



## HOUSE PRINCIPLES

Members are encouraged to evaluate proposed legislation in light of the following guiding principles of the House of Representatives

- Balance the state budget.
- Create a legal and regulatory environment that fosters economic growth and job creation.
- Lower the tax burden on families and businesses.
- Reverse or restrain the growth of government.
- Promote public safety.
- Promote educational accountability, excellence, and choice.
- Foster respect for the family and for innocent human life.
- Protect Florida's natural beauty.

## FULL ANALYSIS

### I. SUBSTANTIVE ANALYSIS

#### A. EFFECT OF PROPOSED CHANGES:

##### **Present Situation**

The Florida Marketable Record Title Act (MRTA) provides that one who holds title to land based on a root of title at least 30 years old, takes free and clear ownership of title and extinguishes all matters arising prior to the root of the title<sup>1</sup> that are not referenced in the root of title. Due to the vast holdings of each of the water management districts (districts) and the Board of Trustees of the Internal Improvement Trust Fund (Board), it is a burden for the districts and the Board to expend significant resources in monitoring the status of title of all district land holdings, filing notices to protect district interests, and defending its interest in land holdings where they may be challenged based on MRTA.

Section 712.03, F.S., identifies those interests in property that are not extinguished by marketable record title. Currently only sovereignty submerged lands and covenants recorded under the provisions of chapter 376, F.S., or chapter 403, F.S., expressly exempt governmental interests from extinguishment. Another provision of s. 712.03, F.S., exempts easements from extinguishment, when any parts of the easement are in use. The easement exception implicates governmental entities who acquire conservation easements and land protection agreements. The "easement in use" exception was originally intended to apply to visible use on the ground, by which an owner would have notice that someone else might be using the land. Conservation easements and land protection agreements, however, are not necessarily visible on the ground, so uncertainty surrounds whether the "easement in use" exception protects those interests from extinguishment by the MRTA.

##### **Effect of the Bill**

This bill creates s. 712.03(9), F.S., and amends s. 712.04, F.S., respectively, to create an exception to the applicability of MRTA for any right, title, or interest held by the Board of Trustees of the Internal Improvement Trust Fund, any water management district created pursuant to ch. 373, F.S., or the federal government. These amendments also resolve the confusion over whether conservation easements and land protection agreements were "easements in use" and prevent rights and interests acquired with public funds for public benefit from being extinguished.

---

<sup>1</sup> "Root of title" means any title transaction purporting to create or transfer the estate claimed by any person and which is the last title transaction to have been recorded at least 30 years prior to the time when marketability is being determined. Stated differently, the "root of title" for purposes of the Marketable Record Title Act is the most recent deed or other title transaction recorded in the unbroken chain of title at least 30 years in the past.

**B. SECTION DIRECTORY:**

Section 1. Creates s. 712.03(9), F.S., related to exceptions to the Marketable Record Title Act.

Section 2. Amends s. 712.04, F.S., providing conforming language.

**II. FISCAL ANALYSIS & ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT**

**A. FISCAL IMPACT ON STATE GOVERNMENT:**

1. Revenues:

None.

2. Expenditures:

The Board of Trustees of the Internal Improvement Trust Fund and water management districts may see reduced litigation costs from the clarification of titles to lands vested in the state. However, these litigation savings, if any, are indeterminate.

**B. FISCAL IMPACT ON LOCAL GOVERNMENTS:**

1. Revenues:

None.

2. Expenditures:

None.

**C. DIRECT ECONOMIC IMPACT ON PRIVATE SECTOR:**

None.

**D. FISCAL COMMENTS:**

None.

**III. COMMENTS**

**A. CONSTITUTIONAL ISSUES:**

1. Applicability of Municipality/County Mandates Provision:

Not applicable. This bill does not appear to: require counties or municipalities to spend funds or take an action requiring the expenditure of funds; reduce the authority that counties or municipalities have to raise revenues in the aggregate; or reduce the percentage of a state tax shared with counties or municipalities.

2. Other:

None.

**B. RULE-MAKING AUTHORITY:**

None.

**C. DRAFTING ISSUES OR OTHER COMMENTS:**

None.

#### **IV. AMENDMENTS/COUNCIL OR COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTE CHANGES**

On February 17, 2010, the Agriculture and Natural Resources Committee passed one amendment that made only non-substantive changes to conform to the Senate bill.