

LEGISLATIVE ACTION

Senate

House

Senator Simmons moved the following:

Senate Amendment (with title amendment)

Delete everything after the enacting clause

and insert:

1 2 3

4

5

6

7

8

9

Section 1. Section 768.81, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

768.81 Comparative fault.-

(1) <u>DEFINITIONS</u> DEFINITION.—As used in this section, <u>the</u> term:

10 (a) "Economic damages" means past lost income and future 11 lost income reduced to present value; medical and funeral 12 expenses; lost support and services; replacement value of lost 13 personal property; loss of appraised fair market value of real

Page 1 of 5

662962

14 property; costs of construction repairs, including labor, 15 overhead, and profit; and any other economic loss that which 16 would not have occurred but for the injury giving rise to the 17 cause of action. 18 (b) "Negligence action" means, without limitation, a civil 19 action for damages based upon a theory of negligence, strict liability, products liability, or professional malpractice, 20 21 whether couched in terms of contract, tort, or breach of 22 warranty and like theories. The substance of an action, not the 23 conclusory terms used by a party, determines whether an action 24 is a negligence action. 25 (c) "Products liability action" means a civil action based upon a theory of strict liability, negligence, breach of 26 27 warranty, nuisance, or similar theories for damages caused by 28 the manufacture, construction, design, formulation, 29 installation, preparation, or assembly of a product. The term 30 includes an action alleging that injuries received by a claimant 31 in an accident were greater than the injuries the claimant would 32 have received but for a defective product. The substance of an 33 action, not the conclusory terms used by a party, determines 34 whether an action is a products liability action.

(2) EFFECT OF CONTRIBUTORY FAULT.-In <u>a negligence</u> an action to which this section applies, any contributory fault chargeable to the claimant diminishes proportionately the amount awarded as economic and noneconomic damages for an injury attributable to the claimant's contributory fault, but does not bar recovery.

40 (3) APPORTIONMENT OF DAMAGES.-In <u>a negligence action</u> cases
41 to which this section applies, the court shall enter judgment
42 against each party liable on the basis of such party's

Page 2 of 5



43 percentage of fault and not on the basis of the doctrine of 44 joint and several liability.

45 (a)1. In order to allocate any or all fault to a nonparty, 46 a defendant must affirmatively plead the fault of a nonparty and, absent a showing of good cause, identify the nonparty, if 47 known, or describe the nonparty as specifically as practicable, 48 49 either by motion or in the initial responsive pleading when defenses are first presented, subject to amendment any time 50 51 before trial in accordance with the Florida Rules of Civil 52 Procedure.

53 <u>2.(b)</u> In order to allocate any or all fault to a nonparty 54 and include the named or unnamed nonparty on the verdict form 55 for purposes of apportioning damages, a defendant must prove at 56 trial, by a preponderance of the evidence, the fault of the 57 nonparty in causing the plaintiff's injuries.

58 (b) In a products liability action alleging that injuries 59 received by a claimant in an accident were greater than the injuries the claimant would have received but for a defective 60 61 product, the trier of fact shall consider the fault of all 62 persons who contributed to the injuries when apportioning fault 63 between or among them. The court or other tribunal shall consider, and in the event of a jury trial the jury shall be 64 instructed regarding, the distinction between causation of the 65 66 injury and causation of enhancement of the injury. The court or 67 other tribunal shall apply the rules of evidence as appropriate 68 regarding such distinction.

(4) APPLICABILITY.-

69

70 (a) This section applies to negligence cases. For purposes 71 of this section, "negligence cases" includes, but is not limited



72 civil actions for damages based upon theories of negligence, 73 strict liability, products liability, professional malpractice 74 whether couched in terms of contract or tort, or breach of 75 warranty and like theories. In determining whether a case falls 76 within the term "negligence cases," the court shall look to the 77 substance of the action and not the conclusory terms used by the 78 parties. 79 (b) This section does not apply to any action brought by 80 any person to recover actual economic damages resulting from 81 pollution, to any action based upon an intentional tort, or to 82 any cause of action as to which application of the doctrine of 83 joint and several liability is specifically provided by chapter 403, chapter 498, chapter 517, chapter 542, or chapter 895. 84 85 (5) MEDICAL MALPRACTICE.-Notwithstanding anything in law to the contrary, in an action for damages for personal injury or 86 87 wrongful death arising out of medical malpractice, whether in contract or tort, if when an apportionment of damages pursuant 88 to this section is attributed to a teaching hospital as defined 89 90 in s. 408.07, the court shall enter judgment against the teaching hospital on the basis of such party's percentage of 91 92 fault and not on the basis of the doctrine of joint and several 93 liability. Section 2. This act is remedial in nature and, to the 94 95 extent permitted by applicable law, shall apply retrospectively. 96 Section 3. This act shall take effect upon becoming a law. 97 ========== T I T L E A M E N D M E N T ================ 98 And the title is amended as follows: 99 100 Delete everything before the enacting clause

Page 4 of 5



101	and insert:
102	A bill to be entitled
103	An act relating to negligence; amending s. 768.81,
104	F.S.; defining the terms "negligence action" and
105	"products liability action"; requiring the trier of
106	fact to consider the fault of all persons who
107	contributed to an accident when apportioning damages
108	in a products liability action alleging an additional
109	or enhanced injury; requiring a court or other
110	tribunal or a jury to be instructed of the distinction
111	between causation of the injury and causation of
112	enhancement of the injury; providing for retroactive
113	application of the act; providing an effective date.