Florida Senate - 2002

CS for SB 648

 ${\bf By}$ the Committee on Governmental Oversight and Productivity; and Senator Garcia

302-2305-02 A bill to be entitled 1 2 An act relating to public records; creating an 3 exemption from the requirements of s. 4 119.07(1), F.S., and Art. I, s. 24(a) of the 5 State Constitution; providing that information that would identify a person or firm in 6 peer-review records are confidential and 7 8 exempt; creating an exemption from the 9 requirements of s. 286.011, F.S., and Art. I, s. 24(b) of the State Constitution; providing 10 11 for future review and repeal; providing a 12 statement of public necessity; providing a contingent effective date. 13 14 15 Be It Enacted by the Legislature of the State of Florida: 16 17 Section 1. Information that would identify a person or 18 firm in peer review records that are made or received by an 19 oversight body appointed by the board pursuant to s. 473.3125, 20 is confidential and exempt from section 119.07(1) and Section 24(a), Article I of the State Constitution. This section is 21 subject to the Open Government Sunset Review Act of 1995, in 22 23 accordance with section 119.15, and shall stand repealed October 2, 2007, unless reviewed and saved from repeal through 24 25 reenactments by the Legislature. Section 2. Those portions of a peer-review meeting of 26 27 an oversight body appointed by the board pursuant to section 28 473.3125, at which information is discussed that would 29 identify the person or firm being reviewed are exempt from 30 section 286.011 and Section 24(b), Article I of the State 31 Constitution. This section is subject to the Open Government 1

CODING:Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

| 1 | Sunset Review Act of 1995 and shall stand repealed October 2, |
|----|--|
| 2 | 2007, unless reviewed and saved from repeal through |
| 3 | reenactment by the Legislature. |
| 4 | Section 3. The Legislature finds that it is a public |
| 5 | necessity to make confidential and exempt information that |
| 6 | would identify a person or firm in peer-review records that |
| 7 | are made or received by an oversight body appointed by the |
| 8 | board pursuant to section 473.3125, Florida Statutes. The |
| 9 | peer-review process is intended to sustain and improve the |
| 10 | competence of licensees and thereby to protect the public that |
| 11 | is served by them. If this identifying information were made |
| 12 | available for inspection or copying by the public, licensees |
| 13 | and their clients could be adversely affected. These documents |
| 14 | may include unsubstantiated allegations or other information |
| 15 | that could be misunderstood by the public. Further, a person |
| 16 | having information regarding a person or firm undergoing |
| 17 | review might be hesitant to provide important testimony, |
| 18 | documentation, or other information that the oversight body |
| 19 | requires to perform a complete review if his or her identity |
| 20 | were not protected. The Legislature also finds that it is a |
| 21 | public necessity to close those portions of meetings of an |
| 22 | oversight body at which information is discussed which would |
| 23 | identify the person or firm being reviewed. If members of an |
| 24 | oversight body could not speak freely regarding the person or |
| 25 | firm undergoing review, the peer-review process would be |
| 26 | ineffective. Oversight body members might hesitate to discuss |
| 27 | issues of concern. As a result, the Legislature finds that |
| 28 | information that would identify a person or firm in |
| 29 | peer-review records that are made or received by an oversight |
| 30 | body appointed by the board pursuant to section 473.3125, |
| 31 | Florida Statutes, must be confidential and exempt and that |
| | 2 |

2

CODING:Words stricken are deletions; words <u>underlined</u> are additions.

Florida Senate - 2002 302-2305-02

those portions of meetings of an oversight body at which this information is discussed should be closed. Section 4. This act shall take effect on the effective date of the Committee Substitute for Senate Bill 1706, or substantially similar legislation, in the 2002 legislative б session or an extension thereof, but it shall not take effect if such bill does not become a law. STATEMENT OF SUBSTANTIAL CHANGES CONTAINED IN COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTE FOR Senate Bill 648 Creates an exemption for information that would identify a person or firm in peer review records that are made or received by an oversight body appointed by the board. Creates an exemption for those portions of a peer review meeting of an oversight body appointed by the board at which exempt information is discussed. Provides a statement of public necessity.

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.