A bill to be entitled 1 2 An act relating to ad valorem tax assessment challenges; 3 amending s. 194.301, F.S.; revising burden of proof 4 requirements in taxpayer challenges of ad valorem tax 5 assessments of value; requiring property appraisers to 6 prove compliance with certain laws and appraisal 7 practices; providing a presumption of correctness under 8 certain circumstances; providing taxpayer burden of proof 9 requirements; deleting provisions relating to a 10 presumption of correctness of an assessment by a property appraiser; authorizing value adjustment boards or courts 11 to establish assessments under certain circumstances; 12 13 specifying that a property appraiser's denial of exemption 14 or assessment classification does not have a presumption 15 of correctness in administrative or judicial actions; 16 requiring a taxpayer to prove entitlement to an ad valorem tax exemption or classification by a preponderance of the 17 evidence; providing legislative intent relating to 18 19 taxpayer burden of proof; rejecting certain case law precedent; providing construction; providing for 20 21 retroactive application; providing an effective date. 22 23 Be It Enacted by the Legislature of the State of Florida: 24 25

Section 1. Section 194.301, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

194.301 Presumption of correctness <u>and burden of proof in</u> challenges to ad valorem tax assessments.--

Page 1 of 4

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

26

27

28

29

30

31 32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48 49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

In any administrative or judicial action in which a (1)taxpayer challenges an ad valorem tax assessment of value, the property appraiser has the burden of proving that his or her assessment was arrived at by complying with s. 193.011 and professionally accepted appraisal practices, including, but not limited to, mass appraisal standards, if appropriate, in which case the assessment shall be presumed correct. The taxpayer has the burden of proving by a preponderance of the evidence that the assessment of value exceeds just value or that the assessment is based upon appraisal practices that are different from the appraisal practices generally applied to comparable property within the same class. In any judicial action in which the property appraiser challenges the value adjustment board's determination of value, the property appraiser has the burden of proving by a preponderance of the evidence that the assessment established by the value adjustment board is less than just value appraiser's assessment shall be presumed correct. This presumption of correctness is lost if the taxpayer shows by a prependerance of the evidence that either the property appraiser has failed to consider properly the criteria in s. 193.011 or if the property appraiser's assessment is arbitrarily based on appraisal practices which are different from the appraisal practices generally applied by the property appraiser to comparable property within the same class and within the same county. If the presumption of correctness is lost, the taxpayer shall have the burden of proving by a preponderance of the evidence that the appraiser's assessment is in excess of just value. If the presumption of correctness is retained, the

Page 2 of 4

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

57

58

59

60

61

62

63

64

65

66

67

68

69

70

71

72 73

74

75

76

77

78

79

80

81

82

83

84

taxpayer shall have the burden of proving by clear and convincing evidence that the appraiser's assessment is in excess of just value. In no case shall the taxpayer have the burden of proving that the property appraiser's assessment is not supported by any reasonable hypothesis of a legal assessment. If the property appraiser's assessment is determined to be erroneous, the Value Adjustment Board or the court can establish the assessment if there exists competent, substantial evidence exists in the record, which cumulatively meets the requirements of s. 193.011 and professionally accepted appraisal practices, including, but not limited to, mass appraisal standards, if appropriate. If the record lacks competent, substantial evidence meeting the just value criteria of s. 193.011, the matter shall be remanded to the property appraiser with appropriate directions from the Value Adjustment Board or the court. The burdens of proof provided in this subsection apply to the challenge of an assessment that is revised after the assessment is remanded to the property appraiser by the Value Adjustment Board or court.

- (2) In any administrative or judicial action in which a denial of an exemption or assessment classification is challenged, the denial by the property appraiser does not have a presumption of correctness. In such actions, the taxpayer has the burden of proving entitlement to an exemption or assessment classification by a preponderance of the evidence.
- Section 2. (1) It is the express intent of the

  Legislature that a taxpayer shall never have the burden of

  proving that the property appraiser's assessment is not

85

86

87

88

89

90

91

92

9394

95

supported by any reasonable hypothesis of a legal assessment.

All cases establishing the every-reasonable-hypothesis standard were expressly rejected by the Legislature on the adoption of chapter 97-85, Laws of Florida. It is the further intent of the Legislature that any cases published since 1997 citing the every-reasonable-hypothesis standard are expressly rejected to the extent that they are interpretative of legislative intent.

(2) This section is intended to clarify existing law and apply retroactively.

Section 3. This act shall take effect upon becoming a law and shall first apply to assessments in 2009.