STORAGE NAME: h0641.sa.doc **DATE:** December 19, 2001

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES COMMITTEE ON STATE ADMINISTRATION ANALYSIS

BILL #: HB 641 (PCB SA 02-01)

RELATING TO: Resolution of Impasse

SPONSOR(S): Committee on State Administration and Representative(s) Brummer

TIED BILL(S): None

ORIGINATING COMMITTEE(S)/COUNCIL(S)/COMMITTEE(S) OF REFERENCE:

(1) STATE ADMINISTRATION YEAS 5 NAYS 0

(2) COUNCIL FOR SMARTER GOVERNMENT

(3)

(4)

(5)

I. SUMMARY:

If a public employer and a collective bargaining agent are unable to reach agreement regarding an employment contract then certain procedures must be followed to resolve the impasse. Those procedures are set forth in law. When the Governor is deemed the employer, the Legislature may be called upon to resolve issues that remain at impasse between the employer and the collective bargaining agent.

This bill eliminates the requirement that the presiding officers of the Legislature appoint a joint select committee to review and recommend resolution of impasse issues arising from collective bargaining disputes, when the Governor is deemed the employer. In addition, this bill eliminates the specified time requirements within which the joint select committee was to have made its recommendations.

The elimination of this requirement does not mean that the presiding officers cannot appoint a joint select committee to deal with impasse resolutions; the Legislature has always had the power to create whatever committees it so chooses. The elimination of these provisions simply recognizes that the Legislature, through its constitutional and rulemaking authority, can manage its procedural affairs as it deems appropriate, and recognizes the well-founded principle that one Legislature cannot bind another.

Finally, this bill provides that the parties in a collective bargaining dispute, when the Governor is deemed the employer, may submit written explanation of their positions on disputed impasse issues to the Legislature, addressed to the President of the Senate and the Speaker of the House. The Legislature retains full discretion to investigate or otherwise address such issues. Any disputed impasse issues may be addressed by the Legislature through legislation it deems to be in the public interest.

This bill does not appear to have a fiscal impact on state or local governments.

STORAGE NAME: h0641.sa.doc

DATE: December 19, 2001

PAGE: 2

II. SUBSTANTIVE ANALYSIS:

A. DOES THE BILL SUPPORT THE FOLLOWING PRINCIPLES:

1.	Less Government	Yes []	No []	N/A [X]
2.	Lower Taxes	Yes []	No []	N/A [X]
3.	Individual Freedom	Yes []	No []	N/A [X]
4.	Personal Responsibility	Yes []	No []	N/A [X]
5.	Family Empowerment	Yes []	No []	N/A [X]

For any principle that received a "no" above, please explain:

B. PRESENT SITUATION:

Chapter 447, F.S., governs labor organizations. Part II of Chapter 447, F.S., deals solely with public employees. In addition to establishing the Public Employees Relations Commission (PERC), Part II sets forth, in part, the requirements for registration and certification of employee organizations, employer and employee rights, grievance procedures, and the collective bargaining process requirements. If a public employer and a collective bargaining agent are unable to reach agreement regarding an employment contract then certain procedures must be followed to resolve the impasse. Section 447.403, F.S., sets forth the resolution procedures.

Section 447.403, F.S., provides that if no mediator is appointed, or upon the request of either party, PERC must appoint and submit all unresolved issues to a special master. This provision was amended last session to prohibit the appointment of a mediator or special master if the Governor is the public employer.¹

Last session also resulted in the following language being added to s. 447.403, F.S.:

Within 5 days after the beginning of the impasse period in accordance with s. 216.163(6)², each party shall notify the President of the Senate and the Speaker of the House of Representatives as to all unresolved issues. Upon receipt of the notification, the presiding officers shall appoint a joint select committee to review the position of the parties and render a recommended resolution of all issues

Exection 216.163(6), F.S., provides that at the time the Governor is required to furnish copies of his or her recommended budget to each senator and representative, the Governor must declare an impasse in all collective bargaining negotiations for which he or she is deemed to be the public employer and for which a collective bargaining agreement has not be executed.

¹ Section 44, Ch. 2001-43, L.O.F. (CS/SB 466). The Governor is the public employer with respect to all public employees determined by PERC as properly belonging to a statewide bargaining unit composed of State Career Service System employees or Selected Professional Service employees; and the Governor is the public employer with respect to all employees in the Correctional Education Program of the Department of Corrections. The Board of Regents is the public employer with respect to all public employees within the State University System. The board of trustees of a community college is the public employer with respect to all employees of the community college; the district school board is the public employer with respect to all employees of the school district; and the board of trustees of the Florida School for the Deaf and the Blind is the public employer with respect to the academic and academic administrative personnel of the Florida School for the Deaf and the Blind. Counties, municipalities, and special districts or any subdivision or agency thereof which PERC determines has sufficient legal distinctiveness properly to carry out the functions of a public employer are considered the public employers for their respective governmental units. s. 447.203 (2), F.S.

² Section 216.163(6), F.S., provides that at the time the Governor is required to furnish copies of his or her recommended budget to

STORAGE NAME: h0641.sa.doc

DATE: December 19, 2001

PAGE: 3

remaining at impasse. The recommended resolution shall be returned by the joint select committee to the presiding officers not later than 10 days prior to the date upon which the legislative session is scheduled to commence. During the legislative session, the Legislature shall take action in accordance with this section.

Concerns arise regarding the language *requiring* the presiding officer to appoint a joint select committee as well as *requiring* that the recommended resolution be returned by the joint select committee not later than 10 days prior to the date session is scheduled to commence. Setting forth such requirements is an attempt by one Legislature to bind the next. This can lead to unnecessary litigation³ when the Legislature, within its constitutional prerogative⁴, chooses to act in a different manner, and is further contrary to existing case law that clearly recognizes that one Legislature cannot bind another.⁵

C. EFFECT OF PROPOSED CHANGES:

This bill eliminates the requirement that the presiding officers of the Legislature appoint a joint select committee to review and recommend resolution of impasse issues arising from collective bargaining disputes, when the Governor is deemed the employer. In addition, this bill eliminates the specified time requirements within which the joint select committee was to have made its recommendations.

The elimination of this requirement does not mean that the presiding officers cannot appoint a joint select committee to deal with impasse resolutions; the Legislature has always had the power to create whatever committees it so chooses. The elimination of these provisions simply recognizes that the Legislature, through its constitutional and rulemaking authority, can manage its procedural affairs as it deems appropriate, and recognizes the well-founded principle that one Legislature cannot bind another.

Finally, this bill provides that the parties in a collective bargaining dispute, when the Governor is deemed the employer, may submit written explanation of their positions on disputed impasse issues to the Legislature, addressed to the President of the Senate and the Speaker of the House. The Legislature retains full discretion to investigate or otherwise address such issues. Any disputed impasse issues may be addressed by the Legislature through legislation it deems to be in the public interest. The bill recognizes that impasse is the end of collective bargaining, when the Governor is deemed the employer. The Legislature may then resolve the impasse issues as it deems appropriate.

D. SECTION-BY-SECTION ANALYSIS:

See "Effect of Proposed Changes".

³ Florida Senate v. Florida Public Employees Council 79, AFSCME, 784 So. 2d 404 (Fla. 2001). The court below entered an order granting a temporary injunction that provided, among other things, that the Florida House and the Florida Senate prematurely asserted jurisdiction over an impasse in collective bargaining, "in a manner and at a time that is at variance with the provision of Section 447.403, Florida Statutes." The Florida Supreme Court held that under the doctrine of separation of powers, the circuit court lacked authority to enter a temporary restraining order barring legislators from convening a scheduled public hearing to resolve impasse between a public employees union and the Governor.

⁴ See Article III, s. 4 of the State Constitution, regarding legislative procedures. "Each house shall be the sole judge for the interpretation, implementation, and enforcement of [section 4]."

⁵ Gonzales, Collector of Revenue v. Sullivan, 16 Fla. 791, (Fla. Sup. Ct. 1878) (1878 WL 2261, *8(Fla.)); Trustees of the Internal Improvement Fund v. St. Johns Railway Co., 16 Fla. 531, (Fla. Sup. Ct. 1878) (1878 WL 2240, *9(Fla.)); Kirklands v. Town of Bradley, 139 So. 144, (Fla. Sup. Ct. 1932) (104 Fla. 390).

	A.	FISCAL IMPACT ON STATE GOVERNMENT:		
		1.	Revenues:	
			None.	
		2.	Expenditures:	
			None.	
	B.	FIS	CAL IMPACT ON LOCAL GOVERNMENTS:	
		1.	Revenues:	
			None.	
		2.	Expenditures:	
			None.	
	C.	DIF	RECT ECONOMIC IMPACT ON PRIVATE SECTOR:	
		None.		
	D.	FIS	CAL COMMENTS:	
		Noi	ne.	
IV.	<u>CO</u>	NSE	QUENCES OF ARTICLE VII, SECTION 18 OF THE FLORIDA CONSTITUTION:	
	A.	AP	PLICABILITY OF THE MANDATES PROVISION:	
			s bill does not require counties or municipalities to spend funds or to take action requiring the penditure of funds	
	B.	RE	DUCTION OF REVENUE RAISING AUTHORITY:	
			s bill does not reduce the authority that counties or municipalities have to raise revenues in the gregate.	
	C.	RE	DUCTION OF STATE TAX SHARED WITH COUNTIES AND MUNICIPALITIES:	
		Thi	s bill does not reduce the percentage of a state tax shared with counties or municipalities.	
V.	<u>CO</u>	DMMENTS:		
	A.	СО	NSTITUTIONAL ISSUES:	

STORAGE NAME:

PAGE: 4

DATE: December 19, 2001

None.

h0641.sa.doc

III. FISCAL ANALYSIS & ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT:

STORAGE NAME: h0641.sa.doc DATE: December 19, 2001 PAGE: 5							
	B.	RULE-MAKING AUTHORITY:					
		None.					
	C.	OTHER COMMENTS:					
	The American Federation of State, County and Municipal Employees, Council 79, do not sup the provisions of this bill. That organization's representative, who testified before the Commi State Administration, asserted that by eliminating the requirement that the legislature appoint select committee to review impasse issues, the legislature eliminates the point of entry for confusioning agents to present their side of the issues to the legislature. The organization's representative also testified that the elimination of this requirement, as well as the elimination existing timeframe requirements for certain action, will leave the bargaining agents uncertain when or where to go to present their issues.						
		This bill, however, permits the affected parties to submidisputed impasse issues to the President of the Senat point of entry. In addition, as pointed out in testimony, the rules of both houses require (as does the Florida Comeetings be "open and noticed to the public." Further are resolved in the budgeting process. (The General Actimpasse.) The budgeting process is an open and notice to advise the legislature of its needs and desires is, like the strength of that lobbying unit's relationship with the	e and the Speaker of the House, which is a if any hearing is conducted on the matter, constitution – Article III, section 4) that all more, as a practical matter, impasse issues appropriations Act addresses each matter at ced process. The ability of a bargaining agent e every other lobbying effort, dependent upon				
VI.	<u>AM</u>	AMENDMENTS OR COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTE CHANGES:					
	Nor	one.					
VII.	SIG	IGNATURES:					
	COMMITTEE ON STATE ADMINISTRATION:						
		Prepared by: Sta	aff Director:				

J. Marleen Ahearn, Ph.D., J.D.

J. Marleen Ahearn, Ph.D., J.D.