1

A bill to be entitled

2 An act relating to petroleum contamination site cleanup; 3 amending s. 376.3071, F.S.; revising provisions relating 4 to petroleum contamination site selection and cleanup 5 criteria; deleting obsolete provisions relating to funding 6 for limited interim soil-source removals; requiring the 7 Department of Environmental Protection to utilize natural 8 attenuation monitoring strategies to transition sites into 9 long-term natural attenuation monitoring under specified 10 conditions; providing for natural attenuation and active 11 remediation of sites; requiring the department to evaluate certain costs and strategies; prohibiting local 12 governments from denying building permits under specified 13 14 conditions; providing requirements for such permits and 15 related construction, repairs, and renovations; 16 establishing a low-scored site initiative; providing 17 conditions for participation; requiring the department to issue certain determinations and orders; providing that 18 19 certain sites are eligible for payment of preapproved costs; requiring assessment work to be completed within a 20 21 certain timeframe; providing payment and funding 22 limitations; deleting provisions relating to 23 nonreimbursable voluntary cleanup; providing an effective 24 date. 25 26 Be It Enacted by the Legislature of the State of Florida:

27

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words <u>underlined</u> are additions.

hb1385-01-c1

28 Section 1. Paragraph (c) of subsection (5) and paragraph 29 (b) of subsection (11) of section 376.3071, Florida Statutes, 30 are amended to read:

31 376.3071 Inland Protection Trust Fund; creation; purposes; 32 funding.-

33

(5) SITE SELECTION AND CLEANUP CRITERIA.-

34 (c) The department shall require source removal, if
35 warranted and cost-effective, at each site eligible for
36 restoration funding from the Inland Protection Trust Fund.

37 Funding for free product recovery may be provided in 1. 38 advance of the order established by the priority ranking system 39 under paragraph (a) for site cleanup activities. However, a separate prioritization for free product recovery shall be 40 41 established consistent with paragraph (a). No more than \$5 million shall be encumbered from the Inland Protection Trust 42 43 Fund in any fiscal year for free product recovery conducted in advance of the priority order under paragraph (a) established 44 45 for site cleanup activities.

46 2. Funding for limited interim soil-source removals for 47 sites that will become inaccessible for future remediation due 48 to road infrastructure and right-of-way restrictions resulting 49 from a pending Department of Transportation road construction 50 project or for secondary containment upgrading of underground 51 storage tanks required under chapter 62-761, Florida 52 Administrative Code, may be provided in advance of the order 53 established by the priority ranking system under paragraph (a) 54 for site cleanup activities. The department shall provide 55 written quidance on the limited source removal information and Page 2 of 9

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

56 technical evaluation necessary to justify a request for a 57 limited source removal in advance of the priority order pursuant 58 to paragraph (a) established for site cleanup activities. 59 Prioritization for limited source removal projects associated 60 with a secondary containment upgrade in any fiscal year shall be determined on a first-come, first-served basis according to the 61 approval date issued under s. 376.30711 for the limited source 62 63 removal. Funding for limited source removals associated with 64 secondary containment upgrades shall be limited to 10 sites in 65 each fiscal year for each facility owner and any related person. 66 The limited source removal for secondary containment upgrades shall be completed no later than 6 months after the department 67 68 issues its approval of the project, and the approval 69 automatically expires at the end of the 6 months. Funding for 70 Department of Transportation and secondary containment upgrade 71 source removals may not exceed \$50,000 for a single facility 72 unless the department makes a determination that it is cost-73 effective and environmentally beneficial to exceed this amount, 74 but in no event shall the department authorize costs in excess 75 of \$100,000 for a single facility. Department funding for 76 limited interim soil-source removals associated with Department 77 of Transportation projects and secondary containment upgrades 78 shall be limited to supplemental soil assessment, soil 79 screening, soil removal, backfill material, treatment or disposal of the contaminated soil, dewatering related to the 80 contaminated soil removal in an amount of up to 10 percent of 81 82 the total interim soil-source removal project costs, treatment, 83 and disposal of the contaminated groundwater and preparation of Page 3 of 9

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

hb1385-01-c1

84 the source removal report. No other costs associated with the 85 facility upgrade may be paid with department funds. No more than 86 \$1 million for Department of Transportation limited source 87 removal projects and \$10 million for secondary containment 88 upgrade limited source removal projects conducted in advance of 89 the priority order established under paragraph (a) for site 90 cleanup activities shall be encumbered from the Inland 91 Protection Trust Fund in any fiscal year. This subparagraph is 92 repealed effective June 30, 2010.

93 2.3. Once free product removal and other source removal 94 identified in this paragraph are completed at a site, and 95 notwithstanding the order established by the priority ranking 96 system under paragraph (a) for site cleanup activities, the 97 department may reevaluate the site to determine the degree of 98 active cleanup needed to continue site rehabilitation. Further, 99 the department shall determine if the reevaluated site qualifies 100 for natural attenuation monitoring, long-term natural 101 attenuation monitoring, or no further action. If additional site 102 rehabilitation is necessary to reach no further action status, 103 the site rehabilitation shall be conducted in the order 104 established by the priority ranking system under paragraph (a). 105 and The department shall is encouraged to utilize natural 106 attenuation and monitoring strategies and, when cost-effective, transition sites eligible for restoration funding assistance to 107 108 long-term natural attenuation monitoring where the plume is 109 shrinking or stable and confined to the source property 110 boundaries and the petroleum products' chemicals of concern meet the natural attenuation default concentrations, as defined by 111

Page 4 of 9

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

2010

112	department rule. If the plume migrates beyond the source
113	property boundaries, natural attenuation monitoring may be
114	conducted in accordance with department rule, or if the site no
115	longer qualifies for natural attenuation monitoring, active
116	remediation may be resumed. For long-term natural attenuation
117	monitoring, if the petroleum products' chemicals of concern
118	increase or are not significantly reduced after 42 months of
119	monitoring, or if the plume migrates beyond the property
120	boundaries, active remediation shall be resumed as necessary.
121	For sites undergoing active remediation, the department shall
122	template the cost of natural attenuation monitoring pursuant to
123	s. 376.30711 to ensure that site mobilizations are performed in
124	a cost-effective manner. Sites that are not eligible for state
125	restoration funding may transition to long-term natural
126	attenuation monitoring using the criteria in this subparagraph.
127	Nothing in this subparagraph precludes a site from pursuing a
128	"No Further Action" order with conditions where site conditions
129	warrant.
130	3. The department shall evaluate whether higher natural
131	attenuation default concentrations for natural attenuation
132	monitoring or long-term natural attenuation monitoring are cost-
133	effective and would adequately protect public health and the
134	environment. The department shall also evaluate site-specific
135	characteristics that would allow for higher natural attenuation
136	or long-term natural attenuation concentration levels.
137	4. A local government may not deny a building permit based
138	solely on the presence of petroleum contamination for any
139	construction, repairs, or renovations performed in conjunction
I	Page 5 of 9

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words <u>underlined</u> are additions.

140 with tank upgrade activities to an existing retail fuel facility 141 if the facility was fully operational before the building permit 142 was requested and if the construction, repair, or renovation is 143 performed by a licensed contractor. All building permits and any 144 construction, repairs, or renovations performed in conjunction 145 with such permits must comply with the applicable provisions of 146 chapters 489 and 553.

147 (11)

Low-scored site initiative Nonreimbursable voluntary 148 (b) cleanup.-Notwithstanding s. 376.30711, any site For sites with 149 releases reported prior to January 1, 1995, the department shall 150 151 issue a determination of "No Further Action" at sites ranked 152 with a total priority ranking score of 10 points or less may 153 voluntarily participate in the low-scored site initiative, whether or not the site is eligible for state restoration 154 155 funding.

156 1. To participate in the low-scored site initiative, the 157 responsible party or property owner must affirmatively 158 demonstrate that, which meet the following conditions are met: 159 a.1. Upon reassessment pursuant to department rule, the 160 site retains a priority ranking score of 10 points or less No 161 free product exists in wells, boreholes, subsurface utility 162 conduits, or vaults or buildings and no other fire or explosion 163 hazard exists as a result of a release of petroleum products.

164 <u>b.2.</u> No excessively contaminated soil, as defined by 165 department rule, exists onsite as a result of a release of 166 petroleum products.

167

c.3. A minimum of 6 months of groundwater monitoring

Page 6 of 9

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words <u>underlined</u> are additions.

2010

168 indicates that the plume is shrinking or stable Public supply 169 wells for consumptive use of water expected to be affected by 170 the site shall not be located within a 1/2-mile radius of the 171 site; private supply wells for consumptive use of water expected 172 to be affected by the site shall not be located within a 1/4mile radius of the site; and there must be no current or 173 174 projected consumptive use of the water affected by the site for 175 at least the following 3 years. Where appropriate, institutional 176 controls meeting the requirements of subparagraph (5) (b) 4. may 177 be required by the department to meet these criteria. d.4. The release of petroleum products at the site does 178 179 shall not adversely affect adjacent surface waters, including 180 their effects on human health and the environment. 181 e.5. The area of groundwater containing the petroleum products' chemicals of concern in concentrations greater than 182 183 the boundary values defined in subparagraph 7. is less than one-184 quarter acre and is confined to the source property boundaries 185 of the real property on which the discharge originated. 186 f.6. Soils onsite that are subject to human exposure found between land surface and 2 feet below land surface shall meet 187 188 the soil cleanup target levels criteria established by 189 department rule or human exposure is limited by pursuant to sub-190 subparagraph (5) (b) 9.a. Where appropriate, institutional or 191 engineering controls meeting the requirements of subparagraph 192 (5) (b) 4. may be required by the department to meet these 193 criteria. 2. Upon affirmative demonstration of the conditions under 194 195 subparagraph 1., the department shall issue a determination of

Page 7 of 9

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words <u>underlined</u> are additions.

	F	L	0	R		D	А	H	-	0	U	S	Е	(C	F		R	Е	Ρ	R	Е	S	Е	Ν	Т	Α	Т		V	Е	S
--	---	---	---	---	--	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	--	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	--	---	---	---

196 "No Further Action." Such determination acknowledges that 197 minimal contamination exists onsite and that such contamination 198 is not a threat to human health or the environment. If no 199 contamination is detected, the department may issue a site 200 rehabilitation completion order. 201 3. Sites that are eligible for state restoration funding 202 may receive payment of preapproved costs for the low-scored site 203 initiative as follows: 204 a. A responsible party or property owner may submit an 205 assessment plan designed to affirmatively demonstrate that the site meets the conditions under subparagraph 1. Notwithstanding 206 207 the priority ranking score of the site, the department may 208 preapprove the cost of the assessment pursuant to s. 376.30711, 209 including 6 months of groundwater monitoring, not to exceed 210 \$30,000 for each site. The department may not pay the costs 211 associated with the establishment of institutional or 212 engineering controls. 213 The assessment work shall be completed no later than 6 b. 214 months after the department issues its approval. 215 c. No more than \$10 million for the low-scored site 216 initiative shall be encumbered from the Inland Protection Trust 217 Fund in any fiscal year. Funds shall be made available on a 218 first-come, first-served basis and shall be limited to 10 sites 219 in each fiscal year for each responsible party or property 220 owner. 221 Concentrations of the petroleum products' chemicals of 222 concern in groundwater at the property boundary of the real 223 property on which the petroleum contamination originates shall

Page 8 of 9

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words <u>underlined</u> are additions.

hb1385-01-c1

224 not exceed the criteria established pursuant to sub-subparagraph 225 (5)(b)7.a. Where appropriate, institutional or engineering 226 controls meeting the requirements of subparagraph (5)(b)4. may 227 be required by the department to meet these criteria.

228 8. The department is authorized to establish alternate 229 cleanup target levels for onsite nonboundary wells pursuant to 230 the criteria in subparagraph (5) (b) 8.

231 9. A scientific evaluation that demonstrates that the boundary criteria in subparagraph 7. will not be exceeded and a 232 1-year site-specific groundwater monitoring plan approved in 233 advance by the department validates the scientific evaluation. 234 235 If the boundary criteria in subparagraph 7. are exceeded at any 236 time, the department may order an extension of the monitoring 237 period for up to 12 additional months from the time of the 238 excess reading. The department shall determine the adequacy of 239 the groundwater monitoring system at a site. All wells required 240 by the department pursuant to this paragraph shall be installed 241 before the monitoring period begins.

242 10. Costs associated with activities performed pursuant to 243 this paragraph for sites which qualify for a determination of 244 "No Further Action" under this paragraph shall not be 245 reimbursable from the Inland Protection Trust Fund.

246

Section 2. This act shall take effect July 1, 2010.

Page 9 of 9

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.