By Senator Baker

20-02616-08

2008882

A bill to be entitled

An act relating to civil actions against insurers; amending s. 624.155, F.S.; providing that only an insured of the insurer may bring a civil action against the insurer under specified circumstances; requiring the insured to cooperate fully with an insurer in asserting a demand for settlement; specifying certain activities the insurer may interpose as a defense to a civil remedy; revising time periods relating to notices in certain actions; revising notice requirements; providing that the remedies specified preempt other civil remedies created by statute or common law; specifying the effect of certain judgments; requiring an insured to prove by clear and convincing evidence the allegations made in an action relating to the insurer's failure to settle a claim; limiting the liability of an insurer for failing to pay its policy limits under certain circumstances; authorizing parties to request certain court orders relating to unnecessary or inappropriate delay; providing procedures for amending witness lists; limiting admissibility of certain evidence; specifying considerations for a trier of fact in certain actions; providing for construction relating to assigning causes of action; providing an effective date.

2425

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1314

15

1617

18

19

2021

22

23

Be It Enacted by the Legislature of the State of Florida:

2627

29

30

3132

33

3435

36

37

38 39

40

4142

43

44

4546

47

48

49

5051

52

53

54

55

56

20-02616-08 2008882

Section 1. Subsections (1), (3), and (8) of section 624.155, Florida Statutes, are amended, and subsections (10), (11), (12), and (13) are added to that section, to read: 624.155 Civil remedy.--

- (1) An insured Any person may bring a civil action against an insurer when such person is damaged:
- (a) By a violation of any of the following provisions by the insurer:
 - 1. Section 626.9541(1)(i), (o), or (x);
 - 2. Section 626.9551;
 - 3. Section 626.9705;
 - 4. Section 626.9706;
 - 5. Section 626.9707; or
 - 6. Section 627.7283.
- (b) By the commission of any of the following acts by the insurer:
- 1. Not attempting in good faith to settle claims when, under all the circumstances, it could and should have done so, had it acted fairly and honestly toward its insured and with due regard for her or his interests and the interests of all other policyholders. However, both the insured and any person asserting any demand for such settlement owes a similar duty to the insurer to cooperate fully with the insurer, and it is a defense to any action under this section if the court finds that the insured or other person demanding settlement:
- a. Failed to cooperate fully in facilitating the settlement;
- b. Imposed or adhered to time limits or other conditions of settlement without at that time demonstrating to the insurer

20-02616-08 2008882

valid reasons that such time limits or other conditions were reasonable and necessary and that such reasons were totally unrelated to the possibility of obtaining damages under this section; or

- c. Lacked authority to make the demand or to accept the amount demanded in full settlement of all claims, including liens, arising from the occurrence;
- 2. Making claims payments to insureds or beneficiaries not accompanied by a statement setting forth the coverage under which payments are being made; or
- 3. Except as to liability coverage, failing to promptly settle claims, when the obligation to settle a claim has become reasonably clear, under one portion of the insurance policy coverage in order to influence settlements under other portions of the insurance policy coverage.

Notwithstanding the provisions of the above to the contrary, a person pursuing a remedy under this section need not prove that such act was committed or performed with such frequency as to indicate a general business practice.

- (3)(a) As a condition precedent to bringing an action under this section, the department and the authorized insurer must have been given 90 60 days' written notice of the violation. If the department returns a notice for lack of specificity, the 90-day 60-day time period does shall not begin until a proper notice is filed.
- (b) The notice shall be on a form provided by the department and shall state with specificity the following

20-02616-08 2008882

information, and such other information as the department may require:

- 1. The statutory provision, including the specific language of the statute, which the authorized insurer allegedly violated.
- 2. The <u>specific</u> facts and circumstances giving rise to the violation, including facts and circumstances pertinent to each factor stated in subsection (11) and the identity of all parties who have made claims against the insured for the occurrence giving rise to the claim and any documentation pertaining to such claims.
 - 3. The name of any individual involved in the violation.
- 4. Reference to specific policy <u>coverage and</u> language that is relevant to the violation, if any. If the person bringing the civil action is a third party claimant, she or he shall not be required to reference the specific policy language if the authorized insurer has not provided a copy of the policy to the third party claimant pursuant to written request.
- 5. A statement that the notice is given in order to perfect the right to pursue the civil remedy authorized by this section.
- 6. A detailed description of the specific dollar amounts
 that are due and unpaid under each available coverage and how
 such amounts are calculated and of any other actions requested to
 cure the violation.
- (c) Within 30 20 days after of receipt of the notice, the department shall may return any notice that does not provide the specific information required by this section, and the department shall indicate the specific deficiencies contained in the notice. A determination by the department to return a notice for lack of

114

115

116

117

118

119120

121

122

123

124

125

126127

128

129

130

131132

133

134

135

136

137

138

139

140

141

20-02616-08 2008882

specificity \underline{is} shall be exempt from the requirements of chapter 120.

- (d) No action shall lie if, within $\underline{90}$ 60 days after filing notice, the damages are paid or the circumstances giving rise to the violation are corrected.
- (e) The authorized insurer that is the recipient of a notice filed pursuant to this section shall report to the department on the disposition of the alleged violation.
- (f) The applicable statute of limitations for an action under this section shall be tolled for a period of $\underline{95}$ 65 days by the mailing of the notice required by this subsection or the mailing of a subsequent notice required by this subsection.
- The civil remedy specified in this section preempts all does not preempt any other remedies and causes remedy or cause of action for extra-contractual damages for failing to settle under an insurance contract provided for pursuant to any other statute or pursuant to the common law of this state. Any person may obtain a judgment under either the common-law remedy of bad faith or this statutory remedy, but shall not be entitled to a judgment under both remedies. This section does shall not be construed to create a common-law cause of action. The damages recoverable under pursuant to this section shall include, but may not exceed, those actual damages that which are a reasonably foreseeable result of a specified violation of this section by the authorized insurer and may include an award or judgment in an amount that exceeds the policy limits. The rendition of a judgment against a liability insured does not create a presumption or inference that the violation will foreseeably result in actual damages, except to the extent it is proven that the insured has or is reasonably

143

144

145

146

147

148

149

150

151

152 153

154

155

156 157

158

159

160

161

162

163

164

165

166

167

168

20-02616-08 2008882

expected to have assets from which the judgment is expected to be paid. The satisfaction of a judgment rendered against an insurer under this section operates as the satisfaction of the underlying judgment against the insured.

- (10) In an action against an insurer arising from an allegation that the insurer failed to settle a claim for liability insurance coverage, the burden is on the insured to prove, by clear and convincing evidence, that the insurer's refusal to settle was unreasonable.
- (a) An insurer is not liable for failing to pay the insurer's policy limits if the insurer tenders the insurer's policy limits by the earlier of:
- 1. The 210th day after service of the complaint on the insurer in the negligence action against the insured. The time period specified in this subparagraph shall be extended by an additional 60 days if the court finds that, at any time during the period and after the 150th day after service of the complaint in the underlying liability action, the claimant provided new information not previously provided to the insurer relating to the identity or testimony of any material witnesses or the identity of any additional claimants or defendants if such disclosure materially alters the risk to the insured of an excess judgment; or
- 2. The 60th day after the conclusion of all of the following:
- a. Depositions of all claimants named in the complaint or amended complaint.

170

171

172

173

174

175 176

177

178

179

180

181

182

183

184

185

186

187

188

189

190

191

192

193

194

195 196 20-02616-08 2008882

b. Depositions of all defendants named in the complaint or amended complaint, including, in the case of a corporate defendant, deposition of a designated representative.

- c. Depositions of all of the claimants' expert witnesses.
- d. The initial disclosure of witnesses and production of documents.

If there are multiple claimants seeking compensation from the same insured or multiple insureds or if there is a single claimant seeking compensation from multiple insureds for damages arising from the same occurrence, which compensation in the aggregate exceeds the policy limits of the insurer, the insurer of the insured or insureds is not liable for extra-contractual damages for failing to pay the insurer's policy limits if the insurer makes a written offer of its policy limits within the timeframe set forth in this subsection to all known potential claimants in exchange for releases of all claims against all insureds or tenders such limits to the court for apportionment to the claimants.

(b) Either party may request that the court enter an order finding that the other party has unnecessarily or inappropriately delayed any of the events specified in subparagraph (a) 2. If the court finds that the claimant was responsible for unnecessary or inappropriate delay, subparagraph (a)1. does not apply to the insurer's tendering of the insurer's policy limits. If the court finds that the defendant or insurer was responsible for unnecessary or inappropriate delay, subparagraph (a) 2. does not apply to the insurer's tendering of the insurer's policy limits.

198

199

200

201

202

203

204

205

206

207

208

209

210

211

212

213

214

215 216

217

218

219 220

221

222

223

224

20-02616-08 2008882

(c) If a party to an action alleging liability for acts covered by liability insurance amends its witness list after service of the complaint in the action, that party shall provide a copy of the amended witness list to the insurer of the defendant.

- (d) The time limits specified in this subsection are not admissible as evidence that the insurer acted in violation of this section.
- (11) If an insurer does not tender its policy limits to settle a liability insurance claim under subsection (10), the trier of fact, in determining whether an insurer has acted in violation of this section, must consider only:
- (a) The insurer's willingness to negotiate with the claimant in anticipation of settlement.
- (b) The propriety of the insurer's methods of investigating and evaluating the claim.
- (c) Whether the insurer timely informed the insured of an offer to settle within the limits of coverage, the right to retain personal counsel, and the risk of litigation.
- (d) Whether the insured denied liability or requested that the case be defended after the insurer fully advised the insured as to the facts and risks.
- (e) Whether the claimant imposed any condition, other than the tender of the policy limits, on the settlement of the claim.
- (f) Whether the claimant provided all relevant information to the insurer on a timely basis.
- (g) Whether and when other defendants in the case settled or were dismissed from the case.

226

227

228

229

230

231

232

233

234

235

236

237

238

239

240

20-02616-08 2008882

(h) Whether there were multiple claimants seeking, in the aggregate, compensation in excess of policy limits from the defendant or the defendant's insurer.

- (i) Whether the insured or claimant misrepresented material facts to the insurer or made material omissions of fact to the insurer.
- (j) Other matters that constitute defenses or limitations to actions or damages that are specified in this section.
- (12) An insurer that tenders the insurer's policy limits is entitled to a release of its insured if the claimant accepts the tender.
- (13) This section does not prohibit an insured from assigning the cause of action to an injured third-party claimant for the insurer's failure to act fairly and honestly towards its insured and with due regard for the insured's interest.
 - Section 2. This act shall take effect July 1, 2008.