HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES STAFF ANALYSIS

BILL #: CS/CS/HB 17 Military Veterans Convicted of Criminal Offenses **SPONSOR(S):** Criminal Justice Subcommittee; Nelson, Abruzzo and others

TIED BILLS: None IDEN./SIM. BILLS: CS/SB 138

REFERENCE	ACTION	ANALYST	STAFF DIRECTOR or BUDGET/POLICY CHIEF
1) Criminal Justice Subcommittee	15 Y, 0 N, As CS	De La Paz	Cunningham
2) Justice Appropriations Subcommittee	14 Y, 0 N, As CS	McAuliffe	Jones Darity
3) Health & Human Services Committee			
4) Judiciary Committee			

SUMMARY ANALYSIS

CS/HB 17 creates the "T. Patt Maney Veterans' Treatment Intervention Act."

CS/HB 17 requires a judge to hold a "veteran's status hearing" before sentencing a defendant found to have committed a crime if the defendant alleges that he or she committed the offense as a result of posttraumatic stress disorder (PTSD), traumatic brain injury (TBI), substance use disorder, or psychological problems stemming from service with the United States military in a combat theater. Although the defendant must allege the crime was committed "as a result of" any of the listed conditions stemming from service in a combat theater, the court's inquiry does not require any finding that the allegation is true or established by the evidence. The purpose of the hearing is limited to determining whether the defendant:

- Was a member of the United States military who served in combat; and
- Suffers from PTSD, TBI, substance abuse, or psychological problems as a result of that service.

Under the bill a judge may place a defendant who satisfies the above criteria on probation or community control and order the defendant to participate in a treatment program. Under CS/HB 17, persons convicted of any felony offenses, except capital felonies, are eligible to participate in the veterans' court program.

The bill also provides the court may sentence a defendant to felony or misdemeanor pre-trial diversion programs for veterans who are current or former United States military service members suffering from PTSD, TBI, a substance use disorder, or psychological problems stemming from service in a combat theater. The bill authorizes placement of eligible veterans into an existing treatment program approved by the chief judge of the circuit in lieu of proceeding with criminal prosecution.

On March 2, 2011, the Criminal Justice Impact Conference determined that the original version of this bill would have no impact on the state prison population. The modifications CS/HB 17 made to the original bill would not appear to alter that assessment.

The bill is effective January 1, 2012.

This document does not reflect the intent or official position of the bill sponsor or House of Representatives. $\textbf{STORAGE NAME:}\ h0017c.JUAS$

FULL ANALYSIS

I. SUBSTANTIVE ANALYSIS

A. EFFECT OF PROPOSED CHANGES:

Present Situation

The Department of Corrections does not have statistics of how many of the 152,000 offenders on community supervision are military veterans. However, it reports that 6,864 state prison inmates (approximately 6.7% of the total prison population) identified themselves as a military veteran as of December 20, 2010. This claim of veteran status was verified for 1,273 of these inmates by submission of a Certificate of Release or Discharge from Active Duty (Department of Defense Form 214). The types of offenses for which these veterans are incarcerated are reflected in the following table:

	Claimed Veteran	%	Verified Veteran	%
Primary Offense	Status		Status	
Murder/Manslaughter	1,079	15.7	353	27.7
Sexual/Lewd Behavior	1,773	25.8	501	39.4
Robbery	593	8.6	97	7.6
Aggravated Battery/Assault,	747		84	
Kidnapping, Other Violent		10.9		6.6
Crimes Against Persons				
Burglary	677	9.9	98	7.7
Property Theft/Fraud/Damage	579	8.4	36	2.8
Drugs	860	12.5	62	4.9
Weapons	165	2.4	17	1.3
Other	391	5.7	25	2.0
Total	6,864		1,273	

The above table indicates that the overwhelming majority of veteran inmates in Florida are incarcerated for violent crimes while less than 8% are imprisoned for property and drug offenses. There is no comprehensive data on how many veterans are among the approximately 59,000 persons either serving sentences or awaiting trial or hearing in county jails throughout Florida.

In 2008, the Florida Department of Veterans' Affairs and the Florida Office of Drug Control issued a paper examining the issue of mental health and substance abuse needs of returning veterans and their families.² The study noted that combat medical advances are enabling veterans of Operation Iraqi Freedom (OIF) and Operation Enduring Freedom (OEF) to survive wounds that would have been fatal in previous conflicts, and thus some are returning with "more complex physical and emotional disorders, such as Traumatic Brain Injuries and Post-Traumatic Stress Disorder (PTSD),³ substance abuse and depression.⁴ The study also estimated at that time that approximately 29,000 returning veterans residing in Florida may suffer from PTSD or some form of major depression.⁵

⁵ *Id*.

STORAGE NAME: h0017c.JUAS

¹ Department of Corrections Analysis of House Bill 17 – Military Veterans Convicted of Criminal Offenses, December 21, 2010, p. 1.

² Florida Department of Veterans' Affairs and Florida Office of Drug Control Green Paper, Returning Veterans and Their Families with Substance Abuse and Mental Health Needs: Florida's Action Plan, January 2009, p. 5.

³ According to the National Institute for Mental Health, "Post-Traumatic Stress Disorder," is an anxiety disorder that can develop after exposure to a terrifying event or ordeal in which grave physical harm occurred or was threatened. Traumatic events that may trigger PTSD include violent personal assaults, natural or human-caused disasters, accidents, or military combat.

⁴ Florida Department of Veterans' Affairs and Florida Office of Drug Control Green Paper, Returning Veterans and Their Families with Substance Abuse and Mental Health Needs: Florida's Action Plan, January 2009.

A Rand Center report in 2008 indicated that preliminary studies showed that 5 to 15 percent of OIF and OEF service members are returning with PTSD, 2 to 10 percent with depression, and an unknown number with Traumatic Brain Injury (TBI).⁶ A person with any of these disorders also has a greater likelihood of experiencing other psychiatric diagnoses than do other persons.⁷

A report by the Center for Mental Health Services National GAINS Center of the federal Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration (SAMHSA) noted that many veterans coming into contact with the criminal justice system may have unmet treatment needs.⁸

Since 2008, legislation authorizing the establishment of veterans' courts has been adopted or at least considered in California, Colorado, Texas, Nevada, Illinois, Connecticut, New Mexico, New York, Minnesota, and Oklahoma. The National Association of Drug Court Professionals website indicates that there are veterans' courts in 47 cities or counties nationwide. Veterans' courts have the goal of identifying veterans who would benefit from a treatment program instead of incarceration or other sanctions.

Some veterans who have committed criminal offenses may be eligible for treatment services provided and funded by the United States Department of Veterans Affairs (VA). An American Bar Association (ABA) study indicates that 82 percent of veterans in jail nationwide are eligible for services from the VA based on the character of their discharge.¹¹

Veterans Courts in Florida

Currently, there are some veterans' court and veterans' jail diversion initiatives around the state. Okaloosa County has begun referring veterans' cases to a court docket with special knowledge of veterans and veterans' issues. This was established through the cooperation of the local State Attorney's Office, the court, and local treatment professionals. To determine eligibility, offenders are asked at initial booking if they have ever served in the military and what type of discharge they received. Veterans are further asked if they will sign a release in order to share information with the VA. Further screening is conducted through the Pre-Trial Services Office, and the program uses drug court case managers to monitor participants. Access to VA treatment facilities is sought for eligible veterans in the program.

Palm Beach County started a veterans' court program in December 2010. A feature of the program is assignment of a VA social worker supervisor to act as the court's VA liaison. This VA employee has oversight of screening and case management services for eligible veterans. In addition to receiving any needed mental health and substance abuse treatment, participating veterans also have access to VA programs that address homelessness and unemployment. This is compatible with the VA's national Veteran's Justice Outreach Initiative that will assign staff and trained volunteer resources to facilitate veterans' court programs.¹²

In October 2009, the Department of Children and Families Mental Health Program Office was awarded over \$1.8 million from SAMHSA over the next five years to provide services and support for Florida's

DATE: 4/13/2011

STORAGE NAME: h0017c.JUAS

⁶ Rand Center for Military Health Policy Research, Benjamin R. Karney, Rajeev Ramchand, Karen Chan Osilla, Leah B. Caldarone, and Rachel M. Burns, *Invisible Wounds, Predicting the Immediate and Long-Term Consequences of Mental Health Problems in Veterans of Operation Enduring Freedom and Operation Iraqi Freedom*, April 2008, p. xxi. A "Traumatic Brain Injury" occurs when an external force traumatically injures the brain.

^{&#}x27; *Id*. at 127.

⁸ GAINS Center, *Responding to the Needs of Justice-Involved Combat Veterans with Service-Related Trauma and Mental Health Conditions*, August 2008, page 6, at www.gainscenter.samhsa.gov/pdfs/veterans/CVTJS_Report.pdf. The observation was based upon information provided by the VA.

⁹ Interim Report 2011-131, Veterans' Courts, Florida Senate Committee on Military Affairs and Domestic Security, October 2011, p.

National Association of Drug Court Professionals website at http://www.nadcp.org/JusticeForVets.

¹¹ ABA Commission on Homelessness and Poverty, Resolution 105A, February 10, 2010 at http://www.americanbar.org/content/dam/aba/migrated/homeless/PublicDocuments/ABA_Policy_on_Vets_Treatment_Courts_FINAL .authcheckdam.pdf,

¹² The Veteran's Justice Outreach Initiative website is http://www.va.gov/HOMELESS/VJO.asp.

returning veterans who served in Irag and Afghanistan and who suffer with PTSD and other behavioral health disorders. 13

The Prison Diversion Program

Florida currently has a program to divert offenders who would otherwise be sentenced to prison by authorizing a judge to sentence a defendant to a non-state prison sanction if the following conditions are met:

- The offender's primary offense is a felony of the third degree.
- The offender's total sentence points score, as provided in s. 921.0024, F.S., is not more than 48 points, or the offender's total sentence points score is 54 points and 6 of those points are for a violation of probation, community control, or other community supervision, and do not involve a new violation of law.
- The offender has not been convicted or previously convicted of a forcible felony as defined in s. 776.08, F.S., but excluding any third degree felony violation under Chapter 810, F.S.
- The offender's primary offense does not require a minimum mandatory sentence.

If the court elects to sentence a defendant under the prison diversion program the court must sentence the offender to a term of probation, community control, or community supervision with mandatory participation in a prison diversion program of the Department of Corrections if such program is funded and exists in the judicial circuit in which the offender is sentenced. ¹⁴ The prison diversion programs are designed to meet the unique needs of each judicial circuit and of the offender population of that circuit. The program may require residential, nonresidential, or day-reporting requirements; substance abuse treatment; employment; restitution; academic or vocational opportunities; or community service work. 15

Contrast to the Drug Courts Program

By way of comparison, s. 397.334, F.S., authorizes the establishment of drug courts that divert eligible persons to county-funded treatment programs in lieu of state prison. The drug courts program is available to a person whose prison sentence is 18 months or less, whose crime was a "non-violent felony,"16 who is amenable to treatment, and who is otherwise qualified under s. 397.334(3), F.S. To be otherwise qualified under s. 397.334(4), F.S., entry into the program must be based on the sentencing judge's assessment of the defendant's criminal history, substance abuse screening outcome, amenability to the services, the total sentence points, the recommendation of the State Attorney and the victim (if any), and the defendant's agreement to enter the program.¹⁷

Effect of Proposed Changes

CS/HB 17 creates the "T. Patt Maney Veterans' Treatment Intervention Act." 18

STORAGE NAME: h0017c.JUAS

Florida Department of Children and Families' description of the Veterans Jail Diversion Grant can be viewed at http://www.dcf.state.fl.us/programs/samh/mentalhealth/consumerfamilyaffairs/currinitiatives.shtml.

¹⁴ This program was created in 2009 and established two pilot programs. One in Pinellas and Pasco counties (6th Judicial Circuit) and the other Hillsborough county (13th Judicial Circuit). The Legislature appropriated 1.4 million over the last two years to the Department of Corrections for the programs. In December 2010, the Office of Program Policy Analysis and Government Accountability (OPPAGA) reported that the program in the 13th Judicial Circuit is fully operational, but is serving many offenders whose sentencing scores suggest they were not prison-bound. The program in the 6th Judicial Circuit ceased operation in August 2010 due to a lack of referrals. HB 7127 is currently pending before the House and expands eligibility criteria for the program. Section 921.00241, F.S.

For purposes of the program a "nonviolent felony" means a third degree felony violation of Chapter 810, F.S., (relating to burglary and trespass), or any felony that is not a forcible felony as defined in s. 776.08, F.S. Section 397.334(3)(a), F.S.

Patt Maney is presently a county court judge Okaloosa County. He is a retired Brigadier General in the United States Army Reserves and received a Purple Heart for extensive injuries as the result of an IED explosion while he was serving in Afghanistan in 2005. That explosion caused life-threatening injuries and left him with a Traumatic Brain Injury. After a lengthy recovery at the Walter Reed Army Medical Center, Judge Maney was able to resume his duties. Judge Maney has donated a great deal of his personal time to assisting homeless or disabled veterans.

Veteran Status Hearing

CS/HB 17 requires a judge to hold a "veteran's status hearing" before sentencing a defendant found to have committed a crime if the defendant alleges that he or she committed the offense as a result of PTSD, TBI, substance use disorder, or psychological problems stemming from service with the United States military in a combat theater. Although the defendant must allege the crime was committed "as a result of" any of the listed conditions stemming from service in a combat theater, the court's inquiry does not require any finding that the allegation is true or established by the evidence. In other words, the court need not find a nexus between the commission of the crime and the fact that the veteran suffers from any of the specific conditions. Instead the purpose of the hearing is limited to determining whether the defendant:

- Was a member of the United States military who served in combat; and
- Suffers from PTSD, TBI, substance abuse, or psychological problems as a result of that service.

Under the bill the defendant bears the burden of proof of establishing the above criteria at the hearing. The term "psychological problems" is not defined. Neither is "service in a combat theater" defined. (See Drafting Issues or Other Comments) If the court determines that the defendant satisfies both of the above criteria, and concludes that the defendant is eligible for probation or community control and places the defendant on probation or community control, the court may order the defendant to participate in a local, state, federal, or private non-profit treatment program, for a period that is no more than the length of time which they would have been incarcerated. In order for the court to exercise this option, the defendant must agree to participate and the court must determine that there is an appropriate treatment program. The court must, wherever possible, place the defendant in a treatment program that has a history of successfully treating combat veterans, and must give preference to a treatment program for which the veteran is eligible through the state or federal Departments of Veterans Affairs.

The Criminal Punishment Code and CS/HB 17

With respect to felony level offenses, CS/HB 17 seeks to provide a mechanism for courts to sentence veterans who have been convicted of crimes and who meet specified criteria from being sentenced under the Criminal Punishment Code (Code). The Code establishes the sentencing range for felony offenses committed on or after October 1, 1998. Criminal offenses are ranked in the "offense severity ranking chart" from level one (least severe) to level ten (most severe) and are assigned points based on the severity of the offense as determined by the Legislature. The points are added in order to determine the "lowest permissible sentence" for the offense.

Although the provisions of CS/HB 17 apply when a court makes the required finding in a veterans' status hearing, the bill also requires the court to conclude that the defendant "is otherwise eligible for probation and community control," before the court may place the defendant on community supervision and order the defendant into a treatment program. Under the Code, defendants scoring a prison sentence are not eligible for placement on probation or community control in lieu of the prescribed state prison sentence. Absent scoring a nonstate prison sanction, a judge may sentence someone who would otherwise receive a prison sentence under the Code to probation or community control only by imposing a sentence that is a downward departure from the lowest permissible sentence.

A judge cannot impose a downward departure sentence unless the judge makes written findings that there are "circumstances or factors that reasonably justify the downward departure." The imposition of a downward departure sentence is subject to appellate review. Mitigating circumstances which may reasonably justify a downward departure sentence include but are not limited to:

• The capacity of the defendant to appreciate the criminal nature of the conduct or to conform that conduct to the requirements of law was substantially impaired.

²¹ Section 921.0026(1), F.S.

¹⁹ Section 921.0022, F.S.

Downward departure sentences are prohibited, however, when the offense is subject to a minimum mandatory prison sentence.

- The defendant requires specialized treatment for a mental disorder that is unrelated to substance abuse or addiction or for a physical disability, and the defendant is amenable to treatment.
- The defendant's offense is a nonviolent felony, the defendant's Criminal Punishment Code scoresheet total sentence points under s. 921.0024, F.S., are 52 points or fewer, and the court determines that the defendant is amenable to the services of a postadjudicatory treatment-based drug court program and is otherwise qualified to participate in the program as part of the sentence.²²

CS/HB 17 is silent as to whether the new section of statute would operate within the bounds of a judge considering a downward departure sentence when authorized by the Code, or whether it is independent of it. If the bill is construed to operate independently of the sentencing structure of the Code, then the section will operate in a manner which not is patterned after current mechanisms to impose sentences that avoid incarceration in state prison in order to place defendants into appropriate treatment programs. Unlike the current drug court program and prison diversion program, which are restricted to lower level non-forcible felons, CS/HB 17 does not establish any qualifying or disqualifying factors relating to the type of offense charged, the prior designation of the offender as statutorily defined repeat offender, or other legal status of the defendant. Under CS/HB 17, all felony offenses, except capital felonies, are within the scope of inclusion into the veterans' court program. ²³ It is unclear whether offenses subject to minimum mandatory prison sentences, such as 10-20-Life, would also be included in the program. ²⁴ Unlike downward departure sentences imposed pursuant to the s. 926.0026, F.S., CS/HB 17 does not expressly provide a state's right to appeal from a sentence imposed under its provisions.

Credit For Time Served

Under CS/HB 17, a veteran who is ordered into a residential treatment program as a result of the hearing will earn sentence credits for the time he or she actually serves in the treatment program if the court finds in writing that he or she would have sentenced the defendant to incarceration except for the fact that the defendant met the criteria in the bill. These credits would be applied to reduce any remaining sentence in the event that the veteran is committed to jail or prison as a result of violating the terms of community supervision. Current law allows a court to require an offender to participate in treatment programs as a special condition of probation or community control, however, an offender cannot receive credit against prison sentence for any time served in a treatment or rehabilitation program when the defendant violates the terms of that community supervision. CS/HB 17 awards sentencing credit for time that the defendant spends in an inpatient treatment program for veterans without requiring successful compliance with terms of supervision.

Pretrial Veterans' Treatment Intervention Program

The bill also provides the court may sentence a defendant to felony or misdemeanor pre-trial diversion programs for veterans who are current or former United States military service members suffering from PTSD, TBI, a substance use disorder, or psychological problems stemming from service in a combat theater. The bill authorizes placement of eligible veterans into an existing pretrial treatment program approved by the chief judge of the circuit in lieu of proceeding with criminal prosecution.

The bill amends s. 948.08, F.S., to provide the court may sentence a defendant to a felony pretrial veterans treatment intervention program. It applies to any veteran with one of the listed psychological conditions who is charged with a felony that is not a disqualifying offense. The bill references offenses listed in s. 948.06 (8)(c), F.S., to disqualify defendants charged with the following crimes from eligibility for the program:

- Kidnapping or attempted kidnapping under s. 787.01, F.S.,
- False imprisonment of a child under the age of 13 under s. 787.02(3), F.S., or luring or enticing a child under s. 787.025(2)(b) or (c), F.S.

Section 921.0026(2), F.S. This is a partial list of mitigating circumstances specifically listed in the statute.

²³ Section 948.01(1), F.S., specifically disqualifies persons convicted of capital felonies from eligibility for probation.

²⁴ Section 775.087, F.S.

⁵ See State v. Cregan, 908 So.2d 387 (Fla. 2005).

- Murder or attempted murder under s. 782.04, F.S., attempted felony murder under s. 782.051, F.S., or manslaughter under s. 782.07, F.S.
- Aggravated battery or attempted aggravated battery under s. 784.045, F.S.
- Sexual battery or attempted sexual battery under s. 794.011(2), (3), (4), or (8)(b) or (c), F.S.
- Lewd or lascivious battery or attempted lewd or lascivious battery under s. 800.04(4), F.S., lewd or lascivious molestation under s. 800.04(5)(b) or (c)2., F.S., lewd or lascivious conduct under s. 800.04(6)(b), F.S., lewd or lascivious exhibition under s. 800.04(7)(b), F.S., or lewd or lascivious exhibition on computer under s. 847.0135(5)(b), F.S.
- Robbery or attempted robbery under s. 812.13, F.S., carjacking or attempted carjacking under s. 812.133, F.S., or home invasion robbery or attempted home invasion robbery under s. 812.135, F.S.
- Lewd or lascivious offense upon or in the presence of an elderly or disabled person or attempted lewd or lascivious offense upon or in the presence of an elderly or disabled person under s. 825.1025, F.S.
- Sexual performance by a child or attempted sexual performance by a child under s. 827.071, F.S.
- Computer pornography under s. 847.0135(2) or (3), F.S., transmission of child pornography under s. 847.0137, F.S., or selling or buying of minors under s. 847.0145, F.S.
- Poisoning food or water under s. 859.01, F.S.
- Abuse of a dead human body under s. 872.06, F.S.
- Burglary or attempted burglary that is a first-degree or second-degree felony, or any attempted burglary offense, under s. 810.02(2) or (3), F.S.
- Arson or attempted arson under s. 806.01(1), F.S. Aggravated assault under s. 784.021, F.S. Aggravated stalking under s. 784.048(3), (4), (5), or (7), F.S.
- Aircraft piracy under s. 860.16, F.S.
- Unlawful throwing, placing, or discharging of a destructive device or bomb under s. 790.161(2), (3), or (4), F.S.
- Treason under s. 876.32, F.S. Any offense in another jurisdiction that would meet the definitions of these offenses if committed in Florida.

If a veteran with one of the listed conditions is not charged with a disqualifying offense, he or she is eligible to be voluntarily admitted into a felony pretrial veterans treatment intervention program if one has been approved by the chief judge of the circuit. Admission may be upon the court's own motion or the motion of either party. The bill authorizes three reasons a defendant can be denied entry into the program:

- The court may deny admission to the program if the defendant rejected an offer of admission to a pretrial veterans' treatment intervention program on the record at any time prior to trial.
- The court may deny admission to the program if the defendant previously entered a courtordered veterans' treatment program.
- The court must deny admission into the program if the state attorney establishes by a
 preponderance of the evidence at a preadmission hearing that the defendant was involved in
 selling controlled substances.

Because the bill specifically lists instances in which the court may deny admission into the program, it precludes from consideration any other reason a judge may believe admission into the program should be denied. For example, because program disqualification is based solely on the charged offense, a prior record of violent offenses would not render a defendant ineligible for admission into the program, and a court would have no discretion to deny admission on that basis.

The bill also amends s. 948.16, F.S., to provide the court may sentence a defendant to a misdemeanor pretrial veterans' treatment intervention program. Any veteran with one of the listed conditions who is charged with a misdemeanor is eligible to be admitted voluntarily into a misdemeanor pretrial veterans treatment intervention program if one has been approved by the chief judge of the circuit. The court may deny admission if the defendant previously entered a court-ordered veterans' treatment program.

The bill requires that a "veterans' treatment intervention team" develop an individualized coordinated strategy for any veteran who is to be admitted to either a felony or misdemeanor pretrial veterans

treatment intervention program. This coordinated strategy must be provided to the veteran in writing before he or she agrees to enter the program. The strategy is to be modeled after the ten therapeutic jurisprudence principles and key components for treatment-based drug court programs that are found in s. 397.334(4), F.S. These principles and components include the provision of treatment services facilitated through a nonadversarial approach to resolving the criminal charge between the prosecution and defense counsel. They also include early identification of eligible participants, and monitoring and evaluation of achievement of program goals.

The coordinated strategy may include a system of sanctions for non-compliance. The sanctions may include placement in a residential or jail-based treatment program or incarceration for up to the length of time allowed for contempt of court.

At the end of the intervention program, the court must dismiss the charges if it finds that the defendant successfully completed the intervention program. If, on the other hand, the court finds that the defendant did not successfully complete the program, the court may either order the defendant to continue in treatment or order that the charges revert to normal channels for prosecution.

Any defendant whose charges are dismissed after successful completion of the pretrial veterans' treatment intervention program, if otherwise eligible, may have his or her arrest record and a plea of nolo contendere to the dismissed charges expunged pursuant to s. 943.0585, F.S. (See Drafting Issues or Other Comments.)

Currently, s. 948.16(3), F.S., requires any public or private entity providing substance abuse programs as part of the misdemeanor pretrial substance abuse intervention program to contract with local government entities. The addition of the pretrial veterans' treatment intervention program by CS/HB 17 into this section of statute incorporates these requirements into the veteran's pretrial intervention program. CS/HB 17 exempts services provided by the state or federal Department of Veterans Affairs from this requirement.

B. SECTION DIRECTORY:

Section 1. Entitles the act, the "T. Patt Maney Veterans' Treatment Intervention Act."

Section 2. Creates s. 921.00242, F.S., relating to convicted military veterans; posttraumatic stress disorder, traumatic brain injury, substance use disorder, or psychological problems from service; treatment services.

Section 3. Amends s. 948.08, F.S., relating to Pretrial intervention program.

Section 4. Amends s. 948.16, F.S., relating to misdemeanor pretrial substance abuse education and treatment intervention program; misdemeanor pretrial veterans' treatment intervention program.

Section 5. Provides an effective date of January 1, 2012.

II. FISCAL ANALYSIS & ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT

A. FISCAL IMPACT ON STATE GOVERNMENT:

1. Revenues:

None.

2. Expenditures:

See Fiscal Comments.

B. FISCAL IMPACT ON LOCAL GOVERNMENTS:

1. Revenues:

STORAGE NAME: h0017c.JUAS DATE: 4/13/2011

None.

2. Expenditures:

See Fiscal Comments.

C. DIRECT ECONOMIC IMPACT ON PRIVATE SECTOR:

This bill would have an impact on the private sector to the extent that participants are diverted from incarceration into private treatment programs.

D. FISCAL COMMENTS:

On March 2, 2011, the Criminal Justice Impact Conference assessed this bill as originally filed and determined it would have no impact on the state prison population. In as much as the substance of the bill in this respect has not been materially altered in CS/HB 17, there does not appear to be any basis to assume this bill would increase the state prison population. The Office of State Courts Administrator reports that the fiscal impact on the state courts cannot be accurately determined due to the unavailability of data needed to establish the number of convicted veterans who may claim the mitigating factor. However, the courts stated that the bill will have a minimal workload impact because defendants already have a procedure in place to present mitigating circumstances for purposes of sentencing.

This bill is not clear who is responsible for providing veteran's services, and who bears the associated costs. The bill does state that the court <u>may</u> order the defendant into a local, state, federal, or private nonprofit treatment program for veterans' <u>if such a program exists</u>, and must give preference to treatment programs through the U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs. The bill does not require state or local entities to create new programs, but creates another option for the court if the services are available; therefore, the effect of this bill should be limited to existing programs that specifically provide such services to veterans.

III. COMMENTS

A. CONSTITUTIONAL ISSUES:

1. Applicability of Municipality/County Mandates Provision:

This bill appears to be exempt from the requirements of Article VII, Section 18 of the Florida Constitution because it is a criminal law.

2. Other:

None.

B. RULE-MAKING AUTHORITY:

None.

C. DRAFTING ISSUES OR OTHER COMMENTS:

CS/HB 17 provides that the veteran must claim that the specified psychological disorder or other psychological problem stems from "military service in a combat theater" without defining the terms "military service" or "combat theater." Without having a definition, any type of military service that occurs in a "combat theater," including service where the veteran serves a support role but has not actually been involved in combat, would constitute a sufficient type of military service to qualify. Also, deployment to a foreign country where combat is occurring may suffice without regard to the proximity of the defendant's service to the actual combat in the combat theater.

STORAGE NAME: h0017c.JUAS

The bill requires the court to conduct a veteran status hearing if a judge "finds" that the defendant committed a crime. Generally a finding by a judge that a defendant committed a crime means a judge found the defendant guilty after a non-jury trial or entered a judgment against a defendant after a jury returned a verdict of guilty. It is unclear if this language would render persons who pled nolo contendere to the crime (a plea not admitting guilt but entered on grounds the defendant asserts are in his or her best interests). Nolo contendere pleas which are accepted by a judge are not "findings" that the defendant committed the crime, but merely that the defendant understood the charges and voluntarily entered a plea not contesting the charges.²⁶

With regard to the provision of the bill providing that a defendant who successfully completes the pretrial veterans' treatment intervention program may have his or her arrest record and plea of nolo contendere to the dismissed charges expunged pursuant to s. 943.0585, F.S., pretrial intervention programs by their very nature divert cases from the court system prior to the entry of any nolo contendere plea and therefore there would be no such plea to expunge.

IV. AMENDMENTS/ COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTE CHANGES

On March 29, 2011 the Criminal Justice Subcommittee adopted a strike-all amendment and reported the bill favorably as a Committee Substitute. The amendment:

- Names the act the "T. Patt Maney Veterans' Treatment Intervention Act."
- Expands the type of type of conditions that qualify a veteran for a pre-sentencing hearing by adding "traumatic brain injury" and replacing "substance abuse" with "substance use disorder." "Substance abuse" refers only to use of illegal drugs, while "substance use disorder" refers to abuse of alcohol, illegal drugs, and prescription drugs.
- Amends s. 948.08, F.S., to create a felony pretrial veterans' treatment intervention program.
- Amends s. 948.16, F.S., to create a misdemeanor pretrial veterans' treatment intervention program.

This analysis is drafted to the Committee Substitute.

On April 13, 2011, the Justice Appropriations Subcommittee adopted an amendment to the bill and reported the bill favorably as a Committee Substitute. The amendment changes the effective date of the bill from July 1, 2011 to January 1, 2012. This analysis is drafted to the Committee Substitute.

Fla. R. Crim. P. 3.172(a)&(e).