3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

2122

23

2425

2627

28

2930

31

27-1333A-03 See HB 1161

A bill to be entitled An act relating to identity theft and Internet fraud prevention, investigation, and prosecution; amending s. 817.568, F.S.; providing for penalty provisions for the commission of a first degree and second degree felony concerning identity theft under certain circumstances; providing for higher offense severity levels in identity theft cases under certain circumstances; providing that every Florida consumer may be provided two free credit reports a year; amending s. 934.23, F.S.; providing a definition; clarifying that Florida judges with jurisdiction over specific crimes have authority to issue search warrants for electronic evidence relating thereto, regardless of where the electronic evidence is situated; creating s. 92.605, F.S.; providing definitions; providing for self-authentication for out-of-state business records under certain circumstances with notice to opponent; providing for procedures; providing an effective date. Be It Enacted by the Legislature of the State of Florida: Section 1. Paragraph (b) of subsection (2) of section 817.568, Florida Statutes, is amended, paragraph (c) is added to that subsection, subsections (5), (6), (7), (8), (9), and (10) are renumbered as subsections (7), (8), (9), (10), (11),

and (12), respectively, and new subsections (5) and (6) are added to that section, to read:

817.568 Criminal use of personal identification information.--

(2)

- (b) Any person who willfully and without authorization fraudulently uses personal identification information concerning an individual without first obtaining that individual's consent commits a felony of the second degree, punishable as provided in s. 775.082, s. 775.083, or s. 775.084, if the pecuniary benefit, the value of the services received, the payment sought to be avoided, or the amount of the injury or fraud perpetrated is not less than \$20,000 or more than \$100,000\$\$\frac{975,000}{000}\$ or more.
- (c) Any person who willfully and without authorization fraudulently uses personal identification information concerning an individual without first obtaining that individual's consent commits a felony of the first degree, punishable as provided in s. 775.082, s. 775.083, or s. 775.084, if the pecuniary benefit, the value of the services received, the payment sought to be avoided, or the amount of the injury or fraud perpetrated is \$100,000 or more.
- committed using the personal identification information of a child, its offense severity level shall be increased to offense severity level 8; if the child involved was the defendant's natural child or any child over whom the defendant had custodial authority, the offense severity level shall be increased to offense severity level 9.
- (6) Any consumer reporting agency as defined in s. 603(f) of the Fair Credit Reporting Act shall provide, free of

charge, upon the request of any person and without regard to any failure to extend credit to that person, up to two consumer reports each calendar year, including explanations of any codes contained therein.

Section 2. Subsection (1) of section 934.23, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

934.23 Required disclosure of customer communications or records.--

(1) An investigative or law enforcement officer may require the disclosure by a provider of electronic communication service of the contents of a wire or electronic communication that has been in electronic storage in an electronic communications system for 180 days or less only pursuant to a warrant issued by the judge of a court of competent jurisdiction. For purposes of this section, "a court of competent jurisdiction" means a court having jurisdiction over the investigation or otherwise authorized by law. An investigative or law enforcement officer may require the disclosure by a provider of electronic communication services of the contents of a wire or electronic communication that has been in electronic storage in an electronic communications system for more than 180 days by the means available under subsection (2).

Section 3. Section 92.605, Florida Statutes, is created to read:

92.605 Production of certain records by Florida corporations and out-of-state corporations.

- (1) For the purposes of this section, the term:
- (a) "Adverse result" includes one of the following consequences to notification of the existence of a court order, a subpoena, or a search warrant:

1 1. Danger to the life or physical safety of an 2 individual. 3 2. A flight from prosecution. The destruction of or tampering with evidence. 4 5 The intimidation of potential witnesses. 6 Serious jeopardy to an investigation or undue delay 7 of a trial. 8 (b) "Applicant" means a law enforcement officer who is seeking a court order or subpoena under s. 16.56, s. 27.04, s. 9 10 905.185, or s. 914.04 or who is issued a search warrant under 11 s. 933.01, or anyone who is authorized to issue a subpoena under the Florida Rules of Criminal Procedure. 12 "Business" means any business, institution, 13 association, profession, occupation, or calling of any kind, 14 whether or not conducted for profit. 15 "Electronic communication services" and "remote 16 17 computing services" have the same meaning as provided in the Electronic Communications Privacy Act in chapter 121 18 19 (commencing with s. 2701) of Part I of Title 18 of the United States Code Annotated. This section does not apply to 20 corporations that do not provide those services to the public. 21 22 "Florida corporation" means any corporation or other entity that is regulated under chapter 607, excluding 23 24 out-of-state corporations. 25 (f) "Out-of-state corporation" means any corporation that is qualified to do business in this state under s. 26 27 607.1501. 28 "Out-of-state record of regularly conducted 29 business activity" means a memorandum, report, record, or data 30 compilation, in any form, of acts, events, conditions,

opinions, or diagnoses, maintained in another state or country.

- (h) "Out-of-state certification" means a written declaration made and signed in another state or country by the custodian of an out-of-state record of regularly conducted business activity or another qualified person that, if falsely made, would subject the declarant to criminal penalty under the laws of another state or country.
- (i) "Properly served" means delivery by hand or in a manner reasonably allowing for proof of delivery if delivered by United States mail, overnight delivery service, or facsimile to a person or entity properly registered to do business in any state.
- (2) The following provisions apply to any subpoena, court order, or search warrant issued in compliance with the Electronic Communications Privacy Act in chapter 121 (commencing with s. 2701) of Part I of Title 18 of the United States Code and that is subject to this chapter, which allows a search for records that are in the actual or constructive possession of an out-of-state corporation that provides electronic communication services or remote computing services to the public, when those records would reveal the identity of the customers using those services; data stored by, or on behalf of, the customers; the customers' usage of those services; the recipients or destinations of communications sent to or from those customers.
- (a) When properly served with a subpoena, court order, or search warrant issued by a Florida court, an out-of-state corporation subject to this section shall provide to the applicant all records sought pursuant to such subpoena, court order, or warrant within 10 business days after receipt, or

the date indicated within the subpoena, if later, including those records maintained or located outside the State of Florida.

- (b) When the applicant makes a showing and the court finds that failure to produce records within 10 business days would cause an adverse result, the subpoena, court order, or warrant may require production of records within less than 10 business days. A court may reasonably extend the time required for production of the records upon finding that the out-of-state corporation has shown good cause for that extension and that an extension of time would not cause an adverse result.
- (c) An out-of-state corporation seeking to quash the subpoena, court order, or warrant must seek relief from the court issuing such subpoena, court order, or warrant within the time required for production of records under this section. The issuing court shall hear and decide that motion within 5 court days after the motion is filed.
- (d) The out-of-state corporation shall verify the authenticity of records that it produces by providing an affidavit that complies with the requirements set forth in this section. Records produced in compliance with this section are admissible in evidence as set forth in subsection (5).
- (3) A Florida corporation that provides electronic communication services or remote computing services to the public, when served with a subpoena, court order, or warrant issued by another state to produce records that would reveal the identity of the customers using those services; data stored by, or on behalf of, the customers; the customers' usage of those services; or the recipients or destinations of communications sent to or from those customers shall produce

those records as if that subpoena, court order, or warrant had been issued by a Florida court.

- (4) A cause of action does not arise against any out-of-state or Florida corporation subject to this section, or its officers, employees, agents, or other specified persons, for providing records, information, facilities, or assistance in accordance with the terms of a subpoena, court order, or warrant subject to this section.
- (5) In a criminal proceeding in a court of this state, an out-of-state record of regularly conducted business activity, or a copy of such record, shall not be excluded as hearsay evidence by s. 90.802, if an out-of-state certification attests that:
- (a) Such record was made at or near the time of the occurrence of the matters set forth by, or from information transmitted by, a person with knowledge of those matters.
- (b) Such record was kept in the course of a regularly conducted business activity.
- (c) The business activity made such a record as a regular practice.
- (d) If such record is not the original, it is a duplicate of the original, unless the source of information or the method or circumstances of preparation indicate lack of trustworthiness.
- (6) An out-of-state certification under this section shall authenticate such record or duplicate.
- (7) No evidence in such records in the form of opinion or diagnosis is admissible under subsection (5) unless such opinion or diagnosis would be admissible under ss.
 90.701-90.705 if the person whose opinion is recorded were to

31 testify to the opinion directly.

(8) As soon after the arraignment as practicable, or 60 days prior to trial, a party intending to offer in evidence under this section an out-of-state record of regularly conducted business activity shall provide written notice of that intention to each other party. A motion opposing admission in evidence of such record shall be made by the opposing party and determined by the court before trial. Failure by a party to file such motion before trial shall constitute a waiver of objection to such record or duplicate, but the court for cause shown may grant relief from the waiver. (9) In any criminal case, the content of any electronic communication may be obtained under this section only by court order or by the issuance of a search warrant, unless otherwise provided under the Electronic Communications Privacy Act or other provision of law. Section 4. This act shall take effect July 1, 2003.