**STORAGE NAME:** h0915a.sa.doc

**DATE:** January 30, 2002

# HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES COMMITTEE ON STATE ADMINISTRATION ANALYSIS

**BILL #:** HB 915

**RELATING TO:** Public Schools/Display of the Motto "IN GOD WE TRUST"

**SPONSOR(S):** Representative Hogan and others

TIED BILL(S):

# ORIGINATING COMMITTEE(S)/COUNCIL(S)/COMMITTEE(S) OF REFERENCE:

- (1) STATE ADMINISTRATION YEAS 5 NAYS 0
- (2) COUNCIL FOR LIFELONG LEARNING
- (3)
- (4)
- (5)

### I. SUMMARY:

This bill directs the superintendent of schools in each school district to:

Provide for the display of the motto "IN GOD WE TRUST" on an appropriately framed background; with minimum dimensions of 11 inches by 14 inches; in each classroom, school auditorium, and school cafeteria.

"Classroom" is defined as any room in a public school where instruction takes place.

"IN GOD WE TRUST" has been established by Congress as the national motto. The motto is stamped on all U.S. money.

This bill is modeled after Mississippi HB 51 that became law in 2001.

Proponents support this bill as a way to increase patriotism and national unity. Opponents assert that the bill is a mandate on teachers and attempts to interject religion into the classroom.

This bills does not appear to have a fiscal impact on state or local governments; however, school districts will be affected. The Department of Education estimates that the cost to implement this bill is approximately \$616,110. See the "Fiscal Comments" section of this analysis for further detail.

The Committee on State Administration adopted amendments that eliminated the requirement that the motto be displayed in every classroom and instead requires the motto to be displayed in a prominent location within each school. This will substantially reduce the fiscal impact of this bill.

THIS DOCUMENT IS NOT INTENDED TO BE USED FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSTRUING STATUTES, OR TO BE CONSTRUED AS AFFECTING, DEFINING, LIMITING, CONTROLLING, SPECIFYING, CLARIFYING, OR MODIFYING ANY LEGISLATION OR STATUTE.

STORAGE NAME: h0915a.sa.doc

**DATE**: January 30, 2002

PAGE: 2

# II. <u>SUBSTANTIVE</u> ANALYSIS:

# A. DOES THE BILL SUPPORT THE FOLLOWING PRINCIPLES:

1.	Less Government	Yes []	No [x]	N/A []
2.	Lower Taxes	Yes []	No []	N/A [x]
3.	Individual Freedom	Yes []	No []	N/A [x]
4.	Personal Responsibility	Yes []	No []	N/A [x]
5.	Family Empowerment	Yes []	No []	N/A [x]

For any principle that received a "no" above, please explain:

This bill requires school districts to incur costs to produce, mount, and display the motto "IN GOD WE TRUST" as well as maintain its display.

### **B. PRESENT SITUATION:**

Currently there is no law requiring the display of the national motto "IN GOD WE TRUST" in public schools.

### C. EFFECT OF PROPOSED CHANGES:

This bill directs the superintendent of schools in each school district to:

Provide for the display of the motto "IN GOD WE TRUST" on an appropriately framed background; with minimum dimensions of 11 inches by 14 inches; in each classroom, school auditorium, and school cafeteria.

"Classroom" is defined as any room in a public school where instruction takes place.

Congress adopted the motto "IN GOD WE TRUST" as our national motto. 1 The motto has been held constitutional in Federal Court.<sup>2</sup> The motto is stamped on all U.S. money.

This bill is modeled after Mississippi HB 51 that became law in 2001.<sup>3</sup>

This bill takes effect upon becoming a law.

Proponents support this bill as a way to increase patriotism and national unity. Opponents assert that the bill is a mandate on teachers, interfering with their individual classrooms; and that it is an attempt to interject religion into the classroom.5

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> 36 U.S.C. § 302.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> See "Constitutional Issues" section of this analysis.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Phone conversation, sponsor's office, 1/24/02 and 1/28/02; phone conversation, Representative Fillingane, sponsor of the Mis sissippi HB 51, 1/24/02; Virginia's HB 108, which provides for the motto "IN GOD WE TRUST" in schools, has passed the House and is currently in the Senate Committee on Education and Health.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Phone conversation, sponsor's office, 1/28/02.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Phone conversation, lobbyist for the ACLU, 1/28/02. Opponents do not contend that the bill is unconstitutional.

STORAGE NAME: h0915a.sa.doc DATE: January 30, 2002 PAGE: 3

D. SECTION-BY-SECTION ANALYSIS:

Please see "EFFECT OF PROPOSED CHANGES."

### III. FISCAL ANALYSIS & ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT:

- A. FISCAL IMPACT ON STATE GOVERNMENT:
  - 1. Revenues:

None.

2. Expenditures:

None.

- B. FISCAL IMPACT ON LOCAL GOVERNMENTS:
  - 1. Revenues:

None.

2. Expenditures:

None.

C. DIRECT ECONOMIC IMPACT ON PRIVATE SECTOR:

Yes.

D. FISCAL COMMENTS:

This bill requires superintendents (therefore the school districts) to provide a suitably framed display of the "IN GOD WE TRUST" motto, in 117,222 classrooms, and 6,000 cafeterias and auditoriums, or approximately 123,222 spaces. The Department of Education estimates a material cost of \$5.00 per unit. Accordingly the total cost to all school districts is approximately \$616,110.<sup>6</sup>

# IV. CONSEQUENCES OF ARTICLE VII, SECTION 18 OF THE FLORIDA CONSTITUTION:

A. APPLICABILITY OF THE MANDATES PROVISION:

This bill does not require counties or municipalities to spend funds or to take action requiring the expenditure of funds.

B. REDUCTION OF REVENUE RAISING AUTHORITY:

This bill does not reduce the authority that counties or municipalities have to raise revenues in the aggregate.

-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Department of Education, Program Analysis, HB 915, 1/17/02, House Fiscal Analysis, at 2.

STORAGE NAME: h0915a.sa.doc

**DATE**: January 30, 2002

PAGE: 4

### C. REDUCTION OF STATE TAX SHARED WITH COUNTIES AND MUNICIPALITIES:

This bill does not reduce the percentage of a state tax shared with counties or municipalities.

# V. COMMENTS:

# A. CONSTITUTIONAL ISSUES:

# **Applicable Case Law**

The First Amendment of the Constitution of the United States provides that Congress can make no law respecting the establishment of religion.<sup>7</sup>

There are two relevant lines of case law with respect to this bill: cases regarding the motto "IN GOD WE TRUST" and whether this violates the First Amendment's establishment clause and cases regarding establishment of religion within public schools. This first line of cases includes Aronow v. United States. In that case, Aranow challenged the constitutionality of the motto "IN GOD WE TRUST" and the placement of the motto on U.S. currency and coinage.8 The United States Court of Appeals, Ninth Circuit, held that the motto and its placement on currency "has nothing whatsoever to do with the establishment of religion." 9 In O'hair v. Blumenthal, Madeline Murray O'hair challenged the constitutionality of the national motto "IN GOD WE TRUST" and its placement on all U.S. currency and coinage. 10 The District Court of Texas for the Western District held that the national motto and its placement on U.S. currency did not violate the Constitution. <sup>11</sup> In O'hair v. Murray, the United States Court of Appeals, Fifth Circuit, upheld the District Court's decision. <sup>12</sup> In Gaylor v. United States, the Freedom from Religion Foundation sued the United States and others seeking declaratory and injunctive relief against further use of the national motto "IN GOD WE TRUST," and its reproduction on U.S. currency.<sup>13</sup> The United States Court of Appeals, Tenth Circuit, held that the motto "IN GOD WE TRUST" did not violate the establishment clause. 14 In Schmidt v. Cline Schmidt and Stearns filed suit against the county treasurer, challenging the placement of "IN GOD WE TRUST" posters in the office of the County Treasurer. 15 The posters measured 11 by 14 inches, had the word "God" printed in red letters larger than the black printing used for the other words, and made a reference to that phrase as being the national motto. 16 Citing Gaylor v. United States, the District Court of Kansas held that the use of the posters were not an establishment of religion in violation of the establishment clause. 17

The second line of cases includes *Stone v. Graham.* In that case, the Supreme Court invalidated a Kentucky statute that required the display of the Ten Commandments on the walls of each public classroom in the state. <sup>18</sup> The Supreme Court held that the pre-eminent purpose for posting the Ten Commandments on schoolroom walls was plainly religious in nature even though the Kentucky Legislature purported an avowedly secular purpose for the display. In *Wallace v. Jaffree*, the Supreme Court struck down an Alabama statute that provided for a period of meditation or voluntary prayer in

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> U.S. CONST. amend. 1.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Aronow v. United States, 432 F.2d 242, 243 (9th Cir. 1970).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> *Id*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> O'hair v. Blumenthal, 462 F. Supp. 19 (D. Tex. 1978).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> *Id*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> O'hair v. Murray, 588 F.2d 1141, 1144 (5th Cir. 1979).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> Gaylor v. United States, 74 F.3d 214, 216 (10th Cir. 1996).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> Id.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> Schmidt v. Cline, 127 F.Supp.2d 1169, 1171 (D. Kan. 2000).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup> *Id*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup> *Id.* at 1179.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup> Stone v. Graham, 449 U.S. 39, 43 (1980).

STORAGE NAME: h0915a.sa.doc **DATE**: January 30, 2002 PAGE: 5 public schools, as an endorsement of religion, which violated the First Amendment. 19 The Supreme Court held that the Establishment Clause did not preclude states from providing an opportunity for school prayer, but that Alabama had affirmatively endorsed the particular religious practice of prayer.<sup>20</sup> Requiring the motto "IN GOD WE TRUST" to be posted in schools does not appear to be sufficiently similar to the activities successfully challenged in the Graham and Jaffree cases. "IN GOD WE TRUST" is used in many secular ways namely on all US money and has been consistently held not to be a government endorsement of religion outside the classroom context. B. RULE-MAKING AUTHORITY: None. C. OTHER COMMENTS: SB 926 by Senator Wise is the companion bill to HB 915. VI. AMENDMENTS OR COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTE CHANGES: The Committee on State Administration adopted three amendments: Amendment one eliminates the requirement that the motto "IN GOD WE TRUST" be displayed in every classroom, school auditorium, and school cafeteria, and instead requires the motto to be displayed in a prominent location within each school. Amendments two and three were conforming. VII. SIGNATURES:

COMMITTEE ON STATE ADMINISTRATION:				
Prepared by:	Staff Director:			
Josh White	J. Marleen Ahearn, Ph.D., J.D.			

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>19</sup> Wallace v. Jaffree, 472 U.S. 38, 84 (1984).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>20</sup> *Id*.