Bill No. <u>SB 4-D</u>

## Barcode 831234

## CHAMBER ACTION

	CHAMBER ACTION Senate House
	· ·
1	· •
2	•
3	Floor: WD/2R . 10/17/2007 03:04 PM .
4	10/17/2007 03:04 PM .
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	Senator Atwater moved the following amendment:
12	
13	Senate Amendment (with title amendment)
14	On page 6, line 8, through
15	page 8, line 11, delete those lines
16	
17	and insert:
18	194.301 Burden of proof in assessment challenges
19	Presumption of correctness
20	(1) In any administrative or judicial action in which
21	a taxpayer challenges an ad valorem tax assessment of value,
22	the property appraiser shall have the burden of proving that
23	the assessment was arrived at in compliance with s. 193.011
24	using established standards of professional appraisal
25	<u>practice. If proven, the</u> <del>appraiser's</del> assessment shall be
26	presumed correct. The taxpayer shall then have the burden of
27	proving by a preponderance of the evidence that the assessment
28	of value is in excess of just value or that the assessment is
29	based on appraisal practices that are different from the
30	appraisal practices generally applied by the property
31	appraiser to comparable property within the same class in the
	1

2

3

5

6 7

8

9

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2021

22

2324

25

26

27

28 29

30

31

## Barcode 831234

county. This presumption of correctness is lost if the taxpayer shows by a preponderance of the evidence that either the property appraiser has failed to consider properly the criteria in s. 193.011 or if the property appraiser's assessment is arbitrarily based on appraisal practices which are different from the appraisal practices generally applied by the property appraiser to comparable property within the same class and within the same county. If the presumption of correctness is lost, the taxpayer shall have the burden of proving by a preponderance of the evidence that the appraiser's assessment is in excess of just value. If the presumption of correctness is retained, the taxpayer shall have the burden of proving by clear and convincing evidence that the appraiser's assessment is in excess of just value. In no case shall the taxpayer have the burden of proving that the property appraiser's assessment is not supported by any reasonable hypothesis of a legal assessment.

(2) If the property appraiser's assessment is <u>found</u> determined to be erroneous, the value adjustment board or the court can <u>determine</u> <u>establish</u> the assessment if there exists competent, substantial evidence in the record, which cumulatively meets the requirements of s. 193.011. If the record lacks <u>such</u> competent, substantial evidence <u>meeting the just value criteria of s. 193.011</u>, the matter shall be remanded to the property appraiser with appropriate directions from the value adjustment board or the court.

(3) In any administrative or judicial action in which a grant or denial of an exemption or assessment classification is challenged, the property appraiser shall have the burden of proving that his or her grant or denial is in compliance with laws governing such exemption or assessment classification.

Bill No. <u>SB 4-D</u>

## Barcode 831234

1	======== T I T L E A M E N D M E N T =========
2	And the title is amended as follows:
3	On page 1, lines 3-12, delete those lines
4	
5	and insert:
6	amending s. 194.301, F.S.; revising criteria,
7	requirements, and assignment of the burden of
8	proof in assessment challenges; requiring a
9	property appraiser to prove that assessment
10	classifications comply with applicable law;
11	providing for the amendments to s.
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
29	
30	
31	3
	6:23 PM 10/16/07 s0004Dc-25-j16