# The Florida Senate BILL ANALYSIS AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

(This document is based on the provisions contained in the legislation as of the latest date listed below.)

Prep	pared By: The Profes	sional Staff of the	Communications	and Public Uti	lities Committee	
BILL:	SB 794					
INTRODUCER:	Senator Bennett					
SUBJECT:	Excavations and de	emolitions				
DATE:	February 20, 2008	REVISED:				
ANAL	YST STA	FF DIRECTOR	REFERENCE		ACTION	
Wiehle	Cald	well	CU	Fav/CS		
			CA			
			GA			

## I. Summary:

The bill prohibits charging expenses and administrative costs associated with premarking the area of a proposed excavation or marking the route of an underground utility, notwithstanding any local law or ordinance to the contrary.

The bill substantially amends section 556.105 of the Florida Statutes.

#### II. Present Situation:

Chapter 556, F.S., is the Underground Facility Damage Prevention and Safety Act. The intent of the act is to provide a system whereby excavating contractors and the public may provide notification of their intent to engage in excavation or demolition to allow underground utility operators an opportunity to identify and locate their underground facilities prior to the planned excavation or demolition to prevent damage to these underground facilities.

The notification system is operated by Sunshine State One-Call Of Florida, Inc. (One-Call), created by the act as a non-profit corporation. Each operator of an underground facility is a member.

Section 556.105, F.S., provides system procedures. Not less than 2 full business days before beginning any excavation or demolition an excavator must provide specified information to the One-Call system. The One-Call system then notifies all member operators within the defined area of a proposed excavation or demolition. The statute then sets out four possible scenarios relating to the duty to identify the location of the planned excavation and the underground utilities, three of which require marking.

BILL: SB 794 Page 2

• When an excavation site cannot be described in the notice of intent to excavate with sufficient particularity to enable the member operator to locate the excavation site, the excavator must premark the site of the proposed excavation before a member operator is required to mark the route of its nearby underground facilities.

- If a member operator determines that a proposed excavation is in proximity to or in conflict with an underground facility of the member operator, except a facility beneath the waters of the state, the member operator must mark the route of the underground utility using markers specified in the statute.
- If a member operator determines that a proposed excavation is in proximity to or in conflict with an underground facility of the member operator beneath the waters of the state, the member operator must mark the route of the underground facility using different (floating) specified markers.

The statute does not address any charges for premarking proposed excavation sites or marking underground utility routes.

# III. Effect of Proposed Changes:

The bill amends paragraphs 556.105 (5)(a), (b), and (c), F.S., to prohibit charging expenses and administrative costs associated with premarking the site of a proposed excavation or marking the route of an underground utility, notwithstanding any local law or ordinance to the contrary.

The bill takes effect July 1, 2008.

#### IV. Constitutional Issues:

A. Municipality/County Mandates Restrictions:

None.

B. Public Records/Open Meetings Issues:

None.

C. Trust Funds Restrictions:

None.

### V. Fiscal Impact Statement:

A. Tax/Fee Issues:

None.

#### B. Private Sector Impact:

Neither excavators nor operators of underground utilities will be able to recover expenses and administrative costs associated with marking the site of a proposed excavation or the

BILL: SB 794 Page 3

route of an underground utility. To the extent that the potential for such marking charges is a disincentive to persons planning to excavate to participate in the notice system, the bill will increase participation in the notice system and avoid potential damage to underground utilities.

C. Government Sector impa	C.	Government Sector Impa	ıct
---------------------------	----	------------------------	-----

None.

#### VI. Technical Deficiencies:

None.

## VII. Related Issues:

None.

#### VIII. Additional Information:

A. Committee Substitute – Statement of Substantial Changes:

 $(Summarizing\ differences\ between\ the\ Committee\ Substitute\ and\ the\ prior\ version\ of\ the\ bill.)$ 

None.

B. Amendments:

None.

This Senate Bill Analysis does not reflect the intent or official position of the bill's introducer or the Florida Senate.