HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES STAFF ANALYSIS

BILL #: CS/HB 21 State Aid to Public Libraries

SPONSOR(S): Economic Expansion & Infrastructure Council, Davis and others

TIED BILLS: IDEN./SIM. BILLS: SB 82

REFERENCE	ACTION	ANALYST	STAFF DIRECTOR
1) Committee on Tourism & Trade	5 Y, 0 N	McGill	Hoagland
2) Economic Expansion & Infrastructure Council	14 Y, 0 N, As CS	McGill/Madsen	Tinker
3) Policy & Budget Council	27 Y, 0 N	Martin	Hansen
4)		<u> </u>	
5)			

SUMMARY ANALYSIS

CS/HB 21 amends the State Aid to Libraries grant program by revising eligibility criteria for multicounty and equalization grants. The bill revises the determination for and amount of multicounty base grants and changes the process for calculating equalization grants. The bill also limits the amount of equalization grants counties can receive under specified conditions. The bill changes the formula for equalization and multicounty grants which directs more of the State Aid appropriation to operating grants, benefiting counties of all sizes.

The bill does not have a fiscal impact on state government, as the bill only changes calculations affecting the distribution of State Aid funding that are appropriated in the General Appropriations Act. No additional funding is allocated.

The bill does have a fiscal impact on local governments. Under the new calculations based on FY 2007-08 funding levels and anticipated local effort, all but 4 counties would receive a slight (2%) decrease in funding. Transitioning counties will receive additional funding for a three year period. Some counties, with significant local effort, will have a reduction in funds due to the 10 percent cap for equalization grants under the new formula. In addition, one multicounty library will receive additional funds through the addition of a base funding eligibility for a two county service of \$50,000 in addition to the per capita funding allocation.

The bill provides an effective date of July 1, 2008.

This document does not reflect the intent or official position of the bill sponsor or House of Representatives. STORAGE NAME: h0021q.PBC.doc 4/21/2008

DATE:

FULL ANALYSIS

I. SUBSTANTIVE ANALYSIS

A. HOUSE PRINCIPLES ANALYSIS:

The bill does not appear to implicate any of the House principles.

B. EFFECT OF PROPOSED CHANGES:

Present Situation

Public libraries are governed by chapter 257, F.S. Specific provisions for State Aid Grants to Libraries relating to this bill are provided in ss. 257.17, F.S., 257.171, 257.172, and 257.18, F.S. The State Aid to Libraries program was established in 1961 with the legislative intent to aid and encourage the establishment and development of free library services throughout the state by providing grants of state money to counties maintaining a free library or free library service.

The State Aid to Libraries grant program is comprised of three interrelated grants: operating, equalization, and multicounty grants. The funding provided by each grant is based upon local expenditures.

- Operating grants- awarded to any county or municipality that meets basic criteria for professional library service. Grants may not be more than 25 percent of all local funds expended by that political subdivision during the second preceding fiscal year for the operation and maintenance of a library.¹
- Equalization grants- awarded to county library systems that meet the requirements for operating grants but have limited financial resources to spend on libraries, thereby limiting their capacity to draw state operating grants.
- Multicounty grants- awarded to systems of two or more counties that have joined together to provide library service to their residents.

Total State Aid funding has remained at essentially the same level, \$32 million, since FY 2001-02. In FY 2001-02 State Aid was matching local expenditures at 9.18 cents per local dollar. This amount in FY 2006-07 has fallen to 4.6 cents per local dollar due to increased local expenditures. The proportion of total State Aid resources awarded for equalization grants over the past six years has steadily increased, due in part to the way the grant funds are calculated. The proportion of State Aid that has been awarded to equalization grants has increased from 11% of the total State Aid appropriation in FY 2000-01 to nearly 31% in FY 2007-08. Based on FY 2007-08 funding, under the current formula, operating grants total \$19,689,564 or 62%; equalization grants total \$9,915,823 or 31%; and multicounty grants total \$2,393,846 or 7%.

The current criteria for equalization grant eligibility in s. 257.18, F.S., is based on a county's value of a mill and the per capita local funds spent for library support in relation to the median for all counties in the state. The current formula does not limit the percentage of equalization grant funds that can be awarded to a single county, enabling a few counties to receive large grants, thereby reducing the funds available for operating grants that benefit all qualified libraries. The formula does not have a mechanism for a gradual phase-out for libraries that no longer qualify for the equalization grant, nor does it prevent counties from moving in and out of equalization grant eligibility from one year to the next.

¹ Section 257.17, F.S.

STORAGE NAME: DATE:

h0021g.PBC.doc 4/21/2008 Library support is also provided by several other state and federal funding grants in addition to the three programs under the State Aid to Libraries. These include:

- Public Library Construction Grants provide state funding for the construction of public libraries. A dollar-for-dollar match is required for all construction grants. Grants are awarded from \$10,000 to \$500,000. During FY 2007-2008, \$5 million was awarded for 10 projects.
- <u>Library Cooperative Grants</u> provide state funding to multitype library cooperatives to assist them in meeting the educational and informational needs of Florida residents by encouraging and assuring cooperation among libraries of all types for the development of library service. Eligible multitype Library Cooperative organizations may apply for an annual grant of up to \$400,000. This program was funded at \$2.4 million in FY 2007-08.
- Library Services & Technology Act (LSTA) Grants is a federal grant program for libraries. It is a state based competitively awarded grant program with a broad mandate to use technology to bring information to people in innovative and effective ways, and to assure that library service is accessible to all. In FY 2007-2008, Florida received \$8,429,449.
- Community Libraries in Caring assists small, rural public libraries to improve library collections and services, improve adult and family literacy, and develop the economic viability in targeted counties and communities. The Florida Legislature determines the amount appropriated annually for the program. Applicants may apply for a grant of \$3,000 to \$10,000 per county or community. This program received \$100,000 in FY 2007-08.

Proposed changes

CS/HB 21 amends the State Aid to Libraries grant program by revising eligibility criteria for multicounty and equalization grants. The bill revises the determination for and amount of multicounty base grants and changes the process for calculating equalization grants.

The criteria used for awarding multicounty library grants found in s. 257.172, F.S., is amended to:

- Restrict multicounty grants to systems serving a population of 50,000 or more and that include at least one county that is eligible for an equalization grant;
- Establish a multicounty base grant of \$50,000 for systems serving two counties, which will come from the State Aid appropriation;
- Increase the multicounty base grant for systems serving three or more counties from \$250,000 to \$350,000, which will come from the State Aid appropriation if appropriations are increased from the FY 2007-08 amount by 3 percent.

The criteria used for awarding equalization library grants found in s. 257.18, F.S., is amended to:

- Limit equalization grants to only counties that received an equalization grant in FY 2007-08 and have been continuously eligible since that period.
- Determine the need for an equalization grant by using the county's operating millage or per capita income in relation to the average for all counties rather than by using the county's expenditures for library services.
- Establish a three year phase out from the equalization grants when a county becomes ineligible for an equalization grant.
- Limit the amount of equalization that can go to any single county, restricting the county to no more than 10% of the total amount required to fund equalization grants to all eliaible counties.
- Provide a base level of funding for equalization grant funds.
- At the point where state aid increases beyond the base amount, a cap of 15 percent of all State Aid funds for operating, multicounty and equalization grants is established for the equalization grants.

Based on FY 2007-08 funding of \$31,999,233 and using the criteria established by this bill, operating grants will represent 65% of total aid, equalization grants will decrease to 28% of total aid, and multicounty grants will slightly increase to 8% of total aid.

STORAGE NAME: h0021g.PBC.doc PAGE: 3 4/21/2008

Because of the cap placed on counties receiving equalization grants and due to some counties possibly entering the phase out provision, a decrease in funding may be realized by counties in the first year of the new formula. Actual funding amounts and elgibility for equalization grants will be determined based on applications submitted in October 2008. The funding counties receive from year to year varies depending on their local expenditures as well as their eligibility for an equalization grant. Over time the change in the formula will allow a greater proportion of State Aid to be awarded for operating grants as the number of counties receiving equalization grants is gradually decreased.

The chart below shows that, assuming FY 2007-08 appropriation levels and estimating local effort expectations, all but 4 counties would receive a slight (2%) decrease in funding in FY 2008-09 when compared to the current formula. However, when compared to actual funding received for FY 2007-08. some counties would receive an increase in funding and some would experience a decrease.

Counties transitioning off of an equalization grant will receive additional funding for a three year period. Counties that would have normally experienced an immediate zeroing out of their equalization grant under the current formula will receive more funds in FY 2008-09 under the new formula. For example under the current formula Clay County received \$2,123,553 in a equalization grant in FY 2007-08, but in the FY 2008-09 estimated grants it might not be eligible for an equalization grant and would receive only operating funding, and its portion of the equalization funding would be reallocated to all the other counties. In the proposed formula, Clay County would receive additional funds for three years under the provision for transitioning out of eligibility for equalization grants. Also, Nassau County will enter the three-year transition period. In the future, other counties will also receive an additional three years of transition funds as they lose eligibility for equalization grants.

Some counties, with significant local effort, will have a reduction in funds due to the 10 percent cap for equalization grants under the new formula. Hernando and Santa Rosa counties lose some funding due to the 10 percent cap for equalization grants under the new formula.

In addition, one multicounty library will receive additional funds due to base funding eligibility for a two county service of \$50,000 in addition to the per capita funding allocation.

STORAGE NAME: h0021g.PBC.doc PAGE: 4 4/21/2008

											Estimate	es using base e	qualization of §	88,877,057
	2007-2008 Final Grants With appropriation of \$31.99 million (Does not include 4% reduction)					2008-2009 Estimated Grants Based on appropriation of \$31.999 million using present						2008-2009 Es propriation of \$	timated Grants 31.999 million u	, ,
	OPERATING GRANT AFTER PRORATION		de 4% reduction EQUALIZATION GRANT			OPERATING GRANT AFTER PRORATION	MULTICOUNTY	mula Equalization Grant	TOTAL GRANT: OPERATING AND EQUALIZATIO		OPERATING GRANT AFTER PRORATION	MULTICOUNTY	mula EQUALIZATION GRANT	TOTAL GRANT: OPERATING AND EQUALIZATION
ALACHUA	499,635	0		499,635		542,984	0		542,984		531,039	C		531,039
BAKER	5,198	0	02,0.0	67,844		5,146	0	69,793			5,032	c c	,	
BAY BRADFORD	77,481 17,669	0	1	77,481 442,849		81,618 14,920		404,072	81,618 418,992		79,822 14,592	: C		79,822 412,048
BREVARD	697,985	0		697,985		718,135		404,072	718,135		702,338			702,338
BROWARD	2,517,523	0		2,517,523		2,469,387	0		2,469,387		2,415,065			2,415,065
CALHOUN	6,229		- , -	157,710		7,031	0	. , .			6,876		,	
CHARLOTTE CITRUS	130,981 110,289	0		130,981 110,289		124,889 140,806	0 0		124,889 140,806		122,142 137,709			122,142 137,709
CLAY *	105,971	0	2,123,553	2,229,524		144,590			144,590		141,410			
COLLIER	320,886	0		320,886		279,502	0		279,502		273,354			273,354
COLUMBIA DESOTO	26,383 5,492	0		642,170 70,281		28,825 7,854	0	757,202 104,278			28,191 7,682	. C		772,993 110,252
DIXIE	4,589	. 0		60,056		5,425	6 0	73,809	79,234	-	5,306			77,906
DUVAL	1,193,413	0)	1,193,413		1,272,826	0	70,000	1,272,826		1,244,826			1,244,826
ESCAMBIA	161,609	0		161,609		181,041			181,041		177,058	C		177,058
FLAGLER FRANKLIN	38,605 7,405	0		38,605 90,413		43,139 8,101	0	102,201	43,139 110,301		42,190 7,922	C		42,190 108,450
GADSDEN	19,211	0		476,842		15,888	0				15,539			434,541
GILCHRIST	3,029	0	36,611	39,640		3,797	0	51,655			3,714			
GLADES	2,566	0	00,007	33,533		1,453	0	19,727	21,180		1,421	C		20,825
GULF HAMILTON	6,132 8,844	0	10,000	77,098 222,179		6,190 7,805	0 0	80,631 211,895	86,821 219,700		6,054 7,633	. <u>C</u>		85,364 216,058
HARDEE	7,604	0		97,896		7,803	0		105,443		7,033			
HENDRY	22,933	0	,	287,883		23,146		300,976			22,637	Č		
HERNANDO	103,109			1,093,870		99,027	0	,,	1,169,987		96,849			
HIGHLANDS HILLSBOROUGH	40,428 1,506,300	0	,	473,610 1,506,300		27,802 1,394,680	0	,	363,087 1,394,680		27,190 1,364,000	0	,	356,985 1,364,000
HOLMES	3,821	0	1	50,223		5,061	0				4,950			
INDIAN RIVER	167,960	0		167,960		163,007	' 0	100,001	163,007		159,421	C		159,421
JACKSON	12,819		- /-	165,490		11,267	0	151,025			11,019			
JEFFERSON LAFAYETTE	5,437 5,398	0	,	137,148 136,971		5,398 5,197	0	.,	78,996 147,782		5,280 5,083	0	12,002	77,672 145,333
LAKE	291,147	0		291,147		316,843	0	,	316,843	-	309,873		-,	309,873
LEE	1,087,058	0		1,087,058		1,027,168	0		1,027,168		1,004,572	C		1,004,572
LEON	241,712			241,712		233,071	0		233,071		227,944			227,944
LEVY LIBERTY	7,876 2,592	0	. , .	99,820 65,773		7,777 2,947	0	. , .			7,606 2,882	C	,	108,109 82,403
MADISON	8,892	0		223,678		7,623	8 0	207,265	214,888		7,456			211,326
MANATEE	252,931	0	,	252,931		263,060	0	, , ,	263,060		257,273	C		257,273
MARION	232,650	0	1	232,650		285,608			285,608		279,325	C		279,325
MARTIN MIAMI-DADE	204,172 2,142,968	0		204,172 2,142,968		158,164 2,892,419			158,164 2,892,419		154,685 2,828,791	0		154,685 2,828,791
MONROE	105,494	0		105,494		105,280			105,280		102,964			102,964
NASSAU **	46,881	0	484,295	531,176		40,123		,	506,628		39,240		,	515,605
OKALOOSA	140,031	0	4	140,031		158,281	0		158,281		154,799			154,799
OKEECHOBEE ORANGE	11,217 1,142,801	0		141,925 1,142,801		13,713 1,436,597	0	179,853	193,567 1,436,597		13,412 1,404,995		.,	190,320 1,404,995
OSCEOLA	290,100	0		290,100		310,428	0		310,428		303,599			303,599
PALM BEACH	1,267,309	0		1,267,309		1,368,642	0		1,368,642		1,338,535	C		1,338,535
PASCO	277,469			277,469		287,519			287,519		281,195			281,195
PINELLAS POLK	1,149,113 351,640	0)	1,149,113 351,640		1,107,513 388,026			1,107,513 388,026		1,083,150 379,490		1	1,083,150 379,490
PUTNAM	22,047			270,838		30,729					30,053			413,937
SAINT JOHNS	173,408	0		173,408		185,971			185,971		181,880	C		181,880
SAINT LUCIE SANTA ROSA	177,024			177,024		176,918 94,003			176,918		173,026 91,935			173,026 965,105
SARASOTA	76,679 421,563	0		836,029 421,563		411,115			1,141,744 411,115		402,071			402,071
SEMINOLE	226,472	0		226,472		251,765	0		251,765		246,226	C		246,226
SUMTER	44,073	0		529,330		43,292					42,340			
SUWANNEE TAYLOR	16,353 10,675		,	404,250 137,981		14,158 10,288					13,846 10,062		,	
UNION	4,125			104,657		3,550					3,472			
VOLUSIA	550,088	0)	550,088		705,157	0		705,157		689,645	C		689,645
WAKULLA	9,028			116,209		10,163					9,940			
WALTON WASHINGTON	31,194 6,813			31,194 88,444		36,830 6,425			36,830 93,079		36,020 6,284			36,020 91,518
Various	0,013	<u> </u>	01,031	00,444		0,420	1	30,033	55,019		0,204	 	00,204	91,010
Municipalties	823,035			823,035		867,632			867,632		848,545			848,632
Multicounty	40.000.504	2,393,846		2,393,846		24 440 677	2,424,526	0 400 004	2,424,526		20 047 050	2,474,526		2,474,526
Totals Percent of Total	19,689,564 62%	2,393,846 7%		31,999,233 100%		21,112,077 66%		8,462,631 26%	31,999,234 100%		20,647,650 65%	2,474,526		31,999,23 3
							The grant am							

The FY2008-2009 estimates were calculated using estimated expenditures submitted in December 2006. The grant amounts and possibly eligibility for equalization grants will change once the actual certified expenditures are submitted in October 2008.

The FY2008-2009 estimates using the base equalization amount from 2006-2007 require that the Equalization grants be pro rated because the total equalization amount for which counties qualified for (\$9,024,827) exceeds the equalization cap of \$8,877,057.

h0021g.PBC.doc PAGE: 5 4/21/2008

STORAGE NAME: DATE:

^{*} Using the current formula and estimated expenditures Clay County does not qualify for Equalization in FY2008-09. They do qualify for Equalization under the proposed formula.

^{**} Using the current formula and estimated expenditures Nassau County qualifies for Equalization in FY2008-09. They do not qualify for Equalization under the proposed formula and would begin phasing out of Equalization. The proposed formula stipulates that a library that is in the first year of phasing out of Equalization receives the same amount as the prior year.

The bill also eliminates the reference that the Chief Financial Officer shall issue warrants to the eligible political subdivisions. This is reflected in current practice and is seen as removing an unnecessary reference to the current practice.

C. SECTION DIRECTORY:

- Section 1: Amends section 257.172, F.S., changes the determination and base grant for multicounty
- Section 2: Amends section 257.18, F.S., changes the process for determining eligibility for equalization grants, limits the amount of equalization grant funds that can go to any one county, and provides a maximum amount of State Aid that can go to equalization grants.
- Section 3: Amends section 257.22, F.S., removes the provision that the Chief Financial Officer shall issue warrants to the eligible political subdivisions.
- Section 4: Provides an effective date of July 1, 2008.

II. FISCAL ANALYSIS & ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT

A. FISCAL IMPACT ON STATE GOVERNMENT:

Revenues:

None.

2. Expenditures:

None. The bill changes the formula for State Aid funding to be distributed if appropriated. It does not appropriate additional monies.

B. FISCAL IMPACT ON LOCAL GOVERNMENTS:

1. Revenues:

Assuming FY 2007-08 appropriation levels and estimating local effort expectations, all but 4 counties would receive a slight (2% decrease) in funding. Transitioning counties will receive additional funding for a three year period. Some counties, with significant local effort, will have a reduction in funds due to the 10 percent cap for equalization grants under the new formula. In addition, one multicounty library will receive additional funds through the addition of a base funding eligibility for a two county service of \$50,000 in addition to the per capita funding allocation. Specifically, Clay County would receive additional funds for three years under the new formula's provision for transitioning out of eligibility for equalization grants. Under the new formula Nassau County will enter the three-year transition period. This will result in a slight increase under the new formula's direction to provide the same funding as last year in the first transition year, based on the assumption that their local effort will be reduced from the previous year. In the future, other counties will also receive an additional three years of transition funds as they lose eligibility for equalization grants. Hernando and Santa Rosa counties lose some funding due to the 10 percent cap for equalization grants under the new formula.

2. Expenditures:

Expenditures by local governments will likely need to be adjusted to reflect the change in state revenues received by local libraries.

C. DIRECT ECONOMIC IMPACT ON PRIVATE SECTOR:

None.

STORAGE NAME: h0021g.PBC.doc PAGE: 6 4/21/2008

D. FISCAL COMMENTS:

None.

III. COMMENTS

A. CONSTITUTIONAL ISSUES:

1. Applicability of Municipality/County Mandates Provision:

This bill does not require counties or municipalities to spend funds or take action requiring the expenditure of funds. This bill does not reduce the percentage of state tax shared with counties or municipalities. This bill does not reduce the authority that municipalities have to raise revenue.

2. Other:

None.

B. RULE-MAKING AUTHORITY:

None.

C. DRAFTING ISSUES OR OTHER COMMENTS:

D. STATEMENT OF THE SPONSOR

CS/HB 21 makes revisions in criteria for library multicounty and equalizations grants. This will prove to level the playing field and provide equality in funding for those counties that receive state aid for their public libraries. Although in all but 4 counties state aid will decrease by 2%, those counties that are not adequately funded will receive more monies, and those counties who are transitioning out of eligibility will receive three years of funding to compensate for the changes.

IV. AMENDMENTS/COUNCIL SUBSTITUTE CHANGES

On January 24, 2008, the Committee on Tourism and Trade adopted an amendment that does the following:

Corrects a technical error in the bill with the cap on the amount for equalization grants and clarifies how to factor in the cap of \$8,877,057 when the state aid appropriation does not fully fund equalization grants.

STORAGE NAME: h0021g.PBC.doc PAGE: 7 4/21/2008