HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES STAFF ANALYSIS

BILL #: CS/CS/HB 445 Pretrial Detention and Release

SPONSOR(S): Criminal & Civil Justice Policy Council; Criminal & Civil Justice Appropriations Committee;

Dorworth and others

TIED BILLS: IDEN./SIM. BILLS: SB 782

	REFERENCE	ACTION	ANALYST	STAFF DIRECTOR
1)	Public Safety & Domestic Security Policy Committee	13 Y, 0 N	Cunningham	Cunningham
2)	Criminal & Civil Justice Appropriations Committee	6 Y, 5 N, As CS	McAuliffe	Davis
3)	Criminal & Civil Justice Policy Council	10 Y, 5 N, As CS	Cunningham	Havlicak
4)				
5)				

SUMMARY ANALYSIS

There are currently no pretrial release program eligibility criteria in the Florida Statutes – each county develops its own. The bill creates s. 907.041(5), F.S., to establish eligibility criteria that will apply to all pretrial release programs. The bill specifies that a defendant is eligible to participate in a pretrial release program by order of the court only if the court finds that the defendant does not have the ability to pay or arrange for the posting of a surety appearance bond, and if the defendant:

- Is not charged with a capital, life, or first degree felony;
- Subject to the limitations of s. 903.046(2)(d), F.S, has not failed to appear at any court proceedings within the preceding 12 months of the current arrest;
- Is not, at the time of the arrest, on probation for another charge and is not facing charges for another crime in this state;
- Has no prior convictions involving violence; and
- Satisfies any other limitation upon eligibility for release which is in addition to those above, whether established by the board of county commissioners or the court.

The bill requires pretrial release programs to provide the first appearance court all pertinent information about the defendant, including the defendant's ability to pay a surety appearance bond, and certify in writing that the defendant satisfies each of the above requirements. The bill requires the court to determine whether a defendant is eligible to participate in a pretrial release program. The bill also requires pretrial release programs to notify every defendant released to the program of the times and places at which the defendant is required to appear before the court.

The bill also specifies that if a defendant seeks to post a surety bond pursuant to a bond schedule established by administrative order, the defendant must do so without any interaction with, or restriction by, a pretrial release program.

The bill prohibits pretrial release programs from charging defendants any fees other than those authorized by state law, but permits such programs to charge defendants for services that have been ordered by the court.

The bill specifies that a court may order a defendant who does not meet the above-described eligibility requirements to participate in a pretrial release program if the defendant is eligible under state law to participate in a drug court program, mental health court program, or a prison diversion program.

On April 15, 2010, the Criminal Justice Impact Conference (CJIC) determined that Senate Bill 782, which is similar to this bill, will have an indeterminate prison bed impact on the Department of Corrections. CJIC commented that the state prison bed impact was based on an anticipated increase in the county jail population, which they found was also indeterminate. See "Fiscal Comments."

This document does not reflect the intent or official position of the bill sponsor or House of Representatives.

STORAGE NAME: h0445e.CCJP.doc

DATE: 4/12/2010

HOUSE PRINCIPLES

Members are encouraged to evaluate proposed legislation in light of the following guiding principles of the House of Representatives

- Balance the state budget.
- Create a legal and regulatory environment that fosters economic growth and job creation.
- Lower the tax burden on families and businesses.
- Reverse or restrain the growth of government.
- Promote public safety.
- Promote educational accountability, excellence, and choice.
- Foster respect for the family and for innocent human life.
- Protect Florida's natural beauty.

FULL ANALYSIS

I. SUBSTANTIVE ANALYSIS

A. EFFECT OF PROPOSED CHANGES:

Present Situation

Pretrial Release

Pretrial release is an alternative to incarceration that allows arrested defendants to be released from jail while they await disposition of their criminal charges. Pretrial release is a constitutional right for most people arrested for a crime. The primary consideration in deciding whether to grant a defendant pretrial release is whether the person presents a threat to the community, whether the person is a flight risk, or whether the person threatens the integrity of the judicial process.

Types of Pretrial Release

Generally, pretrial release can be granted in one of the following three ways:⁴

Release on Own Recognizance

Release on own recognizance allows defendants to be released from jail based on their promise to return for mandatory court appearances. Defendants released on recognizance are not required to post a bond and are not supervised.

Bond

Posting bond is a monetary requirement to ensure that defendants appear in court when required. A defendant whom the court approves for this release must post a cash bond to the court or arrange for a surety bond through a private bondsman. Defendants typically pay a nonrefundable fee to the bondsman of 10% of the bond required by the court for release. If the defendant does not appear, the bondsman is responsible for paying the entire amount. As such, bondsmen have a vested interest in ensuring that their clients attend their court dates and do not abscond. Bondsmen are not required to supervise a defendant.

STORAGE NAME: h0445e.CCJP.doc DATE: 4/12/2010

¹ Report No. 10-08, "Pretrial Release Programs' Compliance with New Reporting Requirements is Mixed," Office of Program Policy Analysis & Government Accountability, January 2010.

² Article I, Section 14, *Florida Constitution*, provides that unless charged with a capital offense or an offense punishable by life imprisonment and the proof of guilt is evident or the presumption is great, every person charged with a crime or violation of municipal or county ordinance shall be entitled to pretrial release on reasonable conditions.

³ *Id. See also*, s. 907.041(1), F.S.

⁴ Report No. 10-08, "Pretrial Release Programs' Compliance with New Reporting Requirements is Mixed," Office of Program Policy Analysis & Government Accountability, January 2010.

⁵ Some defendants can also be released at the time of arrest with a notice to appear in court.

Pretrial Release Programs

Pretrial release programs⁶ actively supervise approved defendants. The programs do so through phone contacts, visits, and/or electronic monitoring until the defendant's case is disposed or until the defendant's supervision is revoked. Defendants generally are released into a pretrial release program without paving a bond. Defendants may be assigned to the program by a judge or selected for participation by the program. There are no pretrial release program eligibility criteria in the Florida Statutes - each county develops its own criteria for determining who is eligible for its pretrial release program.

Prior to a defendant being released to a pretrial release program, the program must certify to the court that it has investigated or otherwise verified:

- The circumstances of the accused's family, employment, financial resources, character, mental condition, and length of residence in the community;
- The accused's record of convictions, of appearances at court proceedings, of flight to avoid prosecution, or of failure to appear at court proceedings; and
- Other facts necessary to assist the court in its determination of the indigency of the accused and whether the accused should be released under the supervision of the program.7

According to a January 2010, report by the Office of Program Policy Analysis and Government Accountability (OPPAGA), Florida has 28 pretrial release programs, which are administered on a county basis by sheriffs, jails, or county government divisions. Pretrial release programs are primarily funded by the county and by fees charged to defendants who participate in the program.8

Section 907.043(3), F.S., requires pretrial release programs to prepare a register displaying information that is relevant to the defendants released through such a program. The statute specifies that a copy of the register must be located at the office of the clerk of the circuit court in the county where the program is located and must be readily accessible to the public. In addition, the register must be updated weekly and display accurate data regarding specified information.9

Presumption in Favor of Non-Monetary Release

The Legislature has established a presumption in favor of pretrial release on *nonmonetary conditions*. Section 907.041(3)(a), F.S., provides the following:

It is the intent of the Legislature to create a presumption in favor of release on nonmonetary conditions for any person who is granted pretrial release unless such

STORAGE NAME: h0445e.CCJP.doc PAGE: 3 4/12/2010

DATE:

⁶ Section 907.043(2)(b), F.S., defines the term "pretrial release program" as an entity, public or private, that conducts investigations of pretrial detainees, makes pretrial release recommendations to a court, and electronically monitors and supervises pretrial defendants. The term does not apply to any program in the Florida Department of Corrections. See s. 907.043(2)(b), F.S.

⁷ s. 907.041(3)(b), F.S.

⁸ Osceola county's pretrial release program is permitted to charge participating defendants a \$2.70 fee per day for electronic monitoring, a \$4.90 fee per day for GPS, a \$4.75 fee for an alcohol monitoring device, a \$30.80 fee for a drug test, and a \$13.20 fee for an alcohol test. See "Osceola County Corrections Department Proposed Legislation Impact Analysis" for House Bill 445.

⁹ The information must include the name, location, and funding source of the pretrial release program; the number of defendants assessed and interviewed for pretrial release; the number of indigent defendants assessed and interviewed for pretrial release; the names and number of defendants accepted into the pretrial release program; the names and number of indigent defendants accepted into the pretrial release program; the charges filed against and the case numbers of defendants accepted into the pretrial release program; the nature of any prior criminal conviction of a defendant accepted into the pretrial release program; the court appearances required of defendants accepted into the pretrial release program; the date of each defendant's failure to appear for a scheduled court appearance; the number of warrants, if any, which have been issued for a defendant's arrest for failing to appear at a scheduled court appearance; and the number and type of program noncompliance infractions committed by a defendant in the pretrial release program and whether the pretrial release program recommended that the court revoke the defendant's release. See s. 907.043(3)(b), F.S.

person is charged with a dangerous crime as defined in subsection (4). 10 Such person shall be released on monetary conditions if it is determined that such monetary conditions are necessary to assure the presence of the person at trial or at other proceedings, to protect the community from risk of physical harm to persons, to assure the presence of the accused at trial, or to assure the integrity of the judicial process.

Effectiveness of the Three Types of Pretrial Release

As noted above, the primary consideration in deciding whether to grant a defendant pretrial release is whether the person presents a threat to the community, whether the person is a flight risk, or whether the person threatens the integrity of the judicial process. In their January 2010 report, OPPAGA reviewed Miami-Dade county's 2008 data and reported that failure to appear rates were comparable for each of the different types of pretrial release, with defendants in pretrial release programs being slightly more likely to fail to appear than those released on bond or released on their own recognizance. OPPAGA also found that Florida's pretrial release programs were following nationally recognized best practices for supervising defendants and reporting information to the courts.

Rules of Criminal Procedure

Rule 3.131(b) of the Florida Rules of Criminal Procedure requires judges to impose *the first* of the following conditions of release that will reasonably protect the community from risk of physical harm to persons, assure the presence of the accused at trial, or assure the integrity of the judicial process:

- Personal recognizance of the defendant;
- Execution of an unsecured appearance bond in an amount specified by the judge;
- Placement of restrictions on the travel, association, or place of abode of the defendant during the period of release;
- Placement of the defendant in the custody of a designated person or organization agreeing to supervise the defendant (e.g., pretrial release programs);
- Execution of a bail bond with sufficient solvent sureties, or the deposit of cash in lieu thereof; or
- Any other condition deemed reasonably necessary to assure appearance as required.

Thus, pursuant to the above, if a defendant's participation in a pretrial release program would reasonably protect the community from risk of physical harm to persons, assure the presence of the accused at trial, or assure the integrity of the judicial process, the judge must order such participation before ordering the defendant to post a bail bond.

Effect of the Bill

As noted above, there are currently no pretrial release program eligibility criteria in the Florida Statutes. Instead, each county develops its own criteria for determining who is eligible for its pretrial release program. The bill creates s. 907.041(5), F.S., to establish pretrial release program eligibility criteria that will apply to each county's pretrial release programs. The bill specifies that a defendant is eligible to participate in a pretrial release program by order of the court only if the court finds that the defendant does not have the ability to pay or arrange for the posting of a surety appearance bond, and if the defendant:

Is not charged with a capital, life, or first degree felony;

STORAGE NAME: DATE:

¹⁰ Section 907.041(4), F.S., defines the term "dangerous crime" to include arson; aggravated assault; aggravated battery; illegal use of explosives; child abuse or aggravated child abuse; abuse or aggravated abuse of an elderly person or disabled adult; aircraft piracy; kidnapping; homicide; manslaughter; sexual battery; robbery; carjacking; lewd, lascivious, or indecent assault or act upon or in presence of a child under 16 years; sexual activity with a child, who is 12 years of age or older but less than 18 years of age, by or at solicitation of person in familial or custodial authority; burglary of a dwelling; stalking or aggravated stalking; act of domestic violence; home invasion robbery; act of terrorism; manufacturing any substances in violation of ch. 893; and attempting or conspiring to commit any of the aforementioned crimes.

¹¹ Report No. 10-08.

¹² *Id*.

- Subject to the limitations of s. 903.046(2)(d), F.S, ¹³ has not failed to appear at any court proceedings within the preceding 12 months of the current arrest;
- Is not, at the time of the arrest, on probation for another charge and is not facing charges for another crime in this state;
- Has no prior convictions involving violence: and
- Satisfies any other limitation upon eligibility for release which is in addition to those above, whether established by the board of county commissioners or the court.

The bill requires pretrial release programs to provide the first appearance court all pertinent information about the defendant, including the defendant's ability to pay a surety appearance bond, and certify in writing that the defendant satisfies each of the above requirements. The bill requires the court to determine whether a defendant is eligible to participate in a pretrial release program. The bill also requires pretrial release programs to notify every defendant released to the program of the times and places at which the defendant is required to appear before the court.

The bill also specifies that if a defendant seeks to post a surety bond pursuant to a bond schedule established by administrative order, the defendant must do so without any interaction with, or restriction by, a pretrial release program.

The bill specifies that a court may impose any reasonable conditions of pretrial release upon any defendant (i.e., defendants released to a pretrial release program or released on bond). The bill provides that a court may order a defendant to pay for any services ordered as a condition of release.

The bill prohibits pretrial release programs from charging defendants any fees other than those authorized by state law.¹⁴ However, the bill specifies that pretrial release programs may charge defendants fees for services that have been ordered by the court as a condition of release, such as electronic monitoring, drug testing, substances abuse treatment, etc.

The bill specifies that a court may order a defendant who does not meet the above-described eligibility requirements to participate in a pretrial release program if the defendant is eligible under state law to participate in a drug court program, mental health court program, or a prison diversion program established pursuant to s. 921.00241, F.S.

The bill provides that all pretrial release programs established by ordinance of the county commission, by administrative order of the court, or by any other means, enacted or established to facilitate the release of defendants from pretrial custody, are subject to the above provisions, which supersede and preempt all local ordinances, orders, or practices.

The bill also amends s. 907.043(3), F.S., to require pretrial release programs to update the program's register monthly rather than weekly.

B. SECTION DIRECTORY:

Section 1. Amends s. 907.041, F.S., relating to pretrial detention and release.

 STORAGE NAME:
 h0445e.CCJP.doc
 PAGE: 5

 DATE:
 4/12/2010

¹³ Section 903.046(2)(d), F.S., provides that the court must consider the following when determining whether the release a defendant on bail or other conditions:

The defendant's past and present conduct, including any record of convictions, previous flight to avoid prosecution, or failure to appear at court proceedings. However, any defendant who had failed to appear on the day of any required court proceeding in the case at issue, but who had later voluntarily appeared or surrendered, shall not be eligible for a recognizance bond; and any defendant who failed to appear on the day of any required court proceeding in the case at issue and who was later arrested shall not be eligible for a recognizance bond or for any form of bond which does not require a monetary undertaking or commitment equal to or greater than \$2,000 or twice the value of the monetary commitment or undertaking of the original bond, whichever is greater. Notwithstanding anything in this section, the court has discretion in determining conditions of release if the defendant proves circumstances beyond his or her control for the failure to appear. This section may not be construed as imposing additional duties or obligations on a governmental entity related to monetary bonds.

¹⁴ Florida Statutes do not currently contain any provisions authorizing pretrial release programs to charge defendants any fees nor does the bill authorize any.

Section 2. Amends s. 907.043, F.S., relating to pretrial release; citizens' right to know.

Section 3. Provides an effective date of October 1, 2010.

II. FISCAL ANALYSIS & ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT

A. FISCAL IMPACT ON STATE GOVERNMENT:

1. Revenues:

None.

2. Expenditures:

On April 15, 2010, the Criminal Justice Impact Conference (CJIC) determined that Senate Bill 782, which is similar to this bill, will have an indeterminate prison bed impact on the Department of Corrections. CJIC commented that the state prison bed impact was based on an anticipated increase in the county jail population, which they found was also indeterminate. See "Fiscal Comments."

B. FISCAL IMPACT ON LOCAL GOVERNMENTS:

1. Revenues:

None.

2. Expenditures:

On April 15, 2010, the Criminal Justice Impact Conference (CJIC) determined that Senate Bill 782, which is similar to this bill, will have an indeterminate prison bed impact on the Department of Corrections. CJIC commented that the state prison bed impact was based on an anticipated increase in the county jail population, which they found was also indeterminate. See "Fiscal Comments."

C. DIRECT ECONOMIC IMPACT ON PRIVATE SECTOR

The bill will reduce the number of defendants eligible for pretrial release programs. Defendants who are ineligible to participate in pretrial release programs will instead have to post bail to gain pretrial release (or be released on their own recognizance). It is likely that some of these defendants will use the services of a bail bondsman to obtain the bail amount. As a result, bail bondsmen are likely to see an increase in business.

D. FISCAL COMMENTS:

The bill specifies that a defendant is eligible to participate in a pretrial release program only if the court finds that the defendant does not have the ability to pay or arrange for the posting of a surety bond. and:

- 1. Is not charged with a capital, life, or first degree felony;
- 2. Subject to the limitations of s. 903.046(2)(d), F.S, has not failed to appear at any court proceedings within the preceding 12 months of the current arrest;
- 3. Is not, at the time of the arrest, on probation for another charge and is not facing charges for another crime in this state:
- 4. Has no prior convictions involving violence; and
- 5. Satisfies any other limitation upon eligibility for release which is in addition to those above. whether established by the board of county commissioners or the court.

These eligibility requirements are not in current law. As such, the bill will likely reduce the number of defendants eligible for pretrial release programs.

STORAGE NAME: h0445e.CCJP.doc PAGE: 6 4/12/2010

DATE:

Defendants who are ineligible to participate in pretrial release programs will instead have to post a bond to gain pretrial release (or be released on their own recognizance). Some defendants will have the ability to immediately post a bond. Others may ultimately post a bond, but may spend additional time in jail while accumulating the funds to do so. Some defendants, despite the finding by the court that the defendant has the ability to pay a bond, may choose not do so. Additionally, the court may find that a defendant does not have the ability to post a bond (meeting the first requirement necessary to participate in a pretrial release program), but then may determine that the defendant doesn't meet one of the remaining requirements (listed in 1-5 above). These defendants would likely remain in jail until disposition of their case. For these reasons, counties may see an increased need for jail beds. Some counties, depending on the size and population of their jail facilities, may need to construct additional jail beds.

III. COMMENTS

A. CONSTITUTIONAL ISSUES:

1. Applicability of Municipality/County Mandates Provision:

The mandates provision appears to apply because the bill may require counties or municipalities to spend funds or take an action requiring the expenditure of funds. However, if the legislature determines that the bill fulfills an important state interest, an exception to the mandates provision exists because the bill applies to all persons similarly situated, including the state. The bill does not currently contain a statement that its provisions fulfill an important state interest.

2. Other:

As noted above, Rule 3.131(b) of the Florida Rules of Criminal Procedure requires judges to impose the first of the following conditions of release that will reasonably protect the community from risk of physical harm to persons, assure the presence of the accused at trial, or assure the integrity of the judicial process:

- Personal recognizance of the defendant;
- Execution of an unsecured appearance bond in an amount specified by the judge;
- Placement of restrictions on the travel, association, or place of abode of the defendant during the period of release;
- Placement of the defendant in the custody of a designated person or organization agreeing to supervise the defendant (e.g., pretrial release programs);
- Execution of a bail bond with sufficient solvent sureties, or the deposit of cash in lieu thereof;
 or
- Any other condition deemed reasonably necessary to assure appearance as required.

Statutes which purport to create or modify a procedural rule of court, rather than substantive rule of court, are constitutionally infirm.¹⁵ This principle is grounded in Art. V, Section 2(a) of the Florida Constitution, which states that the Florida Supreme Court shall adopt rules for the practice and procedure in all courts. Furthermore, Art. II, Section 3, of the state constitution, the separation of powers provision, provides that powers constitutionally bestowed upon the courts may not be exercised by the Legislature.

In *State v. Raymond*, the Florida Supreme Court declared s. 907.041(4)(b), F.S., which prohibited persons charged with dangerous crimes from being granted nonmonetary pretrial release at a first appearance hearing, an unconstitutional violation of the separation of powers in Article II, Section 3 of the Florida Constitution.¹⁶ In that case, the defendant qualified for nonmonetary release to pretrial

¹⁶ 906 So.2d 1045 (Fla. 2005)

STORAGE NAME: DATE:

¹⁵ State v. Raymond, 906 So.2d 1045 (Fla. 2005) citing Markert v. Johnston, 367 So.2d 1003 (Fla. 1978) and Military Park Fire Control Tax Dist. No. 4 v. DeMarois, 407 So.2d 1020 (Fla. 4th DCA 1981).

services because she had no prior offenses, but because she was charged with domestic violence the court could not release her under s. 907.041(4)(b), F.S., (2000) at first appearance. The Supreme Court found that by enacting s. 907.041(4)(b), F.S., "which is a rule of procedure affecting the *timing* of a defendant's eligibility for pretrial release," the Legislature had encroached upon the court's power, by "imposing a new procedural rule." ¹⁷

The court stated that the terms practice and procedure "encompass the course, form, manner, means, method, mode, order, process or steps by which a party enforces substantive rights or obtains redress for their invasion. 'Practice and procedure' may be described as the machinery of the judicial process as opposed to the product thereof."¹⁸ In contrast, matters of substantive law are within the Legislature's domain. Substantive law has been defined as that part of the law which creates, defines, and regulates rights, or that part of the law which courts are established to administer.¹⁹ It includes those rules and principles which fix and declare the primary rights of individuals with respect to their persons and property.²⁰

The bill establishes criteria for participation in pretrial release programs, requires the pretrial release program to certify in writing to the court that the defendant satisfies each requirement of eligibility before a determination is made regarding placement in the program, and requires the court to determine whether the defendant is eligible to participate in a pretrial release program after the pretrial release program evaluates the defendant's eligibility and certifies its findings to the court.

It is possible that the statute created by this bill will be challenged on the grounds that it violates the separation of powers provision of the state constitution by dealing with procedural matters that are the province of the court.

B. RULE-MAKING AUTHORITY:

None.

C. DRAFTING ISSUES OR OTHER COMMENTS:

The bill specifies that a defendant is eligible to participate in a pretrial release program by order of the court only if the court finds that the defendant does not have the ability to pay or arrange for the posting of a surety appearance bond, and if the defendant, *subject to the limitations of s. 903.046(2)(d), F.S.*, has not failed to appear at any court proceedings within the preceding 12 months of the current arrest

Section 903.046(2), F.S., requires the court to consider certain factors when determining whether the release a defendant on bail or other conditions. Paragraph (d) requires a minimum \$2,000 monetary bond or twice the value of the monetary commitment or undertaking of the original bond, whichever is greater, for any person who had previously failed to appear at a previous court proceeding. The paragraph also specifies that the court has discretion in determining conditions of release if the defendant proves circumstances beyond his or her control for the failure to appear. It is unclear what the citation to this paragraph is intended to accomplish.

IV. AMENDMENTS/COUNCIL OR COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTE CHANGES

On March 26, 2010, the Criminal & Civil Justice Appropriations Committee adopted a strike-all amendment, and two amendments to the strike-all amendment. The strike-all amendment, as amended:

 Specifies that pretrial release programs established by ordinance or by administrative order are subject to the provisions of the bill which supersede and preempt all local ordinances, orders, or practices.

STORAGE NAME: h0445e.CCJP.doc DATE: 4/12/2010

¹⁷ *Id*.

¹⁸ State v. Raymond, 906 So.2d 1045 (Fla. 2005) citing In re Fla. Rules of Criminal Procedure, 272 So.2d 65, 66 (Fla. 1972) (Adkins, J., concurring).

¹⁹ State v. Raymond, 906 So.2d 1045 (Fla. 2005) citing State v. Garcia, 229 So.2d 236 (Fla. 1969).

²⁰ State v. Raymond, 906 So.2d 1045 (Fla. 2005) citing Adams v. Wright, 403 So.2d 391 (Fla. 1981).

- Provides that a person may participate in a pretrial released program if not charged with a capital, life, or first degree felony and has not willfully failed to appear, within the past year, at any court proceedings.
- Removes indigency as an eligibility requirement for participation in pretrial release programs.
- Specifies that the bill does not prohibit the court from imposing any reasonable conditions of release and authorize the court to order defendants to pay for services ordered as a condition of release.
- Prohibits a pretrial release program from charging defendants any fees other than those authorized by state law.
- Allows pretrial release programs to charge defendants for services that have been ordered by the court as a condition of release.
- Permits a court to order a defendant who does not meet the pretrial release program criteria established by the bill to participate in a pretrial release program so long as the defendant is eligible under state law to participate in a drug court program, a mental health court program, or a prison diversion program.
- Amends s. 907.043(3), F.S., to require pretrial release programs to update the program's register monthly rather than weekly.
- Changes the effective date from July 1, 2010 to October 1, 2010.

The bill was reported favorably as a committee substitute.

On April 12, 2010, the Criminal & Civil Justice Policy Council adopted one amendment to the bill. The amendment specifies that a defendant is eligible to participate in a pretrial release program by order of the court only if the court finds that the defendant does not have the ability to pay or arrange for the posting of a surety appearance bond, and if the defendant:

- Is not charged with a capital, life, or first degree felony:
- Subject to the limitations of s. 903.046(2)(d), F.S. has not failed to appear at any court proceedings within the preceding 12 months of the current arrest;
- Is not, at the time of the arrest, on probation for another charge and is not facing charges for another crime in this state:
- Has no prior convictions involving violence; and
- Satisfies any other limitation upon eligibility for release which is in addition to those above, whether established by the board of county commissioners or the court.

The amendment also requires pretrial release programs to provide the first appearance court all pertinent information about the defendant, including the defendant's ability to pay a surety appearance bond.

The bill was reported favorably as a council substitute. This analysis reflects the latest council substitute.

STORAGE NAME: h0445e.CCJP.doc **PAGE**: 9 4/12/2010