HJR 433 2008

House Joint Resolution

A joint resolution proposing an amendment to Section 9 of Article IV of the State Constitution to require the Fish and Wildlife Conservation Commission to provide a rule challenge process in commission procedures for persons who are affected by any existing or proposed rule of the commission and to provide guidelines for commission rules and rule challenges.

8

1

2

4

5

6

7

Be It Resolved by the Legislature of the State of Florida:

11 12

13

14

15

16

10

That the following amendment to Section 9 of Article IV of the State Constitution is agreed to and shall be submitted to the electors of this state for approval or rejection at the next general election or at an earlier special election specifically authorized by law for that purpose:

17

ARTICLE IV EXECUTIVE

18 19

20

21

22

2324

25

26

27

28

SECTION 9. Fish and wildlife conservation commission.—There shall be a fish and wildlife conservation commission, composed of seven members appointed by the governor, subject to confirmation by the senate, for staggered terms of five years. The commission shall exercise the regulatory and executive powers of the state with respect to wild animal life and fresh water aquatic life, and shall also exercise regulatory and executive powers of the state with respect to marine life, except that all license fees for taking wild animal life, fresh

Page 1 of 3

water aquatic life, and marine life and penalties for violating

HJR 433 2008

29

30

31

32

3334

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42 43

44

45

46

47

48 49

50

51 52

53

54

55

56

regulations of the commission shall be prescribed by general law. The commission shall establish procedures to ensure adequate due process in the exercise of its regulatory and executive functions. The procedures shall include a rule challenge process for persons who are affected by any existing or proposed rule of the commission. In a rule challenge, the burden shall be on the commission to show by a preponderance of the evidence that the rule is supported by competent and substantial evidence and that the evidence demonstrates the rational basis for the rule. Rules of the commission shall not be based upon speculation unsupported by evidence or empirical data. Procedures for a rule challenge process shall follow those set forth in the state administrative procedure act. The legislature may enact laws in aid of the commission, not inconsistent with this section, except that there shall be no special law or general law of local application pertaining to hunting or fishing. The commission's exercise of executive powers in the area of planning, budgeting, personnel management, and purchasing shall be as provided by law. Revenue derived from license fees for the taking of wild animal life and fresh water aquatic life shall be appropriated to the commission by the legislature for the purposes of management, protection, and conservation of wild animal life and fresh water aquatic life. Revenue derived from license fees relating to marine life shall be appropriated by the legislature for the purposes of management, protection, and conservation of marine life as provided by law. The commission shall not be a unit of any other state agency and shall have its own staff, which includes

Page 2 of 3

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

HJR 433 2008

management, research, and enforcement. Unless provided by general law, the commission shall have no authority to regulate matters relating to air and water pollution.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the following statement be placed on the ballot:

CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT

ARTICLE IV, SECTION 9

FISH AND WILDLIFE CONSERVATION COMMISSION RULE CHALLENGE PROCEDURES.--Proposing an amendment to the State Constitution to require the Fish and Wildlife Conservation Commission to provide in the procedures of the commission a rule challenge process for persons affected by existing or proposed commission rules, to require that the burden in a rule challenge shall be on the commission to show by a preponderance of the evidence that the rule is supported by competent and substantial evidence and that the evidence demonstrates the rational basis for the rule, to require that a rule not be based on speculation unsupported by evidence or empirical data, and to require that the commission's rule challenge process procedures follow those set forth in the state Administrative Procedure Act.