The Florida Senate BILL ANALYSIS AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

(This document is based on the provisions contained in the legislation as of the latest date listed below.)

	Prepare	ed By: The	Professional Staff	of the Education F	Pre-K - 12 Committee		
BILL:	SB 1822						
NTRODUCER:	Senator Benacquisto						
SUBJECT:	School Ch	noice					
DATE:	March 22, 2011 REVISED:						
ANAL	YST	STA	FF DIRECTOR	REFERENCE	ACTION		
deMarsh-Mathues		Matthews		ED	Pre-meeting		
				BC			

I. Summary:

The bill revises the eligibility criteria for participation in the Opportunity Scholarship Program public school choice option to allow parents of students in failing schools the opportunity to send their children to another public school that is performing satisfactorily. Under the bill, a failing public school is a school that has received a "D" or an "F" grade and is designated as a low performing school. The bill also:

- Allows a parent of a student in a failing school to enroll and transport him or her to a higher performing school in another school district with available space;
- Provides that any student who is assigned to a failing school is eligible for the public school option;
- Allows a student to continue to attend a higher performing public school feeder pattern within the district until high school graduation; and
- Repeals the Opportunity Scholarship Program private school option.

This bill substantially amends sections 1002.38, 1001.42, and 1002.20 of the Florida Statutes.

II. Present Situation:

Opportunity Scholarship Program

The Legislature created the Opportunity Scholarship Program (OSP) in 1999 as part of a broad education reform package known as the A+ Plan.¹ The program was designed to provide parents of students in failing schools the opportunity to send their children to another public school that

¹ ch. 99-398, L.O.F.

is performing satisfactorily or to an eligible private school. For purposes of the OSP, a failing school is a school that has received an "F" grade for two years in a four-year period.² The law permitted an eligible private school—non-sectarian or sectarian—to participate in the program if the school met the requirements set forth in statute.³ Students who attended another public school or who received a scholarship could attend a private school through graduation, if the high school to which the student is assigned is a "D" or "F" school, or if the chosen private school educated students through the twelfth grade.⁴

Legal Challenge to the OSP – Bush v. Holmes

The State Constitution provides, in pertinent part, that "No revenue of the state or any political subdivision or agency thereof shall ever be taken from the public treasury directly or indirectly in aid of any church, sect, or religious denomination or in aid of any sectarian institution.⁵ Article IX, s. 1 of the State Constitution requires "[a]dequate provision shall be made by law for a uniform, efficient, safe, secure, and high quality system of free public schools…"

On January 5, 2006, the Florida Supreme Court issued an opinion finding that the Opportunity Scholarship Program, which allowed a student attending certain failing public schools to attend a private school, sectarian or nonsectarian, chosen by the parent with the financial assistance of the state, violated Art. IX, s. 1(a) of the State Constitution, which mandates an education through a uniform system of free public schools.⁶

The Supreme Court's opinion invalidating the OSP provides that the ruling applied prospectively at the end of the 2005-2006 school year to avoid disruption of the students who were using the scholarships.⁷ The opinion did not affect the public school choice provisions of the law.

Public School Participation

The parents of students in failing schools may send their child to another public school in the district that is performing satisfactorily, meaning not less than a "C" grade. In this instance, the district is responsible for transportation.⁸ Alternatively, parents may send their child to a higher performing school in an adjacent school district that has space available.⁹ The parents are responsible for transportation to the school. The receiving district reports the student for funding under the Florida Education Finance Program (FEFP).

A student may participate if he or she:

• Spent the prior school year in attendance at a failing public school;

² s. 1002.38(1), F.S.

³ s. 1002.38(4), F.S., provides eligibility requirements.

s. 1002.38(2)(b) and (3)(a), F.S.

⁵ Art. I, s. 3, State Constitution.

⁶ Bush v. Holmes, 919 So. 2d 392 (Fla. 2006).

 $^{^{7}}$ *Id.* at 413.

⁸ s. 1002.38(3)(a) and (e), F.S.

⁹ s. 1002.38(3)(b), F.S.

- Was in attendance elsewhere in the public school system and has been assigned to a failing public school for the next school year; or
- Is entering kindergarten or first grade and has been assigned to a failing public school.

A student is eligible for the public school option until he or she graduates from high school.¹⁰

For 2005-2006, 1,688 students chose to participate in the public school choice aspect of the program.¹¹ The following reflects the number of schools that received an "F" grade for two years in a four-year period and the participation of students in the program for 2006-2007 through 2009-2010:¹²

	OSP Participation in Public School Option					
	2006-2007	2007-2008	2008-2009	2009-2010 ¹³		
# Failing Schools	11 in 5 districts	21 in 8 districts	23 in 11 districts	19 in 9 districts		
#OSP Students	1,315	1,305	1,280	1,431		

For the 2010-2011 school year, 16,966 students in 24 failing schools in 14 districts were eligible for the OSP public school choice option.¹⁴

Differentiated Accountability

Differentiated accountability is the system used by Florida to meet conditions for participation in the federal Elementary and Secondary Education Act¹⁵ that requires states to hold public schools and school districts accountable for making adequate yearly progress toward meeting state proficiency goals. Schools are categorized based upon the school's grade¹⁶ and the level and rate of change in student performance in reading and mathematics, disaggregated into student subgroups.¹⁷

The law requires the Department of Education (DOE) to provide the most intensive intervention strategies to the lowest performing schools, which are defined as schools that:¹⁸

- Have received a grade of "F" in the most recent school year and in four of the last six years; or
- Are currently graded "D" or "F" and meet at least three of the following four criteria:
 - When compared to measurements taken five years previously, the percentage of students who are not proficient in reading has increased.

differentiated accountability system: Schools Not Required to Participate in Differentiated Accountability Strategies, Prevent I, Correct I, Prevent II, Correct II, and Intervene. *See* Rule 6A-1.099811, F.A.C.

¹⁰ s. 1002.38(3)(a)2., F.S. *See also: Opportunity Scholarship Program Frequently Asked Questions*, DOE, available at <u>http://www.floridaschoolchoice.org/Information/osp/faqs.asp</u>.

¹¹ See <u>http://www.floridaschoolchoice.org/Information/OSP/files/Fast_Facts_OSP.pdf</u>.

¹² E-mail, DOE March 19, 2011, on file with the committee.

¹³ There were approximately 5,600 students eligible in 2009-2010.

¹⁴ E-mail, DOE, March 19, 2011, on file with the committee.

¹⁵ 20 U.S.C. ss. 6301 et seq.

¹⁶ s. 1008.34, F.S., requires school grades: "A," making excellent progress, "B," making above average progress, "C," making satisfactory progress, "D," making less than satisfactory progress, or "F," failing to make adequate progress. ¹⁷ ch. 2009-144, codified in s. 1008.33, F.S. Six categories, beginning with the highest performing, comprise the

¹⁸ s. 1008.33(4)(b), F.S.

- When compared to measurements taken five years previously, the percentage of students who are not proficient in mathematics has increased.
- At least 65 percent of the school's students are not proficient in reading.
- At least 65 percent of the school's students are not proficient in mathematics.

In 2010, there were 983 schools in the lowest performing categories: 22 schools in the Intervene category and 961 schools in the Correct II category.¹⁹

III. Effect of Proposed Changes:

The bill revises the definition of a failing school to mean a school that receives a "D" or an "F" grade and that is in one of the two lowest performing categories in one year. Under the bill, a parent may request a scholarship for a student to attend a higher performing public school. The term "scholarship" currently applies to the private school option.

A parent would be able to enroll his or her child in a higher performing school in any district with available space. If a parent chooses another district, the receiving district must accept the student and report him or her for funding. The parent is still responsible for transportation.

Any student who is assigned to a failing school is eligible for the public school option. Currently, eligibility is limited to students entering kindergarten or first grade who are assigned to a failing school.

A student would have the opportunity to continue to attend a higher performing public school feeder pattern within the district until he or she graduates from high school. A feeder pattern generally refers to elementary, middle and high schools that share the same student populations. Under the bill, a student could remain in the feeder pattern of the school chosen under the OSP.

The bill repeals the provisions related to the OSP private school option to comport with existing case law.

IV. Constitutional Issues:

A. Municipality/County Mandates Restrictions:

None.

B. Public Records/Open Meetings Issues:

None.

C. Trust Funds Restrictions:

None.

¹⁹ 2010-2011 *Education Fact Sheets*, Differentiated Accountability, Florida House of Representatives, *See* <u>http://www.myfloridahouse.gov/FileStores/Web/HouseContent/Approved/Web%20Site/education_fact_sheets/2011/docume_nts/2010-11%20Differentiated%20Accountability.3.pdf</u>.

V. Fiscal Impact Statement:

A. Tax/Fee Issues:

None.

B. Private Sector Impact:

A parent who chooses to enroll his or her child in a higher performing school in another school district is responsible for providing transportation.

C. Government Sector Impact:

Under the revised criteria in the bill, 108,697 students in 145 schools would be eligible for the OSP public school choice option.²⁰ The number of students who will attend a higher performing school within a district is unknown. The district would be responsible for the student's transportation. Current law allow districts to use transportation categorical funds or public school choice incentive funds for this purpose.²¹

VI. Technical Deficiencies:

None.

VII. Related Issues:

None.

VIII. Additional Information:

A. Committee Substitute – Statement of Substantial Changes: (Summarizing differences between the Committee Substitute and the prior version of the bill.)

None.

B. Amendments:

None.

This Senate Bill Analysis does not reflect the intent or official position of the bill's introducer or the Florida Senate.

²⁰ E-mail, DOE, March 21, 2011, on file with the committee.

²¹ s. 1002.38(3)(e), F.S.