

**The Florida Senate**  
**BILL ANALYSIS AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT**

(This document is based on the provisions contained in the legislation as of the latest date listed below.)

---

Prepared By: The Professional Staff of the Criminal Justice Committee

---

**BILL:** SB 2252

**INTRODUCER:** Senators Villalobos and Gelber

**SUBJECT:** Truth in Government Act/Legislature

**DATE:** March 22, 2010      **REVISED:** \_\_\_\_\_

	ANALYST	STAFF DIRECTOR	REFERENCE	ACTION
1.	Daniell	Maclure	JU	<b>Favorable</b>
2.	Cellon	Cannon	CJ	<b>Favorable</b>
3.	_____	_____	RC	_____
4.	_____	_____	_____	_____
5.	_____	_____	_____	_____
6.	_____	_____	_____	_____

---

**I. Summary:**

This bill requires any person who wishes to address a committee of the Florida Legislature to take an oath or affirmation, either written or oral, prior to addressing the committee, declaring that he or she will speak truthfully. The bill provides for two exceptions to the oath requirement: (1) a member or employee of the Legislature, acting in his or her official capacity; and (2) a child who is determined to understand the duty to tell the truth or the duty not to lie. This bill also provides penalties for making a false statement after taking the oath or affirmation.

This act is to be cited as the “Truth in Government Act.”

This bill substantially amends section 11.143, Florida Statutes, and creates section 11.1435, Florida Statutes.

**II. Present Situation:**

**Background of Oaths and Affirmations**

The use of the oath commenced in English history in 1236 when Cardinal Otho issued a constitution to be followed by English ecclesiastical courts.<sup>1</sup> The constitution declared, “We establish that the oath of calumny to tell the truth in ecclesiastical causes, in order that truth may be more easily uncovered and causes more speedily finished, shall henceforth be administered throughout the realm of England, according to the canons and lawful sanctions, notwithstanding

---

<sup>1</sup> Elwood Earl Sanders, Jr., *Willful Violations of Miranda: Not a Speculative Possibility but an Established Fact*, 4 FLA. COASTAL L.J. 29, 61 (2002).

any custom to the contrary.”<sup>2</sup> In modern times, the purpose of the oath is to encourage truthful testimony. If a witness lies while under oath, he or she commits perjury and is subject to prosecution for providing false testimony.<sup>3</sup>

An oath or affirmation is a solemn and formal declaration that the contents of a declaration, written or oral, are true.<sup>4</sup> Black’s Law Dictionary defines an “oath” as:

1. A solemn declaration, accompanied by a swearing to God or a revered person or thing, that one’s statement is true or that one will be bound to a promise. The person making the oath implicitly invites punishment if the statement is untrue or the promise is broken. The legal effect of an oath is to subject the person to penalties for perjury if the testimony is false.
2. A statement or promise made by such a declaration.
3. A form of words used for such a declaration.
4. A formal declaration made solemn without a swearing to God or a revered person or thing; AFFIRMATION.<sup>5</sup>

The main purpose of the oath “is to impress upon the affiant the solemnity of the occasion and the need to tell the truth.”<sup>6</sup> However, the oath also functions to provide a basis for a perjury action.<sup>7</sup>

### **Perjury in Florida**

Florida’s perjury law, codified as ch. 837, F.S., provides, in part, that “whoever makes a false statement, which he or she does not believe to be true, under oath in an official proceeding<sup>8</sup> in regard to any material matter, commits a felony of the third degree.”<sup>9</sup> A person has no constitutional right to commit perjury.<sup>10</sup> The elements of perjury in an official proceeding are: (1) making a false statement, (2) which one does not believe to be true, (3) under oath in an official proceeding, (4) in regard to any material mater.<sup>11</sup> Materiality is a threshold issue determined by looking at whether the statement is germane to the inquiry and has a bearing on a determination.<sup>12</sup> Additionally, a statement alleged to be perjury must be one of fact, and not of opinion or belief.<sup>13</sup>

<sup>2</sup> *Id.*

<sup>3</sup> Michael J. Frank, *Trying Times: The Prosecution of Terrorists in the Central Criminal Court of Iraq*, 18 FLA. J. INT’L L. 1, 78-79 (2006).

<sup>4</sup> *State v. Assuntino*, 429 A.2d 900, 904 (Conn. 1980); see also 58 AM. JUR. 2D *Oath and Affirmation* s. 2 (2010).

<sup>5</sup> BLACK’S LAW DICTIONARY (8th ed. 2004). An “affirmation” is a “pledge equivalent to an oath but without reference to a supreme being or to ‘swearing’; a solemn declaration made under penalty of perjury, but without an oath. While an oath is ‘sworn to,’ an affirmation is merely ‘affirmed,’ but either type of pledge may subject the person making it to the penalties for perjury.” *Id.*

<sup>6</sup> 67 C.J.S. *Oaths and Affirmations* s. 1 (2009).

<sup>7</sup> *Id.*

<sup>8</sup> An official proceeding includes a proceeding before a legislative agency. Section 837.011(1), F.S.

<sup>9</sup> Section 837.02(1), F.S.

<sup>10</sup> See generally *LaChance v. Erickson*, 522 U.S. 262, 266 (1998).

<sup>11</sup> *Vargas v. State*, 795 So. 2d 270, 272 (Fla. 3d DCA 2001).

<sup>12</sup> *Id.*

<sup>13</sup> *Id.* “Perjury cannot be grounded only upon confusion, surprise, inadvertence, inaccuracy, or mistake as to the true nature of the question.” 16B FLA. JUR 2D *Criminal Law – Substantive Principles and Offenses* s. 1280 (2010).

### **Administering Oaths in Legislative Hearings**

Currently, “[a]ny member of either House of Congress may administer oaths to witnesses in any matter depending in either House of Congress of which he is a Member, or any committee thereof.”<sup>14</sup>

Under current law, s. 11.143, F.S., grants each standing or select committee of the Florida Legislature authority to invite public officials and employees and private individuals to appear before the committee for the purpose of submitting information. In addition, in order to carry out its duties, a committee may issue subpoena and other necessary process to compel the attendance of witnesses before the committee.<sup>15</sup> The chair or any other member of a committee may administer all oaths or affirmations prescribed by law to witnesses<sup>16</sup> who appear before the committee for purposes of testifying<sup>17</sup> in any matter which the committee desires evidence.<sup>18</sup> Section 11.143, F.S., also provides a penalty on a person who willfully swears or affirms falsely in regard to a material matter.<sup>19</sup> The penalty provided under s. 11.143, F.S., is higher than the penalty for perjury under s. 837.02(1), F.S.<sup>20</sup>

Requiring persons voluntarily testifying before legislative committees in Florida to take an oath or affirmation is not unprecedented. In 2003, the Senate Judiciary Committee required witnesses to swear “to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth” during hearings on medical malpractice insurance.<sup>21</sup> At the time of the 2003 hearings, it was reported that it was the third time in the past decade that witnesses were sworn in before testifying.<sup>22</sup> In January 2008, the Senate created a Select Committee on Property Insurance Accountability for the purpose of taking sworn testimony from insurance company executives relating to property insurance rate filings.<sup>23</sup> The select committee swore in witnesses at hearings held on February 4 and 5, 2008.

### **III. Effect of Proposed Changes:**

This bill provides that the act may be cited as the “Truth in Government Act.”

<sup>14</sup> 2 U.S.C. s. 191.

<sup>15</sup> Section 11.143(3)(a), F.S.

<sup>16</sup> Section 11.143, F.S., does not define “witnesses.” However, the dictionary defines a “witness” as “1. One who sees, knows, or vouches for something; 2. One who gives testimony under oath or affirmation (1) in person, (2) by oral or written deposition, or (3) by affidavit.” BLACK’S LAW DICTIONARY (8th ed. 2004).

<sup>17</sup> Section 11.143, F.S., does not define “testifying.” The term “testify” is defined in the dictionary as “1. To give evidence as a witness; 2. (Of a person or thing) to bear witness.” BLACK’S LAW DICTIONARY (8th ed. 2004).

<sup>18</sup> Section 11.143(3)(a), F.S. The rules of the Senate mirror this language in Rule 2.2. Rule 2.2(3) states, “Any member of a standing committee, standing subcommittee, or select committee may administer all oaths and affirmations, in the manner prescribed by law, to witnesses who appear before such committees to testify in any matter requiring evidence.” *Rules and Manual of the Senate of the State of Florida*, 30 (2008-2010).

<sup>19</sup> Section 11.143(4)(a), F.S., provides, “Whoever willfully affirms or swears falsely in regard to any material matter or thing before such committee is guilty of false swearing, which constitutes a felony of the second degree, punishable as provided in s. 775.082, s. 775.083, or s. 775.084.”

<sup>20</sup> Section 837.02(1), F.S., provides that whoever makes a false statement under oath in an official proceeding commits a felony of the third degree.

<sup>21</sup> Stephen L. Goldstein, *Refreshing Honesty*, SUN-SENTINEL, July 23, 2003, at 21A.

<sup>22</sup> Steve Bousquet, *Lawmakers like oath’s effect*, ST. PETERSBURG TIMES, July 20, 2003, at 1B.

<sup>23</sup> Press Release, The Florida Senate, *Senate President Ken Pruitt Appoints the Senate Select Committee on Property Insurance Accountability* (Jan. 10, 2008) (on file with the Senate Committee on Judiciary).

This bill creates s. 11.1435, F.S., which requires any person who addresses a standing or select committee of the Legislature, or subcommittee thereof, to first declare that he or she will speak truthfully, by taking an oath or affirmation. The oath or affirmation may be oral and administered by the chair or any other member of the committee. The oath or affirmation may also be written if the rules of the respective houses require a person wishing to address a legislative committee to complete and sign an appearance form. By signing the form, the person affirms that he or she will speak truthfully. The bill requires that the appearance form include a statement notifying the person that signing the form constitutes an affirmation and notifying the person of the penalties for making a false statement after signing the form.

The bill creates penalties for making a false statement, which the person does not believe to be true, under oath or affirmation in regard to a material matter before a legislative committee. If a person addresses a committee voluntarily and makes a false statement under oath or affirmation, the person commits a felony of the third degree, punishable as provided in ss. 775.082,<sup>24</sup> 775.083,<sup>25</sup> or 775.084,<sup>26</sup> F.S. However, if a person is compelled by subpoena as a witness before a committee<sup>27</sup> and makes a false statement under oath or affirmation, the person commits a felony of the second degree, punishable as provided in ss. 775.082,<sup>28</sup> 775.083,<sup>29</sup> or 775.084, F.S.

The bill exempts certain people from having to take an oath or affirmation before speaking before a legislative committee. Those exempted include:

- A member of the Legislature in his or her official capacity or an employee of the Legislature in his or her capacity as an employee; and
- A child, if the chair of the committee determines the child understands the duty to tell the truth or the duty not to lie.

Members and employees of the Legislature are exempt from the oath or affirmation requirement; however, if a member or employee makes a false statement that he or she does not believe to be true, that person will be subject to discipline by the presiding officer of the applicable house of the Legislature.

Additionally, the bill provides that, notwithstanding the exceptions, a standing or select committee may require, if it deems necessary, any person who addresses the committee to take an oath or affirmation and be subject to the penalties provided above.

The bill makes conforming changes to s. 11.143, F.S., to eliminate the provision granting authority for members of a legislative committee to administer oaths or affirmations to witnesses

---

<sup>24</sup> Section 775.082, F.S., provides that a felony of the third degree is punishable by imprisonment not exceeding five years.

<sup>25</sup> Section 775.083, F.S., provides that a felony of the third degree is punishable by a fine not to exceed \$5,000.

<sup>26</sup> Section 775.084, F.S., deals with violent career criminals, habitual felony offenders, habitual violent felony offenders, and three-time violent felony offenders. This section provides a different punishment depending on in which category the person falls.

<sup>27</sup> Section 11.143(3), F.S., grants legislative committees the authority to issue subpoenas and compel the attendance of witnesses before such committees.

<sup>28</sup> Section 775.082, F.S., provides that a felony of the second degree is punishable by imprisonment not exceeding 15 years.

<sup>29</sup> Section 775.083, F.S., provides that a felony of the second degree is punishable by a fine not to exceed \$10,000.

testifying in a matter in which the committee desires evidence. The bill also eliminates the penalty in this section for false swearing before a legislative committee. These changes appear to account for the new provisions in the bill regarding oaths and providing for penalties for all persons who address a legislative committee.

This act shall take effect July 1, 2010.

**IV. Constitutional Issues:**

A. Municipality/County Mandates Restrictions:

None.

B. Public Records/Open Meetings Issues:

None.

C. Trust Funds Restrictions:

None.

**V. Fiscal Impact Statement:**

A. Tax/Fee Issues:

None.

B. Private Sector Impact:

To the extent that a person is prosecuted for making a false statement that he or she does not believe to be true, the penalty provisions in the bill allow for fines to be imposed of up to \$5,000 for a third-degree felony or up to \$10,000 for a second-degree felony.

C. Government Sector Impact:

The Senate and the House of Representatives currently have appearance cards, but they do not contain an oath or penalty explanation. If the Senate or the House of Representatives decided to use the appearance-card option provided for in the bill, there may be a slight fiscal impact to cover the cost of revising appearance cards.

**VI. Technical Deficiencies:**

None.

**VII. Related Issues:**

None.

**VIII. Additional Information:**

- A. **Committee Substitute – Statement of Substantial Changes:**  
(Summarizing differences between the Committee Substitute and the prior version of the bill.)

None.

- B. **Amendments:**

None.

---

This Senate Bill Analysis does not reflect the intent or official position of the bill's introducer or the Florida Senate.

---