Florida Senate - 2009 Bill No. SB 1006



LEGISLATIVE ACTION

Senate	•	House
Comm: RCS	•	
04/15/2009	•	
	•	
	•	

The Committee on Finance and Tax (Bennett) recommended the following:

Senate Amendment (with title amendment)

11

1

Delete everything after the enacting clause and insert: Section 1. Section 194.301, Florida Statutes, is amended to read: <u>(Substantial rewording of section. See</u> <u>s. 194.301, F.S., for present text.)</u> <u>194.301 Challenge to ad valorem tax assessment.-</u> <u>(1) An ad valorem tax assessment is presumed correct</u>

Page 1 of 4

593-04649A-09

Florida Senate - 2009 Bill No. SB 1006

144816

1 0	
12	whether established by the property appraiser or as revised by
13	the value adjustment board. However, a taxpayer who challenges
14	an assessment is entitled to a determination by the value
15	adjustment board or court of the appropriateness of the
16	appraisal methodology used in making the assessment. The value
17	of property must be determined by an appraisal methodology that
18	complies with the criteria of s. 193.011 and professionally
19	accepted appraisal practices. The provisions of this subsection
20	preempt any prior case law that is inconsistent with this
21	subsection.
22	(2) In an administrative or judicial action in which an ad
23	valorem tax assessment is challenged, the burden of proof is on
24	the party initiating the challenge.
25	(a) If the challenge is to the assessed value of the
26	property, the party initiating the challenge has the burden of
27	proving by a preponderance of the evidence that the assessed
28	value:
29	1. Does not represent the just value of the property after
30	taking into account any applicable limits on annual increases in
31	the value of the property;
32	2. Does not represent the classified use value or
33	fractional value of the property if the property is required to
34	be assessed based on its character or use; or
35	3. Is arbitrarily based on appraisal practices that are
36	different from the appraisal practices generally applied by the
37	property appraiser to comparable property within the same
38	county.
39	(b) If the party challenging the assessment satisfies the
40	requirements of paragraph (a), the presumption provided in

593-04649A-09

Florida Senate - 2009 Bill No. SB 1006

144816

41	subsection (1) is overcome and the value adjustment board or the
42	court shall establish the assessment if there is competent,
43	substantial evidence of value in the record which cumulatively
44	meets the criteria of s. 193.011 and professionally accepted
45	appraisal practices. If the record lacks such evidence, the
46	matter must be remanded to the property appraiser with
47	appropriate directions from the value adjustment board or the
48	court, and the property appraiser must comply with those
49	directions.
50	(c) If the revised assessment following remand is
51	challenged, the procedures described in this section apply.
52	(d) A party is not required to exclude every reasonable
53	hypothesis of a legal assessment.
54	(e) If the challenge is to the classification or exemption
55	status of the property, there is no presumption of correctness
56	and the party initiating the challenge has the burden of proving
57	by a preponderance of the evidence that the classification or
58	exempt status assigned to the property is incorrect.
59	Section 2. This act shall take effect upon becoming a law,
60	and applies to the 2009 tax roll, except that s. 194.301(2)(d)
61	and (e), Florida Statutes, as amended by this act, are
62	clarifying and remedial in nature and also applies to actions
63	pending on or after the effective date of this act for which no
64	final order has been issued.
65	
66	=========== TITLE AMENDMENT=============
67	And the title is amended as follows:
68	Delete everything before the enacting clause
69	and insert:

593-04649A-09

COMMITTEE AMENDMENT

Florida Senate - 2009 Bill No. SB 1006



70 A bill to be entitled 71 A act relating to ad valorem assessments; amending s. 194.301, F.S.; revising the bases for providing a 72 73 presumption of correctness to an assessment of 74 property value; providing that the taxpayer is 75 entitled to an evaluation of the appraisal 76 methodology; providing that the act preempts prior 77 case law; revising the criteria for overcoming the presumption of correctness; providing for challenges 78 to the classification or exemption status of property; 79 80 providing for application; providing an effective 81 date.