

SENATE STAFF ANALYSIS AND ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT

(This document is based on the provisions contained in the legislation as of the latest date listed below.)

Prepared By: Community Affairs Committee

BILL: CS/SB 442

SPONSOR: Community Affairs Committee and Senator Bennett

SUBJECT: Building Safety

DATE: February 22, 2005

REVISED: _____

	ANALYST	STAFF DIRECTOR	REFERENCE	ACTION
1.	Vickers	Yeatman	CA	Fav/CS
2.			RI	
3.			BI	
4.			GE	
5.				
6.				

I. Summary:

This committee substitute (CS) addresses a number of issues relating to the development and administration of the Florida Building Code and related building safety requirements. Specifically, the CS implements the following:

- Revises the distribution of funds for the Hurricane Loss Mitigation Program;
- Revises procedures governing the adoption and amendment of the Florida Building Code;
- Modifies membership requirements for the Florida Building Code Commission;
- Provides new procedures for binding review of building code decisions by local building officials;
- Clarifies provisions relating to truss placement plans and the Code;
- Allows a fee owner's contractor, rather than only the fee owner, to use a private provider for building code inspection services;
- Eliminates the requirement that the private provider of code inspection services maintain comprehensive general liability insurance and increases professional liability insurance requirements;
- Restricts local governments ability to use building code fee revenues for non-related activities;
- Exempts Commission and hearing officer panels from APA rule requirements when reviewing decisions of local building officials;
- Revises the administration and operation of the Florida Building Code Training Program;
- Modifies provisions relating to the local product approval and evaluation process and includes the International Code Council Evaluation Service as an authorized product evaluation entity; and

- Establishes an informal process for rendering non-binding interpretations of the Florida Fire Prevention Code.

This CS substantially amends the following sections of the Florida Statutes: 120.80, 215.559, 489.537, 553.37, 553.73, 553.74, 553.77, 553.79, 553.791, 553.80, 553.841, and 553.842. This CS creates sections 553.775 and 633.026 of the Florida Statutes. This CS also creates an unnumbered section of the Florida Statutes. This CS repeals s. 553.8413 of the Florida Statutes.

II. Present Situation:

Hurricane Loss Mitigation Funding – Section 215.559, F.S., provides for an annual appropriation of \$10 million from the Florida Hurricane Catastrophe Fund to the Florida Department of Community Affairs for administration of the Hurricane Loss Mitigation Program. This section currently provides that \$7 million of this total must be used for programs that improve the wind resistance of residences and mobile homes.¹ This section further specifies that 40 percent of these funds must be used to inspect and improve tie-downs for mobile homes. An additional 10 percent of this amount is dedicated to the International Hurricane Center at Florida International University. The remaining 50 percent (\$3.5 million) is directed to programs developed by the Department, in consultation with a statutorily created advisory council, to prevent or reduce losses or to reduce the cost of rebuilding after a disaster.

In recent years, the Department has funded a variety of education, design, and construction initiatives through this funding, including the not-for-profit entities Building A Safer Florida, Inc., (BASF) and the Disaster Contractor Network. BASF is comprised of 21 member organizations that represent all the licensees required to comply with the Florida Unified Building Code. The Disaster Contractor Network is a web-based initiative sponsored by Florida's major trade groups and Florida State University to serve as a clearinghouse for contractors who are able to perform repairs, suppliers who have needed building materials, and consumers who need construction repairs after a natural disaster.

The Florida Building Code - Building codes establish minimum safety standards for the design and construction of buildings by addressing such issues as structural integrity; mechanical, plumbing, electrical, lighting, heating, air conditioning, ventilation, fireproofing, and exit systems; safe materials; energy efficiency; and accessibility by persons with physical disabilities. In doing so, these regulations protect lives and property, promotes innovation and new technology, and helps to ensure economic viability through the availability of safe and affordable buildings and structures.

Section 553.73, F.S., provides for the Florida Building Code (Code). The Code was authorized by the 1998 Florida Legislature to be the sole document incorporating all building standards adopted by all enforcement agencies and state agencies that license different types of facilities. The Code was developed and is updated and maintained by a state Commission that works towards consistency of standards throughout the state and full accessibility to information on the standards. The law allows for differences in the standards in different locales based on

¹ Section 215.559(2)(b), F.S., provides that the remaining \$3 million must be used to retrofit existing facilities used as public hurricane shelters.

compelling differences in physical conditions. However, the law establishes procedures for administration of the Code at all levels that will constrain unwarranted differences and ensure the availability of information on local differences to all parties throughout the state.

The law established the Florida Building Commission (Commission) as the body which is responsible for the development of the Code and the other elements of the system which support its implementation. The Commission has 23 members, appointed by the Governor, representing engineers, architects, contractors, building owners and insurers, state and local governments and persons with disabilities.

The Code is updated every three years by the Commission. The Commission may amend the code once each year to incorporate interpretations and update standards upon a finding that delaying the application of the amendment would be contrary to the health, safety, and welfare of the public, or the amendment provides an economic advantage to the consumer. A proposed amendment must include a fiscal impact statement which documents the costs and benefits of the proposed amendment. Criteria for the fiscal impact statement is established by rule and must include the impact to local government relative to enforcement, the impact to property and building owners, as well as to industry, relative to the cost of compliance. The 2004 Florida Building Code is scheduled to take effect on July 1, 2005.

The Commission is also authorized to hear appeals from decisions of local boards regarding the interpretation of the Code; issue declaratory statements relating to the Code; determine the types of products requiring approval for local or statewide use and provide for the evaluation and approval of such products, materials, devices, and method of construction for statewide use; and develop a Building Code Training Program.

Non-Binding Interpretations of the Florida Building Code - The 2002 Legislature provided an additional mechanism for guidance on interpreting the Code.² It authorized the Commission to recognize an outside entity to consult with Code officials and industry, and to issue non-binding advisory opinions. These advisory opinions were to be developed by licensed Code enforcement officials. The Commission selected the Building Officials Association of Florida (BOAF) as the entity to work with toward this end.

Requests for opinions are received through the Commission's Web site, and are then forwarded to BOAF and its experts on varied subject matters from industry and local building departments. The advice of these experts is directed to an experienced building official who drafts a response and forwards it to a select group of licensed and active Building Code Enforcement Officials familiar with the subject matter as assigned by BOAF. These officials make the final determination of the response, which then is forwarded to the questioner and posted on both the BOAF site and in the Commission's Building Code Information System. The electronic information system can be queried for advisory opinions and Declaratory Statements by subject area for any section of the Code. The Commission reports that more than 1,000 advisory opinions have been issued through this since its inception.

² Chapter 2002-193, s. 16, L.O.F.

Because the Code is an administrative rule, interpretations of the Code that are of general applicability must comply with the rule making provisions of ss. 120.536 and 120.54, F.S. The consensus of opinion by stakeholders is that necessary binding interpretations of the Code require a more expedited resolution than is afforded by the Code amendment and update process or the rulemaking provisions of ch. 120, F.S.

Alternative Plans Review and Private Provider Inspections - Section 17 of ch. 2002-293, L.O.F, created s. 553.791, F.S., to establish a procedure by which the public could choose to hire an engineer or architect to perform plans review and building inspection services for structures for which building permits are required. Subsection (2) authorizes the fee owner of a building to use and pay a private provider to offer building Code inspection services, subject to a written contract between these parties. The fee owner may use a private provider to offer both plans review and required building inspections, or to use the local enforcement agency for one or both of these purposes.

Subsection (15) of s. 553.791, F.S., authorizes a private provider to perform building Code inspection services under this section only if the private provider maintains insurance for professional and comprehensive general liability with minimum policy limits of \$1 million per occurrence relating to all services performed as a private provider, and including tail coverage for a minimum of 5 years subsequent to the performance of building Code inspection services.

The Building Inspection Division (Division) of the City of Jacksonville in its final draft report assessing the implementation of s. 553.791, F.S., expressed the concern that a potential conflict of interest may exist in the use of private inspectors in commercial projects in which the builder is not intended to be the final owner of the completed construction project. The Division's report expressed the concern that a builder's objective is to complete the construction project as quickly as possible and to transfer ownership to the buyer at the time of closing, but allowing the builder to pay for the inspection process discourages failed inspections in order for all parties to make a profit. The Division expressed concerned that this may endanger the public safety. The final owner of the property may also not know that the builder hired and paid for the inspections on their new home or property.

In response concerns relating to the use of private providers, the Commission established a workgroup in 2004 to evaluate this program and make recommendations to the Legislature. The workgroup focused its efforts on the following issues: (1) clarification of insurance requirements for private providers; (2) clarification of requirements for building departments' audit of private provider inspections; (3) flexibility for owners to select private provider inspections after permits are issued; (4) preemption of local requirements for private providers; and (5) authorization of licensed building code administrators to be private providers. The recommendations of the workgroup were included in the Commission's 2005 Report to the Legislature.

Building Inspection Fees - Section 553.79(1), F.S., prohibits the construction, erection, alteration, modification, repair, or demolition of any building within this state without first obtaining a permit from the appropriate enforcing agency. Subsection (6) provides that a permit may not be issued for any building construction, erection, alteration, modification, repair, or addition unless the applicant complies with the requirements for plan review established by the Commission within the Code.

Section 553.80(1), F.S., authorizes local governments to assess fees to fund the enforcement of the Code. However, such fees “shall be used solely for carrying out the local government’s responsibilities in enforcing the Code.”

In 2004, the Florida Home Builders Association conducted a study assessing local government’s compliance with the fees provision of s. 553.80, F.S. The study found the following problems among twelve different local governments:

- Local governments are not tracking and restricting the cumulative fund balance of building permit and inspection activities;
- There is no clear distinction between costs associated with enforcing the building Code and costs incurred in the planning and zoning departments, engineering departments, and other functions performed in the growth management division;
- Other non-enforcement costs are included in the same department and the costs are being subsidized by building permit fees and other fees;
- Some local governments, after subsidizing non-enforcement costs, are accumulating fund balances that could be considered unreasonable amounts;
- Local governments have in place adequate accounting and reporting practices for the full range of their financial management and reporting activities, but they do not appear to apply these tracking systems and accounting practices to building inspection fees; and
- Many local governments have not established any type of public input process, which allows the building community to express their views on the appropriate level of service that they require and are paying for.

Building Code Training - Section 553.841, F.S., requires the Commission to establish the Building Code Training Program. The Commission is to implement, by rule, a core curriculum and advanced module courses relating to the Florida Building Code. This section also:

- Directs the Commission to develop the training program in consultation with various agencies;
- Allows the Commission to enter into contracts with various providers to administer the program;
- Requires that the Commission develop, with the Department of Community Affairs, the Department of Business and Professional Regulation (DBPR), and the State Fire Marshall, a core curriculum and a set of advanced module course work;
- Specifies the information to be contained in the core curriculum and identifies the license categories impacted;
- Requires the Commission to develop, with DBPR and respective licensing boards, an equivalency test for the core curriculum, for each category of license;
- Requires the Commission, with DBPR, develop for use as continuing education units core module work for superintendents, journeyman and residential designers; and
- Requires the respective state boards and the State Fire Marshal to require specialized or advanced core modules as part of a continuing education program.

The Florida Building Code Information System supports the accreditation process by providing a paperless mechanism that utilizes electronic “in-boxes” for each group involved in the Code training and education process. This system provides screens that are customized for each individual user.

Product Approval - Product performance standards are integral to the scheme used by building codes to establish minimum building safety and performance standards. Traditionally, products are evaluated for compliance by engineering groups, which are independent of the manufacturers, then their evaluations are provided to the authority having jurisdiction for general approval or acceptance of the product. The use of a product for a specific building is also evaluated by the building designer or builder and approved during plan review and inspection of the building.³

Section 30 of ch. 2001-186, L.O.F., significantly rewrote the product approval provisions in s. 553.842, F.S., consistent with the Commission’s recommendations, as presented in its February, 2001 report to the Legislature. These changes provided for either state or local approval for all products for which the code establishes standards. Approval of a product by the State would be voluntary and at the manufacturer’s discretion. Approval is based on the evaluation of a product’s compliance with the standards established by the Code and validation of the information supporting compliance presented to the approving entity. Manufacturers are also required to operate quality assurance programs to ensure approved products continue to comply with the requirements of the Code.

Since the implementation of the current product approval system in October of 2003, the Commission has processed 3,648 applications and approved 11,433 products and 113 private entities that evaluate product performance and manufacture.⁴ The Commission has acknowledged that the initial approach of relying on parties who were contracted by manufacturers to validate the applications proved unreliable and resulted in a significant drain on Commission staff resources. In November of 2004, the Commission hired a contractor to assume responsibility for the review of applications for product approval.

III. Effect of Proposed Changes:

Section 1 amends s. 215.559, F.S., to revise the distribution of funds for the Hurricane Loss Mitigation Program. The CS provides that 15 percent of the funds designated to prevent or reduce losses or to reduce the cost of rebuilding after a disaster (\$1.05 million annually) must be used for education awareness related to the Florida Building Code and the operation of the Disaster Contractor Network. The CS provides that the Department of Community Affairs must contract with a nonprofit, tax-exempt entity having prior contracting experience with building code training, development, and coordination and whose membership is representative of all the statewide construction and design licensee associations. The entity is required to allocate 20 percent of the funds to the Disaster Contractor Network for education and hurricane response preparedness. An additional 20 percent must be allocated to the largest residential construction trade show in the state for the education of the residential construction industry on building code

³ Information taken from http://www.floridacommunitydevelopment.org/fbc/index_page/FULL%20Report%20and%20Cover%20-%20Legislature%202003-021303.pdf

⁴ Florida Building Commission, 2005 Report to the Legislature, January 2005.

and mitigation issues.⁵ The remaining funds must be used by the entity for outreach activities relating to the Florida Building Code.

Section 2 amends s. 489.537, F.S., to provide that cities and counties may not impose additional certification or licensure requirements for state certified electrical and alarm contractors.

Section 3 amends s. 553.37, F.S., to permit lawn storage buildings and sheds to be delivered and installed without the need for a contractor's or specialty license if the shed is less than 200 square feet in size and bears the insignia of approval of the Department of Community Affairs.

Section 489.103(19), F.S., currently provides an exemption for these structures from the construction contracting requirements contained in that same chapter. Specifically, this exemption applies to the sale, delivery, assembly, or tie-down of prefabricated portable sheds that are not more than 250 square feet in interior size and are not intended for use as a residence or as living quarters. This section further stipulates that this exemption may not be construed to interfere with the Florida Building Code or any applicable local technical amendment to the Florida Building Code, local licensure requirements, or other local ordinance provisions.

Section 4 amends s. 553.73, F.S., to implement a number of revisions to the adoption and amendment requirements for the Florida Building Code. Specific provisions contained in this section are summarized below.

Pool-Related Exit Alarms – The CS specifies that the pool-related door and window exit alarms required by ch. 515, F.S., must be of the battery-powered, hard-wired, or plug-in type. This provision clarifies that the battery-powered and plug-in alarms satisfy the requirements of ch. 515, F.S.

Updating the Code – The CS provides that when updating the Florida Building Code the Commission must select the most current versions of the appropriate model codes adopted by the International Code Council to form the foundation codes for the Florida Building Code.⁶ The Commission is authorized to modify portions of the foundation codes only as needed to accommodate the specific needs of Florida, and any Florida-specific amendments to the foundation codes must be clearly marked in printed versions of the Code. The CS also provides that a model code adopted by the International Code Council must be available to the public at least 6 months prior to its adoption by the Commission. Similarly, rule updates adopted by the Commission must take effect at least 6 months following publication of the updated Code.

Technical Amendments to the Code – The CS revises the standard for allowing adoption of technical amendments to the Code to include a finding that the amendment *is needed to accommodate the specific needs of the state*. The Commission is required to subject all proposed amendments to a review by staff prior to consideration by any technical advisory committee. Staff must reject any proposed amendment that fails to include the required fiscal impact

⁵ The Southeast Builders Conference which is held annually in Orlando is the largest construction trade show in the southeast.

⁶ The CS provides for the use of the following model codes: the International Building Code, the International Fuel Gas Code, the International Mechanical Code, the International Plumbing Code, the International Residential Code, and the International Code Council Electrical Code. Additionally, the CS references the federal code regarding noise contour lines.

analysis. Any proposed amendment which is rejected on this basis is not available for consideration by the Commission or any technical advisory committee.

Unvented Conditioned Attic Assemblies – The CS provides that notwithstanding other provisions governing the adoption of Code revisions, permitted standards for unvented conditioned attic assemblies in the International Residential Code are incorporated by reference as an authorized alternative in the Code. The Commission is directed to incorporate permitted standards in the Code by rule, however, the effectiveness of such permitted standards shall not be delayed in adopting pending rules. This provision is intended to address hurricane-related water intrusion concerns by allowing for the expedited approval and use of new ventless attic assemblies.

Mezzanine Requirements/Warehouses – The CS permits both enclosed and non-enclosed areas to be included within the calculation of foot area when determining the allowable size of a mezzanine located within a warehouse. Specifically, this provision states that mezzanines may be no larger than one-third of the total foot area as provided under the Code, however, both enclosed and non-enclosed areas may be included in this calculation. In addition, this section addresses certain mezzanine egress requirements.

Section 5 amends s. 553.74, F.S., to revise Commission qualification and membership requirements. The CS provides the Governor must appoint specified Commission members from lists of candidates submitted by the respective professional organizations, or may appoint other qualified individuals. The specific Commission members and the corresponding professional organizations are identified. This section does not alter the number of Commission members or the core composition of the Commission.

Section 6 deletes certain provisions of s. 553.77, F.S., regarding the specific powers of the Commission, including the Commission's ability to issue declaratory statements issued pursuant to s. 120.565, F.S., and the ability to hear appeals of the decisions of local boards. The CS also deletes the provisions of s. 553.77(7), F.S., which establish nonbinding interpretations of the Code. These powers are replaced by the creation of s. 553.775, F.S., in section 7 of the CS, which would allow the Commission, by rule, to establish an informal process of rendering interpretations of the Code. This section also provides that local building departments may approve minor changes to state approved plans under the prototype building program.

The CS also provides that if a member of the Florida Building Commission has a potential personal or financial interest in the outcome of a vote or other action of the Commission, the member must abstain from voting or taking action on the matter.

Section 7 creates s. 553.775, F.S., to set forth procedures for the Commission to review decisions of local building officials and local enforcement agencies regarding interpretations of the Code. Local agencies retain the primary responsibility for interpreting the Florida Building Code, consistent with declaratory statements and interpretations by the Commission. While anyone may petition the Commission to issue a declaratory statement, review of local interpretations of the Code must be appealed through the following system.

First, the Commission is directed to coordinate with the Building Officials Association of Florida (BOAF) Inc., a statewide organization of municipal and county codes enforcement officials to

designate panels composed of five hearing officers to hear requests to review decisions of local building officials. These hearing officers must be members of a statewide organization of code enforcement officials and licensed as building code administrators and have experience interpreting and enforcing provisions of the Code.

The request to review a decision of a local building official's interpretation of the code may be initiated by any substantially affected person. Request for review or petitions must be submitted to the Commission, who then forwards the information to a panel of hearing officers and to the local building official, and posts the petition on the Building Code Information System. The local building official then provides a written response to the panel. The petitioner then replies to the hearing officers addressing the information provided by the local building official. The panel must then conduct a proceeding to resolve the issue and publish its interpretation. The panel has 21 days after the date the petition is filed to complete the review. The petitioner may then file an appeal of the decision to the Commission. The burden of proof in any proceeding is on the party who initiated the appeal.

Local decisions declaring structures to be unsafe and subject to repair or demolition are not subject to review under this process. These local decisions may not be appealed to the Commission if the local governing body finds that there is an immediate danger to the health and safety of the public. Similarly, the Commission only has advisory powers with respect to any decision of the State Fire Marshal made under ch. 633, F.S.

The Commission may also establish an informal process of rendering non-binding interpretations of the Florida Building Code. The Commission may refer interpretive issues to organizations that represent those engaged in the construction industry. The resulting interpretations are advisory only and nonbinding on the parties and the Commission.

Finally, the Commission is authorized to impose a fee not to exceed \$50 for each request for review or interpretation.

Section 8 amends s. 553.79(14), F.S., to state that a truss placement plan is not required to be signed and sealed by an engineer or architect unless prepared by an engineer or architect or specifically required by the Code.

Section 9 amends s. 553.791, F.S., to provide that a fee owner's contractor, upon written authorization from the fee owner, may choose a private provider to provide building inspection services. The fee owner's contractor is required to notify the local building official at the time of permit application. The notification to the local building official must take place at least 7 business days prior to the first scheduled inspection by the local building code enforcement agency. The CS also provides additional terms and notification requirements governing the use of private providers.

Subsection (14) is amended to authorize local governments to establish a registration system to ensure private providers comply with the licensure and insurance requirements contained in this section. In addition, local building officials retain the authority to issue a stop-work order for a building project if the official determines that a condition on a building site constitutes an immediate threat to public safety and welfare.

Subsection (15) is amended to eliminate the requirement that the private provider maintain comprehensive general liability insurance with minimum policy limits of one million dollars per occurrence. The CS provides private providers must maintain professional liability insurance with minimum policy limits of two million dollars per occurrence for commercial projects, and one million dollars per occurrence for residential projects. If the private provider chooses to secure claims-made coverage to fulfill the insurance requirement, the provider must maintain coverage for a minimum period of 5 years subsequent to the performance of building code inspection services.

Subsection (17) is amended to specify that work on a building may proceed after the inspection and approval by a private provider if the provider has given notice of the inspection and, subsequent to inspection and approval, the work may not be delayed for completion of an inspection audit by a local building enforcement agency.

Section 10 amends s. 553.80, F.S., to restrict the use of building code fee revenues by local governments. Governing bodies of local governments are authorized to provide a schedule of reasonable fees for the enforcement of the code. The fees and any fines or investment earnings related to the fees are to be used solely for carrying out the local government's responsibilities in enforcing the code. Prohibited uses of fee revenue are specified. Any unexpended balance must be carried forward to fund allowable activities or be refunded.

The term "enforcing the Florida Building Code" is defined to include the direct costs and reasonable indirect costs associated with review of building plans, building inspections, re-inspections, building permit processing, provision of training courses, educational materials, and public building safety awareness related to the building code and building code enforcement; and enforcement against unlicensed contractor activity to the extent not funded with other user fees.\

A new subsection (8) is added to this section to exempt equipment storage sheds, pole barns, and other unoccupied structures owned by the Department of Agriculture and Consumer Services from local government permitting, plan review, and inspection fees.

Section 11 creates paragraph (17)(c) of s. 120.80, F.S., to exempt the Commission and hearing officer panels appointed by the Commission (created in section 7) from the provisions of ss. 120.565, 120.569, and 120.57, F.S., while conducting reviews of decisions by local building officials related to the Florida Building Code. These sections impose standard procedures for agency and department rule development.

Section 12 amends s. 553.841, F.S., to revise the administration and operation of the Florida Building Code Training Program. The CS redesignates this section as the "Building Code Education and Outreach Program" and creates the Building Code Education and Outreach Council to coordinate, develop, and maintain education and outreach efforts associated with the Florida Building Code. The Council is comprised of the following members:

- Three members of the Building Code Commission selected, by the Commission;
- One member selected by the Florida Building Code Administrators and Inspectors Boards, selected by that board

- One member of the Construction Industry Licensing Board, selected by that board;
- One member of the Electrical Contractors Licensing Board, selected by that board;
- One member of the Florida Board of Professional Engineers, selected by that board;
- One architect member of the Board of Architecture and Interior Design, selected by that board;
- One interior designer member of the Board of Architecture and Interior Design, selected by that board;
- One member of the Board of Landscape Architecture, selected by that board; and
- One member from the Office of the State Fire Marshall, selected by that office.

The Council is directed to determine the areas of priority funding for research and continuing education. In addition, the Council is required to review all proposed continuing education courses concerning the Florida Building Code and recommend to the Commission any related courses that should be approved for continuing education. Administrative support for the Council is to be provided by Building A Safer Florida, Inc.

The Council is responsible for the development of a core curriculum that is prerequisite to all specialized and advanced module coursework, as well as a set of specialized and advanced modules specifically designed for use by each profession.⁷ The core curriculum must cover the information required to have all categories of participants appropriately informed of their technical and administrative responsibilities under the Code. The core curriculum must be a prerequisite to the advanced module coursework for all categories of licensees within the first 2-year period after establishment of the program. Core courses taken by licensees pursuant to this requirement count toward fulfillment of required continuing education units.

The Council must also develop an equivalency test for each category of licensee which may be taken in lieu of the core curriculum. A passing score on the equivalency test will be credited toward the required number of hours of continuing education.

The Building Code Commission is required to determine the amount of funds available for research projects from the proceeds of contractor licensing fees and identify, solicit, and accept funds from other sources for research and education projects.

Section 13 repeals s. 553.8413, F.S., which created the Education Technical Advisory Committee.

Section 14 amends s. 553.842, F.S., to revise several provisions relating to the product approval and evaluation process. The CS limits the authority of local governments to approve building products and deletes a requirement that the Commission adopt procedures for local product approval (see section 16 of the CS for additional provisions relating to local product approval). The CS also recognizes the International Code Council Evaluation Services as a designated product evaluation entity.

⁷ This section is applicable to all individuals licensed under part XII of ch. 468, ch. 471, ch. 481, and ch. 489, except as otherwise provided in s. 471.017, F.S.

Section 15 creates s. 633.026, F.S., to authorize the State Fire Marshall to establish an informal process for rendering non-binding interpretations of the Florida Fire Prevention Code. This process is intended to allow fire chiefs, fire marshals, and fire inspectors to utilize a panel of fire code experts for the expeditious interpretation of fire code provisions. This provision is modeled after the program established by the Florida Building Commission in s. 553.77, F.S. Funding for this program is provided through the Insurance Regulatory Trust Fund.

Section 16 revises requirements relating to local government approval of products for local application. In general, this section provides for enhanced reliance on the documentation of Code compliance through the state-level product approval process.

This section identifies methods for demonstrating product compliance with the structural windload requirements of the Florida Building Code. In addition, this section provides that product-evaluation reports that indicate compliance with the Code based upon a test report from an approved testing laboratory and analysis by a Florida-registered engineer or architect must include a certification of independence. Similarly, this section provides that local building officials may accept modifications to products or their installation if sufficient evidence is submitted to demonstrate compliance with the Code or the intent of the Code. Products bearing a certification mark, label, or listing by an approved certification agency require no further documentation to establish compliance with the Code.

Section 17 provides an effective date of October 1, 2005.

IV. Constitutional Issues:

A. Municipality/County Mandates Restrictions:

None.

B. Public Records/Open Meetings Issues:

None.

C. Trust Funds Restrictions:

None.

V. Economic Impact and Fiscal Note:

A. Tax/Fee Issues:

The Commission is authorized to impose a fee not exceed \$50 for each request for review or interpretation of local decisions relating to the building code.

B. Private Sector Impact:

None.

C. Government Sector Impact:

Section 10 of the CS creates subsection (7) of s. 553.80, F.S., to restrict the use of building code fee revenues, and specifying that such fees must be used solely for carrying out the local government's responsibilities in enforcing the Code.

VI. Technical Deficiencies:

None.

VII. Related Issues:

None.

This Senate staff analysis does not reflect the intent or official position of the bill's sponsor or the Florida Senate.

VIII. Summary of Amendments:

None.

This Senate staff analysis does not reflect the intent or official position of the bill's sponsor or the Florida Senate.
