# The Florida Senate BILL ANALYSIS AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

(This document is based on the provisions contained in the legislation as of the latest date listed below.)

	Pre	pared By:	The Profession	al Staff of the Judio	ciary Committee	Э		
BILL:	CS/SB 614							
INTRODUCER:	Criminal Justice Committee and Senators Dean and Bullard							
SUBJECT:	Public Defenders/Classification and Pay Plan							
DATE:	March 24, 2008		REVISED:					
ANAL Cellon Treadwell 3. 4. 5.	YST	STAF Canno Maclu	<del></del>	REFERENCE CJ JU GO GA	Fav/CS Favorable	ACTION		
	Please A. COMMITTE B. AMENDME	E SUBST	ITUTE X	for Addition Statement of Subs Technical amendr Amendments were Significant amend	stantial Changes nents were reco	s ommended		

## I. Summary:

The bill authorizes the 20 offices of the public defender to use unallocated funds for lump-sum bonuses without a specific appropriation by the Legislature or approval by the Legislative Budget Commission as currently required by law.

This bill substantially amends sections 27.53 and 216.181, Florida Statutes.

## II. Present Situation:

The 20 offices of the public defender are experiencing problems retaining assistant public defenders. The Florida Public Defender Association (the association) reports that a 10-year average of the statewide turnover rate of assistant public defenders is 22 percent. Individual offices have experienced annual turnover rates as high as 58 percent.<sup>1</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Statement in Support of Authorization to Allow For Recognition Awards, Florida Public Defender Association, received by committee staff via e-mail, February 22, 2008. Salaries may be a contributing factor to the high turnover rate experienced in public defender offices. The Justice Administrative Commission reports that an average starting salary for an assistant public defender in 2007 was \$43,059.

BILL: CS/SB 614 Page 2

According to the association, in an effort to retain assistant public defenders, support staff, and investigators, individual public defenders have utilized appropriated salary dollars ("left-over" in the salary budget when employees leave and create vacancies in positions that were funded for the fiscal year) to provide "recognition awards" or bonuses to employees. This practice does not appear to be authorized under current Florida law.

## **Funding of the Offices of the Public Defender**

The offices of the public defender are funded by the Legislature. Public defenders are authorized to appoint and pay assistant public defenders. Current law establishes parameters under which they may act. It states:

The public defender of each judicial circuit is authorized to employ and establish, in such numbers as authorized by the General Appropriations Act, assistant public defenders and other staff and personnel pursuant to s. 29.006, who shall be paid from funds appropriated for that purpose.<sup>2</sup>

The salaries of public defenders, assistant public defenders, criminal conflict and civil regional counsel, and assistant regional counsel are provided for in the General Appropriations Act and are paid by the state in equal monthly installments.<sup>3</sup> Public defenders from each circuit are authorized to set the salaries of the assistants in an amount not to exceed 100 percent of the public defender's salary.<sup>4</sup> These salaries "shall be paid from funds appropriated for that purpose."<sup>5</sup>

Public defenders are required to jointly develop a coordinated classification and pay plan, which must be submitted to the Justice Administrative Commission, the President of the Senate, and the Speaker of the House of Representatives on or before January 1 of each year.<sup>6</sup>

### **Payment of Bonuses by State Agencies**

Lump-sum salary bonuses by state agencies may be provided only if specifically appropriated or provided pursuant to s. 110.1245 or s. 216.1815, F.S.<sup>7</sup> These two provisions allow agencies to provide bonuses to agency employees who have been frugal with state money. In the first instance, "cost-saving sharing" awards are permissible for state employees who develop methods to decrease state expenditures. In the second instance, an agency with funds remaining at the end of a fiscal year due to internal savings may submit a request to the Legislative Budget

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Section 27.53(1), F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Section 27.5301(1), F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Section 27.5301(2), F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Id

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Section 27.53(1) and (3), F.S. The pay plan must be established according to the policies and procedures of the Executive Office of the Governor established in s. 216.181, F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Section 216.181(10)(b), F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Section 110.1245(1)(b), F.S. Agency plans for participating in the program must include, at a minimum, a statement that "bonuses are subject to specific appropriation by the Legislature." s. 110.1245(2)(a), F.S. Employees or groups of employees must meet certain criteria in order to qualify for the bonus awards. s. 110.1245(2)(b).

BILL: CS/SB 614 Page 3

Commission to retain a percentage of the surplus money for salary increases or other expenditures.<sup>9</sup>

#### **Reversion of State Funds**

In the event a state agency has a surplus of unallocated funds remaining at the end of a fiscal year, those funds revert back to the General Revenue Fund. <sup>10</sup>

## III. Effect of Proposed Changes:

Under this bill, public defenders would be authorized to use unallocated funds for lump-sum bonuses without satisfying the current statutory prerequisites for lump-sum bonus awards, which require that the funds be specifically appropriated by the Legislature or authorized by the Legislative Budget Commission. At a minimum, the bill appears to give public defenders the ability to include salary bonuses within their annual classification and pay plans.

The bill amends the statute that prescribes the conditions under which lump-sum bonuses may be awarded to include a specific reference to the statute under which pay plans are developed by the offices of the public defender. In this manner, the bill appears to envision that the submission of pay plans that include bonuses would authorize payment of bonuses by public defenders.

Other state agencies, including state attorneys, would continue to be limited to providing lump-sum bonuses to employees as permitted in s. 110.1245, F.S., and s. 216.1815, F.S.

### IV. Constitutional Issues:

Α.

	1 7 7
	None.
B.	Public Records/Open Meetings Issues:

Municipality/County Mandates Restrictions:

C. Trust Funds Restrictions:

None.

None.

# V. Fiscal Impact Statement:

A. Tax/Fee Issues:

None.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> Section 216.1815(5), F.S. The amount to be retained by the agency cannot be less than 5 percent or more than 25 percent of the annual savings. *Id.* Additionally, the salary increases or other expenditures must not create a recurring cost to the state in excess of the recurring savings achieved by the agency. *Id.* 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> Section 216.301, F.S.

BILL: CS/SB 614 Page 4

## B. Private Sector Impact:

None anticipated.

## C. Government Sector Impact:

The bill may have a positive effect on the ability of the offices of the public defender to retain assistant public defenders and other staff. It seems likely, though, that employees who are motivated to leave are leaving for reasons related to caseload, the nature of the work, or more lucrative offers. These are reasons for dissatisfaction with a job that typically linger long after a lump-sum salary bonus is spent. It is much more likely, however, that those who have no intention of leaving and whose work ethic enables them to "take up the slack" when turnover occurs, would be the real beneficiaries of the public defender bonuses.

The provisions of the bill do not require an expenditure.

## VI. Technical Deficiencies:

None.

#### VII. Related Issues:

None.

#### VIII. Additional Information:

## A. Committee Substitute – Statement of Substantial Changes:

(Summarizing differences between the Committee Substitute and the prior version of the bill.)

#### CS by Criminal Justice on March 5, 2008:

The bill was amended to insert technical amendments and cross-references that were necessary to clarify and simplify the implementation of the intent of the bill.

### B. Amendments:

None.

This Senate Bill Analysis does not reflect the intent or official position of the bill's introducer or the Florida Senate.