

## LEGISLATIVE ACTION

Senate House

Floor: 1d/F/3R 05/01/2009 02:49 PM

Senator Lawson moved the following:

## Senate Amendment to Amendment (963610) (with title amendment)

Delete lines 3 - 60

and insert:

2

3 4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

Delete lines 27 - 95

and insert:

194.301 Presumption of correctness <u>and burden of proof in</u> ad valorem tax assessment challenges.—In any administrative or judicial action in which a taxpayer challenges an ad valorem tax assessment of value is challenged, the burden of proof shall be

13

14

15

16 17

18 19

20 21

22 23

24

25

26

27

28 29

30 31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41



on the party initiating the action and such party has the burden of proving by a preponderance of the evidence that the assessment, as established by the property appraiser or the Value Adjustment Board, is incorrect the property appraiser's assessment shall be presumed correct. This presumption of correctness is lost if the taxpayer shows by a preponderance of the evidence that either the property appraiser has failed to consider properly the criteria in s. 193.011 or if the property appraiser's assessment is arbitrarily based on appraisal practices which are different from the appraisal practices generally applied by the property appraiser to comparable property within the same class and within the same county. If the presumption of correctness is lost, the taxpayer shall have the burden of proving by a preponderance of the evidence that the appraiser's assessment is in excess of just value. If the presumption of correctness is retained, the taxpayer shall have the burden of proving by clear and convincing evidence that the appraiser's assessment is in excess of just value. In no case shall the taxpayer have the burden of proving that the property appraiser's assessment is not supported by any reasonable hypothesis of a legal assessment. If the property appraiser's assessment is determined to be incorrect erroneous, the Value Adjustment Board or the court can establish the assessment if there exists competent, substantial evidence in the record, which cumulatively meets the requirements of s. 193.011. If the record lacks competent, substantial evidence meeting the just value criteria of s. 193.011, the matter shall be remanded to the property appraiser with appropriate directions from the Value Adjustment Board or the court.



42 Section 2. This act shall take effect upon becoming a law. 43 ======== T I T L E A M E N D M E N T ========== 44 And the title is amended as follows: 45 Delete lines 64 - 76 46 47 and insert: 48 Delete lines 2 - 21 49 and insert: 50 51 An act relating to ad valorem tax assessments; 52 amending s. 194.301, F.S.; revising criteria for a 53 presumption of correctness of ad valorem tax 54 assessments and the burden of proof in actions 55 challenging such assessments; providing an effective 56 date.