOC. A certified correctional officer must complete an additional 286 hours of law enforcement training to be eligible for certification as a law enforcement officer.

Authorized Use of Force

Section 944.35, F.S., discusses when it is appropriate for an employee of the DOC to apply physical force upon an inmate or an offender supervised by the DOC.⁴ Any employee of the DOC who either applies physical force or was responsible for making the decision to apply physical force upon an inmate or an offender, must prepare, date, and sign an independent report within five working days of the incident. The report must be delivered to the warden (in the case of inmates) or the regional administrator (in the case of an offender supervised by the department in the community). The warden or regional administrator must then conduct an investigation and ultimately disapprove or approve of the force used. The employee's report, together with the results of the investigation, must then be forwarded to the regional director either concurs or disapproves of the warden's or regional administrator's evaluation, and then places a copy of his or her own review in the files of the inmate or offender. A notation of the incident is also kept in the file of the DOC employee. By administrative rule, the department requires review of use of force is found to be inappropriate.

Memorandum of Understanding

There is a Memorandum of Understanding (Memorandum) between the Florida Department of Law Enforcement (FDLE) and the DOC regarding the allocation of responsibility when certain events occur at state correctional facilities.⁵ The underlying principle behind this Memorandum is that the DOC is responsible for notifying FDLE under particular circumstances. The Memorandum provides for two distinct categories: (a) mandatory notification and FDLE response, and (b) mandatory notification and discretionary FDLE response/involvement.

Mandatory Notification and FDLE Response

If any of the following events occur, the Inspector General of the DOC must ensure that the proper FDLE contact person is notified, and investigative involvement and forensic assistance *must* be initiated by FDLE:

- The homicide, suicide, shooting death, or suspicious death of (a) an inmate while in the care, custody, or control of the DOC, or (b) a correctional staff member, or any other person, while on institutional property, or in connection with the care, custody, or control by DOC staff over inmates while off institutional property.
- The infliction of life-threatening injuries in which death is imminent or likely upon an inmate, a member of correctional staff, or any non-inmate, as a result of a physical

⁴ This can only lawfully occur when and to the extent it reasonably appears necessary. For example, an employee may apply physical force to defend himself or herself, to prevent a person from escaping a state institution when such person is being lawfully detained, to prevent damage to property, to administer medical treatment, or when medical treatment is necessary to protect the health of any other persons.

⁵ There is currently no statutory provision authorizing such an agreement.

confrontation between correctional staff and one or more inmates, while on institutional property or when otherwise under the control of the DOC.

Mandatory Notification and Discretionary FDLE Response/Involvement

The primary difference between this category and "mandatory notification and FDLE response" is that under this category, *FDLE may waive involvement in any instance where FDLE finds that assistance is unnecessary.* If any of the following events occur, the Inspector General of the DOC must ensure that the proper FDLE contact person is notified, and FDLE must *review* the provided information to determine whether FDLE investigative or forensic involvement is necessary.

- The infliction of life-threatening injuries in which death is imminent or likely upon an inmate as a consequence of a physical confrontation between inmates, while on institutional property or when otherwise under the control of the DOC. *This differs from the prior category in that this event involves confrontation between two inmates.*
- The receipt by the Inspector General of the DOC of a credible complaint or significant evidence of the occurrence or existence of major organized criminal activity involving inmates or correctional staff at one or more institutions.

The remainder of the Memorandum deals with the logistics of implementing the notification and response procedures. For example, the ranking DOC institutional supervisor or inspector must ensure that a secure crime scene perimeter is maintained until proper authorities arrive; the State Attorney must be notified as soon as reasonably possible after FDLE is notified; and if FDLE should decide not to assist the DOC, the Inspector General of the DOC and the Executive Office of the Governor's Chief Inspector General must be notified.

If FDLE is to provide assistance, FDLE assumes operational direction of both the investigation and any forensic assistance, yet FDLE may request one or more inspectors assigned by the DOC to act as liaisons. The DOC is to retain overall direction and responsibility for any internal or administrative investigations; however, the Memorandum clearly specifies that any criminal investigative efforts take precedence over any internal or administrative investigations conducted by the DOC.

This memorandum represents an agreement between FDLE and the DOC, and any change or amendment to the agreement must be in writing and signed by authorized personnel.⁶

C. EFFECT OF PROPOSED CHANGES

Inspector General and Inspectors of the Department of Corrections

HB 409 permits the Secretary of the DOC to designate persons within the Office of the Inspector General as certified law enforcement officers for the purpose of conducting criminal investigations that either occur on property owned or leased by the department, or that involve matters over which the DOC has jurisdiction.⁷ These law enforcement officers must be certified pursuant to

⁶ Memorandum of Understanding between the Florida Department of Law Enforcement and the Department of Corrections. The Memorandum took effect on April 10, 2000.

⁷ Section 945.025, F.S. The department has jurisdiction over inmates, buildings, grounds, property, and all other matters pertaining to facilities and programs for the imprisonment, correction, and rehabilitation of adult offenders. This bill would therefore allow certified inspectors to arrest offenders who have escaped or absconded and are no longer on department property.

STORAGE NAME: h0409a.cpcs.doc DATE: February 7, 2002 PAGE: 5

s. 943.1395, F.S.,⁸ and must have a minimum of three years experience as an inspector or as a law enforcement officer. *Current law does not require inspectors to be certified law enforcement officers.* In fact, if an individual is hired by the DOC as an inspector, and was certified as a law enforcement officer in a previous professional position, this individual would currently lose his or her certification when working for the DOC because the DOC does not provide any recertification options.

HB 409 provides that the certified law enforcement officers have the authority to arrest, without a warrant, any person for a violation of the criminal laws of the state involving any offense classified as a felony under Chapters 893 or 944, F.S. HB 409 does not authorize the law enforcement officers to arrest any person for any violations classified as a misdemeanor.⁹ This bill further allows persons designated as law enforcement officers to make arrests of persons against whom arrest warrants have been issued, including arrests of offenders who have escaped from custody. The bill *implies* that these arrests of persons against whom warrants have been issued must occur on DOC property or must involve matters over which the DOC has jurisdiction; however, an argument could be made to the contrary.

Current law provides that inspectors may consult and confer with any prisoner or staff member privately during investigations, and may detain any person for violation of any criminal laws. The language of HB 409 still permits inspectors, not certified as law enforcement officers, to consult and confer with any prisoner or staff member, yet *HB 409 removes the authority of inspectors who are not certified law enforcement officers to detain any individual.*

Authorized Use of Force

The bill amends s. 944.35(2), F.S., to change the required time frames and process for reporting the use of physical force by a DOC employee against an inmate or an offender supervised by the department in the community. The time for the employee to file a written report is reduced from five days to one day. The completed reports will then be forwarded, through the warden or circuit administrator,¹⁰ to the Office of Inspector General. HB 409 does not specify the time frame within which the warden or circuit court administrator must forward the report to the Office of the Inspector General. The inspector general shall conduct a review of each use-of-force incident, rather than the warden or regional administrator, and the inspector general will make recommendations regarding the appropriateness or inappropriateness of the applied force. If the inspector general finds the use of force was appropriate, he shall indicate as such in writing, and shall forward his written determination to the warden or circuit administrator. If the inspector general finds the use of force to be inappropriate, he shall conduct a complete investigation into the incident and forward the findings of fact to the appropriate regional director for further action.

Essentially, HB 409 changes the current requirement that the warden or regional administrator complete an investigation on the use of force, instead requiring the Office of the Inspector General

⁸ Section 943.1395, F.S., deals with the certification for employment or appointment of a law enforcement officer. The Criminal Justice Standards and Training Commission exists within the Department of Law Enforcement, and is responsible for certifying individuals as law enforcement officers.

⁹ Pursuant to conference between the Secretary of the Department of Corrections and State Administration committee staff, the department expressly desires that the certified law enforcement officers have the authority to arrest persons for only those crimes classified as a felony. January 9, 2002.

¹⁰ The circuit administrator differs from the regional administrator in that there are 20 circuit administrators in the State of Florida and only 4 regional administrators. There is one circuit administrator for each circuit court division in the State of Florida, for the circuit administrator works closely with the circuit court. Pursuant to a telephone conversation with staff of the Department of Correction's Office of Legislative Affairs and State Administration committee staff, January 17, 2002.

STORAGE NAME: h0409a.cpcs.doc DATE: February 7, 2002 PAGE: 6

to conduct such an investigation.¹¹ The Secretary of the DOC believes that requiring the Office of the Inspector General to conduct these investigations provides more of an independent review.¹²

Memorandum of Understanding

HB 409 amends s. 944.31, F.S., to require the department to maintain a Memorandum of Understanding with FDLE for purposes of notifying FDLE and providing for the investigation of certain serious incidents as agreed upon between the departments. The bill provides that the Memorandum must include, *but is not limited to*, reporting and investigation of suspicious deaths and major organized criminal activity. The departments currently have such an agreement, and the bill codifies and mandates the practice.

D. SECTION-BY-SECTION ANALYSIS:

See "Effect of Proposed Changes."

- III. FISCAL ANALYSIS & ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT:
 - A. FISCAL IMPACT ON STATE GOVERNMENT:
 - 1. <u>Revenues</u>:

None.

2. Expenditures:

Forty of the 97 inspectors are already certified law enforcement officers. It would cost approximately \$36,000 to certify the remaining 57 inspectors. All prison inspectors are currently classified as special risk state employees, so there would be no additional impact on benefits and retirement.¹³ The DOC has stated that it can cover the cost of the certification of these 57 inspectors with existing agency resources, and no additional funds are necessary to maintain the certification of the other 40 inspectors.¹⁴

- B. FISCAL IMPACT ON LOCAL GOVERNMENTS:
 - 1. <u>Revenues</u>:

None.

2. Expenditures:

None.

C. DIRECT ECONOMIC IMPACT ON PRIVATE SECTOR:

None.

¹¹ The regional administrator and regional director are employees of the Department of Corrections.

¹² Pursuant to conference between the Secretary of the Department of Corrections and State Administration committee staff on January 9, 2002.

¹³ CS/SB 408, Senate Staff Analysis and Economic Impact Statement. Criminal Justice Committee and Senator Crist. December 4, 2001.

¹⁴ Pursuant to a telephone conversation between staff of the Department of Correction's Office of Legislative Affairs and State Administration committee staff, January 15, 2002.

D. FISCAL COMMENTS:

The DOC stated that certifying inspectors as law enforcement officers will not require an increase in salary for such individuals.¹⁵ However, it is possible that by requiring higher qualifications for inspectors, these individuals may try to negotiate higher pay.

IV. CONSEQUENCES OF ARTICLE VII, SECTION 18 OF THE FLORIDA CONSTITUTION:

A. APPLICABILITY OF THE MANDATES PROVISION:

This bill does not require counties or municipalities to spend funds or to take action requiring the expenditure of funds.

B. REDUCTION OF REVENUE RAISING AUTHORITY:

This bill does not reduce the authority that counties or municipalities have to raise revenues in the aggregate.

C. REDUCTION OF STATE TAX SHARED WITH COUNTIES AND MUNICIPALITIES:

This bill does not reduce the percentage of a state tax shared with counties or municipalities.

V. <u>COMMENTS</u>:

A. CONSTITUTIONAL ISSUES:

None.

B. RULE-MAKING AUTHORITY:

None.

C. OTHER COMMENTS:

The Florida Police Chiefs Association wrote a resolution supporting this legislation.¹⁶

The Florida Sheriffs Association, in a later dated March 1, 2001, support this legislation. "We believe this authority extended to designated DC staff will enhance the investigative work they do as well as allow them to become a more professional and productive member of the criminal justice system, which benefits us both."¹⁷

HB 1457 and SB 1708 were proposed during the 2001 legislative session and contained similar language to that contained in HB 409. HB 1457 died in the Committee on Crime Prevention, Corrections, and Safety on May 4, 2001, and SB 1708 died in messages on May 4, 2001.

¹⁵ Pursuant to conference between the Secretary of the Department of Corrections and State Administration committee staff on January 9, 2002.

 ¹⁶ The Florida Police Chiefs Association Resolution 2001-02, adopted January 14, 2001, by the Board of Directors of the Florida
Police Chiefs Association. A copy of the resolution was provided by the Department of Correction's Legislative Affairs Office.
¹⁷ Letter addressed to Michael W. Moore, Secretary of the Department of Corrections, March 1, 2001, signed by J.M. "Buddy"
Phillips, Executive Director of the Florida Sheriffs Association. A copy of the letter was provided by the Department of Correction's Legislative Affairs Office.

Legislative Affairs Office.

The following concerns were expressed by staff of the State Administration committee: "HB 409 limits the responsibilities of inspectors who are certified as law enforcement officers to conduct only criminal investigations. The Florida Statutes require that inspectors conduct both administrative and criminal investigations. Pursuant to a conference with the Secretary of the DOC, the DOC wants *all inspectors to be certified law enforcement officers*.¹⁸ If this occurs, it is unclear from the language of HB 409 which inspectors will be responsible for conducting administrative investigations¹⁹, since this bill strictly limits inspectors certified as law enforcement officers to conduct only criminal investigations."

"Concerns may arise that the Sheriffs' Offices will no longer be conducting certain investigations, and instead such investigations will become the responsibility of the DOC. Concerns may also arise regarding the Memorandum of Understanding between the DOC and FDLE, as this bill does not specify what the Memorandum must contain."

VI. AMENDMENTS OR COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTE CHANGES:

On January 30, 2002, the Committee on State Administration adopted one amendment. The amendment differentiates between the arrests of prisoners and visitors at state correctional institutions, and the arrests of staff members working at such correctional institutions. The amendment provides that an inspector, certified as a law enforcement officer, may arrest prisoners and visitors for any offense classified as a felony under the criminal laws of the state; however, an inspector, certified as a law enforcement officer, may arrest prisoners classified as a felony under the criminal laws of the state; however, an inspector, certified as a law enforcement officer, may arrest staff members for only those offenses classified as a felony under chapters 944 or 893, Florida Statutes. The amendment clarifies that such arrests of either prisoners, visitors, or staff members may only occur on property owned or leased by the department, unless the arrests are of prisoners that have escaped or absconded from custody.

VII. SIGNATURES:

COMMITTEE ON STATE ADMINISTRATION:

Prepared by:

Staff Director:

Lauren Cyran, M.S.

J. Marleen Ahearn, Ph.D., J.D.

AS REVISED BY THE COMMITTEE ON CRIME PREVENTION, CORRECTIONS & SAFETY:

Prepared by:

Staff Director:

Melinda Granlund

Trina Kramer

¹⁸ Pursuant to conference between the Secretary of the Department of Corrections and State Administration committee staff on January 9, 2002.

¹⁹ An "administrative investigation" is defined as any allegation where an employee has violated any rule, policy, or procedure that was not a violation of a criminal statute but could result in disciplinary action being taken against the employee. This definition was provided by staff of the Department of Correction's Office of Legislative Affairs to the State Administration committee staff on January 17, 2002.