HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES STAFF ANALYSIS

BILL #: HB 929 Transportation funding SPONSOR(S): Representative(s) M. Davis and Goodlette

TIED BILLS: IDEN./SIM. BILLS: SB 1356 (s) and SB 2286(s)

REFERENCE	ACTION	ANALYST	STAFF DIRECTOR
1) Transportation Systems (SUB)		PUGH	MILLER
2) Transportation			
3) Local Government & Veterans' Affairs			
4) Finance & Tax			
5)			

SUMMARY ANALYSIS

Transportation projects in Florida and at the local-government level are primarily funded through imposition of fuel taxes. State fuel taxes, which are tied to the Consumer Price Index, currently total 17.9 cents a gallon. The maximum amount of local fuel taxes is 16 cents per gallon; up to 12 cents of that may be imposed as a local option.

HB 929 makes a number of changes to Florida's fuel-tax laws that have the potential to generate more transportation funding for counties and cities, but also less money for the state's General Revenue Fund. The bill:

- o Provides that the rates of the "Ninth-Cent Fuel Tax" and the local option fuel tax shall be adjusted annually, based on the Consumer Price Index, as most state motor fuel taxes are.
- o Eliminates the combined 7.3-percent General Revenue Fund surcharge and the Department of Revenue's up to 2-percent administrative surcharge from the proceeds of a variety of state and local-option fuel taxes.
- o Requires that much of the revenue derived from eliminating the administrative service charges on state fuel taxes or related trust funds be earmarked for the County Incentive Grant Program, which was created to pay for local road projects but which has been in hiatus for two years.
- o Provides that 30 percent of the revenues from additional fees on certain initial motor vehicle registrations be used to fund the County Incentive Grant Program, rather than deposited in the General Revenue Fund.
- o Specifies that the revenues derived from eliminating the administrative service charges on certain local-option fuel taxes be returned to the counties where originally collected.
- o Broadens the type of projects for which local governments may spend their transportation tax revenues.

Based on fiscal analyses by the Florida Department of Transportation and the state's Revenue Estimating Conference, counties may receive as much as \$14.5 million in FY 03-04 because of HB 929's provisions, but substantially more in the future. Conversely, the General Fund may see a reduction of \$86.7 million in FY 03-04, while the Department of Revenue may lose \$17.6 million, largely because of the elimination of service and administrative charges on certain fuel taxes and related trust funds. The bulk of these funds would be directed to the County Incentive Grant Program, which would be funded at \$104.3 million FY 03-04.

HB 929 raises no apparent constitutional issues. It takes effect July 1, 2003.

This document does not reflect the intent or official position of the bill sponsor or House of Representatives. STORAGE NAME: h0929.tr.doc

STORAGE NAME: h0929.tr.doc **DATE**: March 19, 2003

FULL ANALYSIS

I. SUBSTANTIVE ANALYSIS

A. DOES THE BILL:

1.	Reduce government?	Yes[x]	No[]	N/A[x]
2.	Lower taxes?	Yes[]	No[x]	N/A[]
3.	Expand individual freedom?	Yes[]	No[]	N/A[x]
4.	Increase personal responsibility?	Yes[]	No[]	N/A[x]
5.	Empower families?	Yes[]	No[]	N/A[x]

HB 929 does not lower taxes because it allows local governments to tie their local-option gasoline rates to the Consumer Price Index, which allows for automatic, annual adjustments. There will never be a downward adjustment; HB 929 specifies the tax rates shall never be adjusted lower than the rates as specified in law and in effect as of December 31, 2003.

According to the state Revenue Estimating Conference that met in February 2003, if all 67 counties index their various local-option fuel taxes, they could generate an additional \$4.2 million in FY 03-04; by FY 2007-2008, that additional revenue could increase to \$71.6 million.

B. EFFECT OF PROPOSED CHANGES:

State transportation fuel taxes

The Florida Department of Transportation receives funding from a variety of transportation-related sources, but the primary source of state funds is state fuel taxes. Highway fuel taxes constitute the oldest continuous source of dedicated transportation revenues in the state. Initially levied in 1921 at the rate of 1 cent per gallon, the tax experienced periodic increases until 1971, when the rate was set at 8 cents per gallon, shared equally between the Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT) and local governments.

In April 1983, the state's fuel taxes were substantially restructured; DOT's 4 cents per gallon excise tax was repealed, and replaced as a sales tax of 5 percent. The tax on fuel was applied at the wholesale point of distribution against a legislated retail price per gallon. The legislated average price of all motor and special fuel was initially set at \$1.148 per gallon, which, at a 5-percent tax rate, resulted in a tax of 5.7cents per gallon.

Between July 1, 1985 and July 1, 1990, this legislated price was adjusted in proportion to annual changes in the gasoline component of the Consumer Price Index (CPI). But for one statutory provision, the resulting tax per gallon would have varied accordingly. That provision was enacted by the 1985 Legislature which, by installing a "floor" beneath the tax, prevented it from being reduced below its initially calculated level of 5.7cents per gallon, regardless of downward gas price movements. Otherwise, the system operated as an "indexed" fuel tax, with changes in the national average price of gasoline serving as the index.

In 1990, the Legislature enacted the largest transportation tax increase in the history of DOT. Not only was the fuel sales tax raised, and an additional fuel excise tax levied, but other user fees were imposed as well. First, it raised the rate of the tax to 6 percent from 5 percent, regaining parity with the state's general sales tax rate which had been increased a couple of years earlier. Second, it changed the index to which the legislated price is tied -- from the gasoline component of the CPI to the comprehensive CPI that includes all items. Third, though the base legislated price of \$1.148 was retained, the base period to which future index values are to be compared was moved forward from a 12-month period in FY 1983-84 to a period in FY 1988-89. Finally, tax rate changes under the new system become effective each January 1, as opposed to July 1 under the old system. In addition, in order to provide for an immediate inflow of additional revenue, the minimum tax, or floor, was adjusted

STORAGE NAME: PAGE: 2 h0929.tr.doc March 19, 2003

upward to 6.9-cents-per-gallon from 5.7-cents-per-gallon per gallon, effective July 1, 1990. The new figure reflected the result of applying a 6-percent rate to the legislated price of \$1.148. This procedure remained in place through December 1996.

Beginning January 1, 1997 the method of determining the sales tax was modified. The legislated price of \$1.148 and the sales tax rate of 6 percent were no longer "direct factors" in the calculation. Instead, the "floor tax" of 6.9-cents-per-gallon is now indexed to the comprehensive CPI, and the base indexing period remains the same 12-month period in FY 1988-89. The terminal supplier now collects almost all of this tax.

Local fuel taxes

As mentioned above, local governments have been receiving a share of gas tax revenues since 1971. Today, there are several local fuel taxes, some of them optional. All 67 counties have implemented a Local Option Fuel Tax, in amounts ranging from 5-cents-per-gallon to 12-cents-per-gallon.

There are three types of local fuel taxes:

- o Dubbed the "Ninth Cent Fuel Tax" (a throwback to when the state's fuel excise taxes totaled 8 cents per gallon) this tax was authorized in 1972 by s. 336.021, F.S. Levied by counties, the tax is limited to 1 cent-per-gallon gallon on highway fuels, and its proceeds may be shared with cities. For non-diesel fuels, the Ninth Cent Fuel Tax is approved by an extraordinary (majority plus one) vote of a county's governing body; 39 counties have implemented this tax. The Ninth Cent Fuel Tax on diesel fuel is mandatory.
- In 1985, counties were authorized to raise the maximum rate of the local fuel tax from 4 cents-per-gallon to 6-cents-per-gallon, and extended its duration to 30 years, for bonding purposes. As it did with the Ninth-cent Fuel Tax, the Legislature chose to equalize the Local Option Fuel Tax on diesel fuel, which is now at 6 cents per gallon.

The first 6 cents of the local-option tax on motor fuel, in s. 336.025(1)(a), F.S., may be imposed by a majority vote of the board of county commissioners or a countywide referendum initiated by either the county commission or municipalities representing more than 50 percent of the county's population.

At first, proceeds of the local-option fuel tax could only be used for the following transportation purposes: public transportation operations and maintenance; roadway and right-of-way maintenance and equipment, and structures used primarily to store and maintain that equipment; roadway and rightof-way drainage; street lighting; traffic signs and related traffic operations; bridge maintenance and operations; and debt service for capital projects related to the above activities. However, in a major departure from the user-fee concept, the 1992 Legislature authorized any "small county" (with 50,000 or fewer people on April 1, 1992) to use the proceeds for other capital infrastructure needs if the transportation element of its comprehensive plan has been fully satisfied. It should be noted though, that this exception applies only to the 6 cents of tax authorized prior to 1993.

o The last significant change occurred in the 1993 legislative session, when counties were given the option of imposing an additional 1 cent to 5 cents on each gallon of motor fuel (gasoline and gasohol, but not diesel), in s. 336.025(1)(b), F.S. This was dubbed the "ELMS nickel," because a localoption motor fuel tax had been recommended in an Environmental Land Management Study by a statewide panel of growth-management and transportation officials, development interests, environmental groups, and other interested parties. With this latest authorization, counties may now levy a tax of up to 12 cents on each gallon of gasoline, while the rate for diesel remains standard in every county at 6-cents-per-gallon.

To impose the remaining five cents, an extraordinary vote of the county commission or a countywide referendum initiated by the commission is required. According to the 2003 Florida Tax Handbook, 16 counties levy the ELMS nickel. The proceeds of the tax must be shared with municipalities, either in

STORAGE NAME: h0929.tr.doc PAGE: 3 March 19, 2003

accordance with a mutually agreed upon a distribution plan scheme, subject to periodic review, or, if agreement cannot be reached, by using a backup formula contained in the statute. The revenues from this tax shall only be used for capital improvement projects needed to comply with local-government comprehensive plans. A local government may pledge any of its revenues from the tax to repay state bonds issued in its behalf.

County Improvement Grant Program (CIGP) and other incentives

In 2000, the Legislature passed CS/CS/SB 862, a comprehensive transportation package creating a number of new initiatives that, over the next 10 years, was to spend \$2.6 billion in cash to produce \$6 billion in transportation improvements. Among the initiatives were "Mobility 2000," the County Improvement Grant Program (CIGP) and the Small County Outreach Program. CIGP provides grants to counties to improve a road or other transportation facility that is located on the State Highway System or which relieves traffic congestion on the State Highway System. Among the criteria considered by DOT when evaluating the grant applications are:

- The extent to which the project will encourage, enhance, or create economic benefits:
- o The likelihood that assistance would enable the project to proceed at an earlier date than the project could otherwise proceed;
- o The extent to which assistance would foster innovative public-private partnerships and attract private debt or equity investment;
- o The extent to which the project uses new technologies, including intelligent transportation systems, which enhance the efficiency of the project;
- The extent to which the project helps to maintain of protect the environment; and
- o The extent to which the project includes transportation benefits for improving intermodalism and safety.

Selected projects are ranked, and are included in the DOT Work Program, to the extent that revenues are appropriated. For projects on the Florida Intrastate Highway System, DOT provides 60 percent of project costs; for projects on the State Highway System, the DOT provides 50 percent of project costs; and for local projects intended to relieve traffic congestion on the State Highway System, DOT shall provide 35 percent of project costs. The local match for rural areas that meet the criteria for being economically distressed, pursuant to s. 288.06561, F.S., can be waived.

About \$490 million was anticipated for CIGP over the next 10 years. In its first two years, it received \$100 million a year in general revenue. As originally designed, CIGP would not have been funded in FY 03-04 and FY 05-06. However, FDOT did not include any funding for CIGP in its proposed FY 02-03 legislative budget request because of projected general revenue shortfalls, and neither did the Governor. As scheduled, CIGP also is not listed for funding in FY 03-04.

Originally to help pay for the CIGP and other initiatives, the Legislature redirected millions of dollars of gas tax collections which for many years have been diverted from transportation projects to other general needs of the state. The sources of funding included transportation tax revenues freed up by eliminating the 7.3-percent General Revenue service charge on the state fuel sales tax, fuel use tax, off-highway fuel tax, and motor vehicle title fees, beginning in FY 2000-2001, and on the state comprehensive enhanced transportation system (SCETS) fuel tax and on the initial motor vehicle registration fee, effective July 1, 2001. Another source of funds was to be derived from phasing out the general revenue service surcharge on local-option fuel taxes over a two-year period beginning on July 1, 2005.

The impact of HB 929

HB 929 creates the potential for significant increases in transportation funding for county and municipal governments. According to a February 2003 study by the state Revenue Estimating Conference, indexing local-option motor fuel and diesel fuel taxes in all 67 counties would generate an additional

STORAGE NAME: h0929.tr.doc PAGE: 4 March 19, 2003

\$4.2 million in FY 03-04 for local road projects. In FY 2007-2008, that additional revenue could increase to \$71.6 million.

According to a fiscal analysis by FDOT, the counties in FY 03-04 could receive a direct benefit of \$10.3 million that now goes to the state General Revenue Fund and the Department of Revenue. Over the next decade, these additional revenues could exceed \$101 million. Indirectly, cities and counties could share in \$104.3 million in CIGP funds for local transportation projects in FY 03-04, and nearly \$677 million grant funds over the next 10 years.

On the other hand, the state's General Revenue Fund will lose an estimated \$86.7 million in FY 03-04. and as much as \$232 million over the next 10 years, while the Department of Revenue could lose \$17.6 million in FY 03-04 and an estimated \$191 million over the next 10 years, according to the FDOT fiscal analysis.

The FDOT analysis also indicates the State Transportation Trust Fund will lose \$329.2 million, beginning in FY 05-06 through FY 11-12, because a GR surcharge fund-shift that it would have started receiving instead would be returned to the counties. However, FDOT is not actually losing money, since fuel tax revenues that currently are subject to the GR service charge will instead be returned to FDOT to fund a specific program -- CIGP.

The substantive law changes that make these funding shifts and enhancements possible are:

- o Eliminating the GR service charge on the state SCETS fuel tax, state motor fuel and diesel fuel sales taxes; local-option fuel taxes other than those imposed under s. 336.025(1)(b), F.S., the state alternative fuel user fee clearing trust funds; aviation fuel taxes; and specialty fuel taxes. These revenues instead will be diverted to FDOT's State Transportation Trust Fund to finance CIGP.
- o Eliminating the GR service charge on the "ELMS nickel" local-option motor fuel sales taxes. These revenues will be returned to the counties where collected.
- o Eliminating the Department of Revenue service administrative charge of up to 2 percent for handling fuel tax collections and disbursements. These funds will be diverted to FDOT's State Transportation Trust Fund to finance CIGP.
- o Eliminating the 30-percent share of the \$100 initial motor vehicle registration fee deposited into the state's General Revenue Fund, and the GR service charge and administrative fees. These funds will be diverted to FDOT's State Transportation Trust Fund to finance CIGP.
- o Repealing s. 215.211(3), F.S., which was phasing out the GR surcharge on local-option fuel taxes and by July 1, 2006, giving DOT the funds to pay for CIGP and the Small County Outreach Program. Instead, those funds will be disbursed directly to the counties.
- o Repealing section 10 of chapter 2000-257, Laws of Florida (L.O.F.), which would have, effective July 1, 2005, amended s. 320.072(4), F.S., to eliminate the 30-percent share of the \$100 initial motor vehicle registration fee that the General Revenue Fund receives, as well as the 7.3-percent GR surcharge, and directing the money to FDOT with no earmarks. Instead, HB 929 requires FDOT to spend those funds for CIGP.
- o Tying to the Consumer Price Index the local-option fuel and diesel fuel taxes, the ELMS nickel, and the local-option 9th cent fuel tax. The indexing provisions are based on the state's provisions.

HB 929 takes effect July 1, 2003.

C. SECTION DIRECTORY:

Section 1: Amends s. 206.606, F.S, to delete the requirement that the fuel sales tax on gasoline and diesel fuels is subject to the General Revenue (GR) Fund 7-percent service charge and the administrative surcharge distributed to the Department of Revenue.

STORAGE NAME: h0929.tr.doc PAGE: 5 March 19, 2003

- Section 2: Amends s, 206.608, F.S., to delete the requirement that the SCETS local-option tax on gasoline and diesel fuels is subject to the 7-percent GR surcharge and the administrative surcharge distributed to the Department of Revenue.
- Section 3: Specifies that, beginning in July 1, 2003, the increased revenues derived from the elimination of the GR and administrative surcharges on the proceeds of the fuel sales taxes on motor fuel and diesel, the SCETS tax, and the local-option fuel taxes on motor fuel and diesel shall be deposited in the State Transportation Trust Fund (STTF) and used to fund CIGP.
- Section 4: Amends s. 215.20, F.S., to delete the Fuel Tax Collection Trust Fund, all taxes levied on motor fuels other than the fuel sales tax, the State Alternative Fuel User Fee Clearinghouse Trust Fund, the Local Alternative Fuel User Fee Clearing Trust Fund, and the Local Option Fuel Tax Trust Fund from the requirement for a .3 percent administrative service charge.
- Section 5: Amends s. 215.22, F.S., to add the Fuel Tax Collection Trust Fund, all taxes levied on motor fuels other than the fuel sales tax, the State Alternative Fuel User Fee Clearinghouse Trust Fund. the Local Alternative Fuel User Fee Clearing Trust Fund, and the Local Option Fuel Tax Trust Fund to the list of trust funds and revenues not subject to the GR 7-percent service charge.
- Section 6: Specifies that, beginning July 1, 2003, the increased revenues from the elimination of the 7-percent GR service charge on the Fuel Tax Collection Trust Fund, all taxes levied on motor fuels other than the fuel sales tax, the State Alternative Fuel User Fee Clearinghouse Trust Fund, the Local Alternative Fuel User Fee Clearing Trust Fund, and the Local Option Fuel Tax Trust Fund shall be deposited in the STTF and used to fund CIGP. Provides exception that the funds generated through elimination of the general revenue surcharge imposed under s. 215.20(1), F.S., on fuel taxes levied by a county under s. 336.025(1)(b), F.S., shall be returned directly to the county that levied it.
- Section 7: Amends .s 206.875, F.S., to delete the GR surcharge on diesel fuels.
- Section 8: Amends s. 206.879, F.S., to delete the GR surcharge on the state and local alternative fuels taxes.
- Section 9: Amends s. 206.9845, F.S., to delete a reference to the GR surcharge being deducted from aviation fuel taxes.
- Section 10: Amends s. 206.9945, F.S., to delete a reference to the GR surcharge being deducted from revenues generated by excise taxes on petroleum products and pollutants.
- Section 11: Amends s. 212.0501(6), F.S., to delete a reference to the GR surcharge being deducted from diesel fuel used by certain off-road vehicles.
- Section 12: Amends s. 320.072, F.S., (4), F.S. to eliminate the 30-percent share of the \$100 initial motor vehicle registration fee that the General Revenue Fund was receiving, as well as the 7-percent GR surcharge. Specifies that the funds shall remain in the STTF and are earmarked for CIGP.
- Section 13: Amends s. 339.1371, F.S., to reflect the impact of Section 17's repeal of section 10 of chapter 2000-257, Laws of Florida.
- Section 14: Amends s. 206.41(1), F.S., to allow the local-option "Ninth-Cent Fuel Tax," and the localoption fuel taxes to be adjusted, as state fuel taxes are, based on the Consumer Price Index. Specifies this indexing begins January 1, 2004. Specifies that an adjustment will be made every January 1 thereafter. Specifies that the fuel tax rates shall never be adjusted to an amount less than the rate as of December 31, 2003. Directs the Department of Revenue to notify terminal suppliers, wholesalers, importers, and position holders of the applicable tax rate, beginning January 1.

STORAGE NAME: h0929.tr.doc PAGE: 6 March 19, 2003

Section 15: Amends s. 336.021(1), F.S., to conform to changes in Section 14 of the bill. Specifies that local governments that already have imposed the local-option "Ninth-Cent Fuel Tax" shall not be required to amend their ordinances to reflect the indexing and adjustment. Specifies that any localgovernment ordinance adopting the "Ninth-Cent Fuel Tax" on or after July 1, 2003, shall specify that the tax rate is subject to adjustment.

Section 16: Amends s. 336.025, F.S., to conform to changes in Section 14 of the bill. Specifies that local governments that already have imposed local-option fuel taxes shall not be required to amend their ordinances or resolutions to reflect the indexing and adjustment. Specifies that any localgovernment ordinance or resolution levying a local-option fuel tax on or after July 1, 2003, shall specify that the tax rate is subject to adjustment. Expands the use of local-option fuel tax revenues, raised pursuant to s. 336.025(1)(b), F.S., to expenditures needed to meet immediate local transportation problems and for other transportation-related needs critical to building comprehensive local roadway networks. Deletes Department of Revenue administrative surcharge on the tax revenues. Allows municipalities within counties of 50,000 or less population and which levy the local-option fuel tax pursuant to s. 336.025(1)(a), F.S., to spend fuel tax revenues for infrastructure needs.

Section 17: Repeals s. 215.211(3), F.S., which was phasing out the GR surcharge on local-option fuel taxes by July 1, 2006, and giving DOT the funds to pay for the CIGP and the Small County Outreach Program. Repeals section 10 of chapter 2000-257 L.O.F. which would have, effective July 1, 2005, amended s. 320.072(4), F.S., to eliminate the 30-percent share of the \$100 initial motor vehicle registration fee that the General Revenue Fund receives, as well as the 7 percent GR surcharge.

Section 18: This act shall take effect July 1, 2003.

II. FISCAL ANALYSIS & ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT

A. FISCAL IMPACT ON STATE GOVERNMENT:

1. Revenues:

Positive impacts:

Based on FDOT's fiscal analysis, the State Transportation Trust Fund will receive \$104.3 million in revenue in FY 03-04, because of the elimination of: the service charges and administrative surcharges on state fuel tax revenues, diversions to other agencies' trust funds, and a portion of a fee on certain motor vehicle registrations. Over the next 10 fiscal years, DOT will receive nearly \$677 million more funds through HB 929. These funds will be earmarked for CIGP; FDOT will have no flexibility on how to spend them. (See "D. FISCAL COMMENTS" below)

Negative impacts:

Losing revenue under HB 929 is the state's General Revenue Fund, in the amount of \$86.7 million FY 03-04, and nearly \$232 million over the next 10 years.

The Department of Revenue also loses an estimated \$17.6 million in FY 03-04, and nearly \$191 million over the next 10 years. These are funds the department collects via its service charge of up to 2 percent to collect and audit fuel tax revenues.

FDOT also loses a portion of the funding for the Mobility 2000 initiative, a \$4 billion, 10-year program that uses general revenue and other sources to speed up several major transportation projects. Beginning in FY 05-06, revenues that would have gone to fund Mobility 2000 projects is shifted to CIGP. From FY 05-06 to FY 10-11, DOT estimates that \$329 million planned for Mobility 2000 instead will be earmarked for CIGP.

STORAGE NAME: PAGE: 7 h0929 tr doc March 19, 2003

Finally, the University Concurrency Trust Fund, administered by the State Board of Education to meet growth-management concurrency requirements for off-campus improvements, will lose about \$10.3 million in FY 03-04. Deposited into this trust fund, pursuant to s. 1013.63, F.S., is the revenue derived from the GR service charge on revenues raised by the levy of the "ELMS nickel" local-option fuel tax. pursuant to s. 336.025(1)(b), F.S.

2. Expenditures:

None.

B. FISCAL IMPACT ON LOCAL GOVERNMENTS:

1. Revenues:

Based on DOT's fiscal analysis, the counties that levy local-option fuel taxes will, collectively, receive \$10.3 million more in FY 03-04 through elimination of certain administrative service charges.

Indexing their local-option fuel taxes may generate \$4.2 million in FY 2003-2004, because it will be imposed for only part of the year, but the revenues are expected to grow significantly in future years, to an estimated \$71.6 million in FY 07-08.

So, for FY 03-04, counties could receive \$14.5 million in additional revenues.

2. Expenditures:

None.

C. DIRECT ECONOMIC IMPACT ON PRIVATE SECTOR:

Indeterminate. With more funds becoming available through indexing local-option fuel taxes, transportation contractors and related businesses could benefit from additional projects. The motoring public also will benefit from having additional transportation improvements funded.

D. FISCAL COMMENTS:

FDOT in its fiscal analysis does not consider the \$676.6 million available over the next 10 years as a increase to the State Transportation Trust Fund.

Section 10 of HB 929 also raises a question about the destination of GR service charge revenues currently imposed on the Florida Coastal Protection Trust Fund, the Water Quality Assurance Trust Fund, and the Inland Protection Trust Fund, all of which are managed by the Department of Environmental Regulation (DEP). A 2002 analysis indicated eliminating the GR surcharge and administrative charges could generate \$900,000 the initial fiscal year and as much as \$4.9 million over 10 years. The future repository of these revenues is unclear, as drafted.

III. COMMENTS

A. CONSTITUTIONAL ISSUES:

1. Applicability of Municipality/County Mandates Provision:

Not applicable. HB 929 does not require cities and counties to raise taxes, nor to expend revenues. nor does it affect the local governments' share of state taxes.

2. Other:

None.

STORAGE NAME: h0929.tr.doc PAGE: 8 March 19, 2003

B. RULE-MAKING AUTHORITY:

Not applicable.

C. DRAFTING ISSUES OR OTHER COMMENTS:

Drafting Issues

As mentioned in "D. FISCAL COMMENTS" above, some clarification is needed in Section 10 of the bill to determine the destination of revenues from deletion of the GR service charge on the three DEP trust funds receiving pollutant excise fees and other fuel taxes.

Other entities' positions on HB 929

The Florida Association of Counties, the Florida League of Cities, and the Metropolitan Planning Organizations Advisory Council support HB 929.

The affected state agencies have not taken a position on the bill.

IV. AMENDMENTS/COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTE CHANGES

STORAGE NAME: PAGE: 9 h0929.tr.doc March 19, 2003

DATE: