## Florida Senate - 2006

By Senator Wilson

```
33-334-06
```

1	A bill to be entitled
2	An act relating to recording custodial
3	interrogations; providing definitions;
4	providing that statements made during custodial
5	interrogations are presumed to be inadmissible
6	in court unless an electronic recording is
7	made; providing requirements for such
8	recordings; providing for rebutting the
9	presumption of inadmissibility for certain
10	nonrecorded statements; providing exceptions
11	for certain statements; providing for use of
12	statements for impeachment purposes; providing
13	for preservation of recordings; providing a
14	finding of important state interest; providing
15	an effective date.
16	
17	Be It Enacted by the Legislature of the State of Florida:
18	
19	Section 1. <u>Custodial interrogations; recording</u>
20	(1) As used in this section, the term:
21	(a) "Custodial interrogation" means any interrogation
22	during which:
23	1. A reasonable person in the subject's position would
24	consider himself or herself to be in custody.
25	2. A question is asked which is reasonably likely to
26	elicit an incriminating response.
27	(b) "Electronic recording" means a reproduction of a
28	custodial interrogation and may be created by motion picture,
29	videotape, audiotape, or digital or other media.
30	
31	

1

**CODING:** Words stricken are deletions; words <u>underlined</u> are additions.

1 (2) A statement made by a person during a custodial 2 interrogation shall be presumed to be inadmissible as evidence against that person in a criminal proceeding unless: 3 4 (a) An electronic recording is made of the custodial 5 interrogation. б (b) The recording is substantially accurate and not 7 intentionally altered. 8 (c) Prior to the statement, but during the electronic recording, the person is given all constitutionally required 9 10 warnings and the person knowingly, intelligently, and voluntarily waives any rights set out in the warnings which 11 12 would otherwise preclude the admission of the statement absent 13 the waiver of those rights. (d) The electronic recording device was capable of 14 making a true, complete, and accurate recording of the 15 interrogation, the operator of such device was competent, and 16 17 the electronic recording has not been altered. 18 (e) All voices that are material to the custodial interrogation are identified on the electronic recording. 19 20 (f) During discovery pursuant to Rule 3.220, Florida 21 Rules of Criminal Procedure, but in no circumstances later 2.2 than the 20th day before the date of the proceeding in which 23 the prosecution intends to offer the statement, the defense is provided with a true, complete, and accurate copy of all 2.4 electronic recordings of the defendant made pursuant to this 25 section. 26 27 (3) If the court finds, by a preponderance of the 2.8 evidence, that the defendant was subjected to a custodial interrogation in violation of this section, any statements 29 30 made by the defendant during or following that nonrecorded custodial interrogation, even if otherwise in compliance with 31

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

**Florida Senate - 2006** 33-334-06

1 this section, are presumed to be inadmissible in any criminal proceeding against the defendant except for the purposes of 2 impeachment. 3 4 (4)(a) In the absence of a true, complete, and 5 accurate electronic recording, the prosecution may rebut a б presumption of inadmissibility through clear and convincing 7 evidence that: 1. The statement was both voluntary and reliable. 8 9 2. Law enforcement officers had good cause not to 10 electronically record all or part of the interrogation. (b) As used in paragraph (a), "good cause" includes, 11 but is not limited to, the following circumstances: 12 1. The person refused to have the interrogation 13 electronically recorded and such refusal was electronically 14 <u>recorded;</u> 15 2. The failure to electronically record an entire 16 17 interrogation was the result of equipment failure and 18 obtaining replacement equipment was not feasible; or 3. The statement was obtained in the course of 19 electronic eavesdropping that was being conducted pursuant to 20 21 a properly obtained and issued warrant or that required no 22 warrant. 23 (5) This section does not apply to a statement made by 2.4 the person: 25 (a) At the person's trial or other hearing held in 26 open court. 27 (b) Before a grand jury. 2.8 (c) Which is the res gestae of the arrest or the 29 offense. 30 (d) Which is a spontaneous statement that was not made in response to a question. 31

3

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

**Florida Senate - 2006** 33-334-06

1	(e) During questioning that is routinely asked during
2	the processing of the arrest of a person.
3	(f) Which does not arise from a custodial
4	interrogation, as defined by this section.
5	(q) Which was obtained in another state by
6	investigative personnel of such state, acting independently of
7	law enforcement personnel of this state, in compliance with
8	the laws of such state.
9	(h) Which was obtained by a federal officer in this
10	state or another state during a lawful federal investigation
11	and was obtained in compliance with the laws of the United
12	States.
13	(6) This section does not preclude the admission of a
14	statement, otherwise inadmissible under this section, which is
15	used only for impeachment and not as substantive evidence.
16	(7) Each electronic recording of a custodial
17	interrogation made pursuant to this section must be preserved
18	until the person's conviction for any offense relating to the
19	interrogation is final and all direct appeals and collateral
20	challenges are exhausted, the prosecution of such offenses is
21	barred by law, or the state irrevocably waives in writing any
22	future prosecution of the person for any offense relating to
23	the interrogation.
24	Section 2. The Legislature finds that many innocent
25	persons are imprisoned and later released due to false
26	confessions; there are many reasons innocent people confess
27	ranging from coercion to mental illness; electronic recording
28	of interrogations protects the innocent and provides the best
29	evidence against the guilty; a number of other states and
30	local jurisdictions now require recording of interrogations;
31	and the benefits of electronic recording of interrogations

4

**CODING:** Words stricken are deletions; words <u>underlined</u> are additions.

**Florida Senate - 2006** 33-334-06

SB 770

outweigh its cost. Therefore, the Legislature determines and declares that this act fulfills an important state interest. Section 3. This act shall take effect July 1, 2006. SENATE SUMMARY Provides that statements made during custodial interrogations are presumed to be inadmissible in court unless an electronic recording is made. Provides requirements for such recordings. Provides for rebutting the presumption of inadmissibility for certain nonrecorded statements. Provides exceptions for certain statements. Provides for the use of statements for impeachment purposes. Provides requirements for preserving recordings. 

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.