By Senator Fasano

11-00570A-09 20091006

A bill to be entitled

An act relating to ad valorem assessments; amending s. 194.301, F.S.; revising the burden of proof in the challenge of an assessment; requiring property appraisers to prove compliance with certain laws and appraisal practices; deleting provisions relating to a presumption of correctness of an assessment by a property appraiser; requiring a taxpayer to prove entitlement to an ad valorem tax exemption or classification by a preponderance of the evidence; providing legislative intent; providing for retroactive application; providing an effective date.

Be It Enacted by the Legislature of the State of Florida:

Section 1. Section 194.301, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

194.301 Presumption of correctness <u>and burden of proof in</u> challenges to ad valorem tax value assessment.—

(1) In any administrative or judicial action in which a

taxpayer challenges an ad valorem tax assessment of value, the property appraiser has the burden of going forward and proving that his or her assessment was arrived at by complying with s. 193.011 and professionally accepted appraisal practices, including mass appraisal standards, if appropriate, in which case the assessment shall be presumed correct. The taxpayer has the burden of proving by a preponderance of the evidence that

assessment is based upon appraisal practices that are different

the assessment of value exceeds just value or that the

30

31

32

33

34

3536

3738

3940

41

42

4.3

44

45

46

47

48

4950

51

52

53

5455

56

57

58

11-00570A-09 20091006

from the appraisal practices generally applied to comparable property within the same class. In any judicial action in which the property appraiser challenges the value adjustment board's determination of value, the property appraiser has the burden of proving by a preponderance of the evidence that the assessment established by the value adjustment board is less than just value appraiser's assessment shall be presumed correct. This presumption of correctness is lost if the taxpayer shows by a preponderance of the evidence that either the property appraiser has failed to consider properly the criteria in s. 193.011 or if the property appraiser's assessment is arbitrarily based on appraisal practices which are different from the appraisal practices generally applied by the property appraiser to comparable property within the same class and within the same county. If the presumption of correctness is lost, the taxpayer shall have the burden of proving by a preponderance of the evidence that the appraiser's assessment is in excess of just value. If the presumption of correctness is retained, the taxpayer shall have the burden of proving by clear and convincing evidence that the appraiser's assessment is in excess of just value. In no case shall the taxpayer have the burden of proving that the property appraiser's assessment is not supported by any reasonable hypothesis of a legal assessment. If the property appraiser's assessment is determined to be erroneous, the value adjustment board or the court can establish the assessment if there exists competent, substantial evidence exists in the $record_{T}$ which cumulatively meets the requirements of s. 193.011 and professionally accepted appraisal practices, including mass appraisal standards, if appropriate. If the

11-00570A-09 20091006

record lacks competent, substantial evidence meeting the just value criteria of s. 193.011, the matter shall be remanded to the property appraiser with appropriate directions from the value adjustment board or the court. The burdens of proof provided in this section apply to the challenge of an assessment that is revised after the assessment is remanded to the property appraiser by a value adjustment board or court.

(2) In any administrative or judicial action in which a denial of an exemption or assessment classification is challenged, the denial by the property appraiser does not have a presumption of correctness. In such actions, the taxpayer has the burden of proving entitlement to an exemption or assessment classification by a preponderance of the evidence.

Section 2. It is the express intent of the Legislature that a taxpayer shall never have the burden of proving that the property appraiser's assessment is not supported by any reasonable hypothesis of a legal assessment. All cases establishing the every-reasonable-hypothesis standard were expressly rejected by the Legislature on the adoption of chapter 97-85, Laws of Florida. It is the further intent of the Legislature that any cases published since 1997 citing the every-reasonable-hypothesis standard are expressly rejected to the extent that they are interpretative of legislative intent.

Section 3. <u>Subsection (2) of section 194.301</u>, <u>Florida</u>

<u>Statutes</u>, as created by this act, and section 2 of this act are intended to clarify existing law and apply retroactively.

Section 4. This act shall take effect upon becoming a law and first applies to assessments in 2009.