Florida Senate - 2009 Bill No. CS for CS for HB 521



LEGISLATIVE ACTION

Senate	•	House
	•	
	•	
Floor: 1/AD/3R	•	Floor: C
05/01/2009 02:50 PM	•	05/01/2009 05:42 PM
	•	

Senator I	Fasano moved the following:
Sena	ate Amendment (with title amendment)
Dele	ete lines 27 - 81
and inse	rt:
(Sub	ostantial rewording of section. See
s. (194.301, F.S., for present text.)
194	.301 Challenge to ad valorem tax assessment
(1)	In any administrative or judicial action in which a
taxpayer	challenges an ad valorem tax assessment of value, the
property	appraiser's assessment is presumed correct if the
appraise	r proves by a preponderance of the evidence that the
assessmer	nt was arrived at by complying with s. 193.011, any

SENATOR AMENDMENT

Florida Senate - 2009 Bill No. CS for CS for HB 521



13	other applicable statutory requirements relating to classified
14	use values or assessment caps, and professionally accepted
15	appraisal practices, including mass appraisal standards, if
16	appropriate. However, a taxpayer who challenges an assessment is
17	entitled to a determination by the value adjustment board or
18	court of the appropriateness of the appraisal methodology used
19	in making the assessment. The value of property must be
20	determined by an appraisal methodology that complies with the
21	criteria of s. 193.011 and professionally accepted appraisal
22	practices. The provisions of this subsection preempt any prior
23	case law that is inconsistent with this subsection.
24	(2) In an administrative or judicial action in which an ad
25	valorem tax assessment is challenged, the burden of proof is on
26	the party initiating the challenge.
27	(a) If the challenge is to the assessed value of the
28	property, the party initiating the challenge has the burden of
29	proving by a preponderance of the evidence that the assessed
30	value:
31	1. Does not represent the just value of the property after
32	taking into account any applicable limits on annual increases in
33	the value of the property;
34	2. Does not represent the classified use value or
35	fractional value of the property if the property is required to
36	be assessed based on its character or use; or
37	3. Is arbitrarily based on appraisal practices that are
38	different from the appraisal practices generally applied by the
39	property appraiser to comparable property within the same
40	county.
41	(b) If the party challenging the assessment satisfies the

SENATOR AMENDMENT

Florida Senate - 2009 Bill No. CS for CS for HB 521



42	requirements of paragraph (a), the presumption provided in
43	subsection (1) is overcome and the value adjustment board or the
44	court shall establish the assessment if there is competent,
45	substantial evidence of value in the record which cumulatively
46	meets the criteria of s. 193.011 and professionally accepted
47	appraisal practices. If the record lacks such evidence, the
48	matter must be remanded to the property appraiser with
49	appropriate directions from the value adjustment board or the
50	court, and the property appraiser must comply with those
51	directions.
52	(c) If the revised assessment following remand is
53	challenged, the procedures described in this section apply.
54	(d) A party is not required to exclude every reasonable
55	hypothesis of a legal assessment.
56	(e) If the challenge is to the classification or exemption
57	status of the property, there is no presumption of correctness
58	and the party initiating the challenge has the burden of proving
59	by a preponderance of the evidence that the classification or
60	exempt status assigned to the property is incorrect.
61	
62	======================================
63	And the title is amended as follows:
64	Delete lines 2 - 18
65	and insert:
66	An act relating to ad valorem assessments; amending s.
67	194.301, F.S.; revising the bases for providing a
68	presumption of correctness to an assessment of
69	property value; providing that the taxpayer is
70	entitled to an evaluation of the appraisal

Page 3 of 4

SENATOR AMENDMENT

Florida Senate - 2009 Bill No. CS for CS for HB 521



71 methodology; providing that the act preempts prior 72 case law; revising the criteria for overcoming the 73 presumption of correctness; providing for challenges 74 to the classification or exemption status of property; 75 providing for application; providing legislative 76 intent relating to