HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES STAFF ANALYSIS

BILL #: HB 1237 w/CS Relating to Local Communications Services Tax

SPONSOR(S): Murzin

TIED BILLS: IDEN./SIM. BILLS: SB 2322

REFERENCE	ACTION	ANALYST	STAFF DIRECTOR	
1) Subcommittee on Telecommunications	10 Y, 0 N	Holt	Liepshutz	
2) Business Regulation	36 Y, 0 N w/CS	Holt	Liepshutz	
3) Finance & Tax	19 Y, 0 N w/CS	Overton	Diez-Arguelles	
4) Appropriations				
5)			_	

SUMMARY ANALYSIS

In the 2000 Regular Session, the Legislature substantially rewrote Florida's communications tax law. The rewrite was to provide that communications services be subject to a uniform statewide tax rate and a local tax administered by the Department of Revenue (DOR). Numerous individuals from business, state and local government assisted the Legislature in formulating policy and drafting language. The new communications tax law was meant to replace the old tax structure with a simplified and revenue-neutral new tax code.

Taking effect in October 2001, the new Communications Services Tax Simplification (CST) law combined seven different state taxes, local taxes, and fees into a two-tiered tax composed of a State Communications Services Tax and a Local Communications Service Tax. The CST broadened, among other things, the taxable base of communications services by restructuring separate taxes and fees into a revenue-neutral communications services tax centrally administered by the Department of Revenue (DOR). Among the legislative findings and intent, chapter 202 is to "ensure that the growth of the industry is unimpaired by excessive governmental regulation. The tax imposed pursuant to this chapter is a replacement for taxes and fees previously imposed and it is not a new tax." Since the rewrite was so substantial, some provisions need further clarification.

The bill establishes a new procedural system for the DOR to administer resale certificates issued to dealers under the CST. This new procedural system is similar to the one DOR utilizes for sales and use tax under s. 212.07(1)(b), F.S. Effective July 1, 2007, the bill repeals the authority for local governments to adopt by ordinance or resolution "emergency rates" which exceed the statutory maximum rates allowed under the local CST. The bill also expands the list of prohibited taxes, charges, and fees that each public body can levy with respect to the sale or purchase of communications services.

The provision deleting the emergency rate authority has an indeterminate fiscal impact on local governments since it is unknown whether any of the local governments would have used the authority.

The provision deleting the emergency rate authority of the local governments reduces the local governments' authority to raise revenue by approximately \$93 million. As such, this bill is a mandate. The Florida Constitution requires a 2/3 vote of the membership of both houses of the Legislature for this bill to be enacted.

This document does not reflect the intent or official position of the bill sponsor or House of Representatives. STORAGE NAME: h1237a.ft.doc

STORAGE NAME: h1237a.ft.doc DATE: April 16, 2004

FULL ANALYSIS

I. SUBSTANTIVE ANALYSIS

A. DOES THE BILL:

1.	Reduce government?	Yes[]	No[]	N/A[x]
2.	Lower taxes?	Yes[x]	No[]	N/A[]
3.	Expand individual freedom?	Yes[]	No[]	N/A[x]
4.	Increase personal responsibility?	Yes[]	No[]	N/A[x]
5.	Empower families?	Yes[]	No[]	N/A[x]

For any principle that received a "no" above, please explain:

B. EFFECT OF PROPOSED CHANGES:

BACKGROUND

In the 2000 Regular Session, the Legislature substantially rewrote Florida's communications tax law. The rewrite was to provide that communications services be subject to a uniform statewide tax rate and a local tax administered by the Department of Revenue (DOR). Numerous individuals from business, state and local government assisted the Legislature in formulating policy and drafting language. The new communications tax law was meant to replace the old tax structure with a simplified and revenue-neutral new tax code.

Taking effect in October 2001, the new Communications Services Tax Simplification (CST) law combined seven different state taxes, local taxes, and fees into a two-tiered tax composed of a State Communications Services Tax and a Local Communications Service Tax. The CST broadened, among other things, the taxable base of communications services by restructuring separate taxes and fees into a revenue-neutral communications services tax centrally administered by the Department of Revenue (DOR). Among the legislative findings and intent, chapter 202 is to "ensure that the growth of the industry is unimpaired by excessive governmental regulation. The tax imposed pursuant to this chapter is a replacement for taxes and fees previously imposed and it is not a new tax." Since the rewrite was so substantial, some provisions need further clarification.

PROPOSED CHANGES

April 16, 2004

The bill amends s. 202.16(2), F.S., to establish an alternative procedural system for any dealer who documents an exempt "sale for resale" transaction by retaining a copy of the purchaser's initial or annual resale certificate. In lieu of maintaining a copy of the certificate, a dealer may document, prior to the time of sale, an authorization number that will be provided by the DOR telephonically, or electronically, or by other means established by the DOR. The dealer may also rely on an initial or annual resale certificate issued pursuant to s. 202.17(6), valid at the time of receipt from the purchaser, without seeking additional annual resale certificates from the purchaser, if the dealer makes recurring sales to the purchaser in the normal course of business on a continual basis.

The bill defines "recurring sales to a purchaser in the normal course of business" as a sale in which the dealer extends credit to the purchaser and records the debt as an account receivable, or in which the dealer sells to a purchaser who has established cash account, similar to an open credit account. The bill describes purchases that are made from a selling dealer on a continual basis occurs if the selling dealer makes, in the normal course of business, sales to the purchaser no less than once in every 12-month period. During any tax protest period, the bill provides that a dealer may submit, in lieu of a

STORAGE NAME: h1237a.ft.doc PAGE: 2

resale certificate, an exemption certificate executed by entities that were exempt at the time of sale, resale certificates provided by purchasers who were active dealers at the time of sale, and verification by the DOR of the purchaser's active dealer status at the time of sale. However, this alternative documentation may not be accepted in chapter 120, F.S., or circuit court proceedings instituted under chapter 72, F.S., relating to tax matters.

Further the bill amends s. 202.19(3)(a), F.S., specifying that the local CST rates authorized under s. 202.19, F.S., includes "and is in lieu of . . .application fees, transfer fees, siting fees, renewal fees, or claims for related costs" that a local taxing jurisdiction my impose upon dealers of communications services for the right to use or occupy public roads or rights-of-way.

The bill amends subsection 202.20(2), F.S., relating to local CST conversion rates. The bill provides that authority to make adjustments to the local CST by emergency ordinance or resolution can only be exercised if the Department of Revenue or communications services dealer relocates revenue away from a local government.

Effective July 1, 2007, the bill repeals the local government's authority to make local CST rate changes by emergency ordinance or resolution.

The bill states that the amendment to s. 202.19(3)(a) contained in the act is remedial in nature and intended to clarify the law in effect on the effective date of the act.

C. SECTION DIRECTORY:

Section 1. Amends s. 202.16(2), F.S., which provides that a dealer must document an exempt "sale for resale" transaction by retaining a copy of the purchaser's annual resale certificate. The bill provides an alternative documentation procedure for "sale for resale" transactions whereby an authorization number may provided by the DOR either telephonically, electronically, or by other means established by DOR. The dealer may also rely on an initial or annual resale certificate issued pursuant to s. 202.17(6), F.S., which is to be valid at the time or receipt from the purchaser, without seeking additional annual resale certificates from the purchaser, if the dealer makes recurring sales to the purchaser in the normal course of business on a continual basis.

The bill defines "recurring sales to a purchaser in the normal course of business" as a sale in which the dealer extends credit to the purchaser and records the debt as an account receivable, or in which the dealer sells to a purchaser who has an established cash account, similar to an open credit account. The bill describes purchases that are made from a selling dealer on a continual basis occurs if the selling dealer makes, in the normal course of business, sales to the purchaser no less that once in every 12-month period. During any tax protest period, the bill provides that a dealer may submit, in lieu of a resale certificate, an exemption certificate executed by entities that were exempt at the time of sale, resale certificates provided by purchasers who were active dealers at the time of sale, and verification by the DOR of the purchaser's active dealer status at the time of sale. However, this alternative documentation may not be accepted in chapter 120, F.S., or circuit court proceedings instituted under chapter 72, F.S., relating to tax matters.

Section 2. The bill amends s. 202.19(3)(a), F.S., specifying that the local CST rate authorized under s. 202.19, F.S., includes "and is in lieu of . . .application fees, transfer fees, siting fees, renewal fees, or claims for related costs" that a local taxing jurisdiction my impose upon dealers of communications services for the right to use or occupy public roads or rights-of-way.

Section 3. The bill amends s. 212.20(2)(a), F.S., to provide that authority to make adjustments to the local CST by emergency ordinance or resolution can only be exercised if the Department of Revenue or communications services dealer relocates revenue away from a local government.

STORAGE NAME: h1237a.ft.doc PAGE: 3

April 16, 2004

- Section 4. Effective July 1, 2007, repeals subsection 202.20(2), F.S., which provides the emergency rate authority to local jurisdictions.
- Section 5. Effective July 1, 2007, amends s. 202.21, F.S., to delete references to local CST rate changes by emergency ordinance or resolution.
- Section 6. Provides that the amendment to ss. 202.19(3)(a), F.S., contained in this act is remedial in nature and intended to clarify the law in effect on the effective date of this act.
 - Section 7. Provides that except as provided, the act takes effect on July 1, 2004.

II. FISCAL ANALYSIS & ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT

A. FISCAL IMPACT ON STATE GOVERNMENT:

1. Revenues:

None.

Expenditures:

DOR estimates that it will need a nonrecurring appropriation of \$53,205 to implement section 2 of the bill.

- **B. FISCAL IMPACT ON LOCAL GOVERNMENTS:**
 - 1. Revenues:

The provision deleting the emergency rate authority has an indeterminate fiscal impact on local governments since it is unknown whether any of the local governments would have used the authority.

2. Expenditures:

None.

- C. DIRECT ECONOMIC IMPACT ON PRIVATE SECTOR:
- D. FISCAL COMMENTS:

None.

III. COMMENTS

A. CONSTITUTIONAL ISSUES:

1. Applicability of Municipality/County Mandates Provision:

The provision deleting the emergency rate authority of the local governments reduces the local governments' authority to raise revenue by approximately \$93 million. As such, this bill is a mandate. The Florida Constitution requires a 2/3 vote of the membership of both houses of the Legislature for this bill to be enacted.

2. Other:

STORAGE NAME: h1237a.ft.doc PAGE: 4 April 16, 2004

None.

B. RULE-MAKING AUTHORITY:

None.

C. DRAFTING ISSUES OR OTHER COMMENTS:

IV. AMENDMENTS/COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTE CHANGES

On March 17, 2004, the Committee on Business Regulation (Committee) passed HB 1237 as a committee substitute. The Committee adopted one amendment. The amendment provides a delayed effective date of December 31, 2004, to Section 2. This delayed effective date is to allow time for DOR to develop rules and make operational changes in order to comply with the provisions of the act.

On April 15, 2004, the Committee on Finance and Taxation adopted a strike everything amendment. The amendment removed from the original bill the repeal of the legislative intent language that provides for reversion to the pre-2001 tax structure if the CST is declared invalid. The amendment also delays until July 1, 2007, repeal of the authority for local governments to adopt "emergency rates" by ordinance or resolution. Also, towers and siting fees are removed from the fee replacement issue.

STORAGE NAME: PAGE: 5 h1237a.ft.doc April 16, 2004

DATE.