A bill to be entitled 1 2 An act relating to ad valorem assessments; amending s. 3 194.301, F.S.; revising the bases for providing a 4 presumption of correctness to an assessment of property 5 value; providing that the taxpayer is entitled to an 6 evaluation of the appraisal methodology; providing that 7 the act preempts prior case law; revising the criteria for 8 overcoming the presumption of correctness; providing for 9 challenges to the classification or exemption status of 10 property; providing for application; providing legislative intent relating to taxpayer burden of proof; rejecting 11 certain case law precedent; providing construction; 12 providing for retroactive application; providing an 13 14 effective date. 15 16 Be It Enacted by the Legislature of the State of Florida: 17 Section 1. Section 194.301, Florida Statutes, is amended 18 19 to read: (Substantial rewording of section. See 20 21 s. 194.301, F.S., for present text.) 22 194.301 Challenge to ad valorem tax assessment.--23 (1) In any administrative or judicial action in which a 24 taxpayer challenges an ad valorem tax assessment of value, the 25 property appraiser's assessment is presumed correct if the 26 appraiser proves by a preponderance of the evidence that the 27 assessment was arrived at by complying with s. 193.011, any 28 other applicable statutory requirements relating to classified

Page 1 of 4

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words <u>underlined</u> are additions.

29 use values or assessment caps, and professionally accepted appraisal practices, including mass appraisal standards, if 30 31 appropriate. However, a taxpayer who challenges an assessment is 32 entitled to a determination by the value adjustment board or 33 court of the appropriateness of the appraisal methodology used 34 in making the assessment. The value of property must be 35 determined by an appraisal methodology that complies with the 36 criteria of s. 193.011 and professionally accepted appraisal 37 practices. The provisions of this subsection preempt any prior 38 case law that is inconsistent with this subsection. 39 (2) In an administrative or judicial action in which an ad 40 valorem tax assessment is challenged, the burden of proof is on 41 the party initiating the challenge. 42 (a) If the challenge is to the assessed value of the 43 property, the party initiating the challenge has the burden of 44 proving by a preponderance of the evidence that the assessed 45 value: 46 1. Does not represent the just value of the property after 47 taking into account any applicable limits on annual increases in 48 the value of the property; 49 2. Does not represent the classified use value or 50 fractional value of the property if the property is required to 51 be assessed based on its character or use; or 52 3. Is arbitrarily based on appraisal practices that are 53 different from the appraisal practices generally applied by the 54 property appraiser to comparable property within the same 55 county. 56 (b) If the party challenging the assessment satisfies the 57 requirements of paragraph (a), the presumption provided in 58 subsection (1) is overcome and the value adjustment board or the Page 2 of 4

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words <u>underlined</u> are additions.

59 court shall establish the assessment if there is competent, substantial evidence of value in the record which cumulatively 60 meets the criteria of s. 193.011 and professionally accepted 61 62 appraisal practices. If the record lacks such evidence, the 63 matter must be remanded to the property appraiser with 64 appropriate directions from the value adjustment board or the 65 court, and the property appraiser must comply with those 66 directions. 67 (c) If the revised assessment following remand is 68 challenged, the procedures described in this section apply. 69 If the challenge is to the classification or exemption (d) 70 status of the property, there is no presumption of correctness and the party initiating the challenge has the burden of proving 71 72 by a preponderance of the evidence that the classification or exempt status assigned to the property is incorrect. 73 74 Section 2. (1) It is the express intent of the 75 Legislature that a taxpayer shall never have the burden of 76 proving that the property appraiser's assessment is not 77 supported by any reasonable hypothesis of a legal assessment. 78 All cases establishing the every-reasonable-hypothesis standard 79 were expressly rejected by the Legislature on the adoption of 80 chapter 97-85, Laws of Florida. It is the further intent of the 81 Legislature that any cases published since 1997 citing the 82 every-reasonable-hypothesis standard are expressly rejected to 83 the extent that they are interpretative of legislative intent. This section is intended to clarify existing law and 84 (2) 85 apply retroactively.

Page 3 of 4

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

86 Section 3. This act shall take effect upon becoming a law87 and shall first apply to assessments in 2009.

Page 4 of 4

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words <u>underlined</u> are additions.