# The Florida Senate BILL ANALYSIS AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

(This document is based on the provisions contained in the legislation as of the latest date listed below.)

	- F	Prepared By: The Profession	nal Staff of the Budg	get Committee		
BILL:	SB 1942					
INTRODUCER:	Senator Bennett					
SUBJECT:	Local Gov	ernment Services				
DATE: March 30, 2011 REVIS		2011 REVISED:				
ANAL	YST	STAFF DIRECTOR	REFERENCE	ACTION		
. Wolfgang		Yeatman	CA	Favorable		
Martin		Meyer, C.	BC	Pre-meeting		
·						
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
í						

## I. Summary:

This bill repeals a section of law created in 1999 that provides a process for counties and municipalities to develop and adopt plans to improve the efficiency, accountability and coordination of the delivery of local government services. Local governments may accomplish the same results by entering into interlocal agreements, and do not use the procedure provided in this law.

This bill repeals section 163.07 of the Florida Statutes.

## II. Present Situation:

#### Section 163.07, F.S.

Section 163.07, F.S., was created by ch. 99-378, L.O.F., relating to community revitalization. This legislation outlines an optional process for counties and municipalities to develop and adopt a plan to improve the delivery of local government services. Specifically, it provides for the initiation of an efficiency and accountability process:

- by resolution adopted by a majority vote of the governing body of each of the counties involved:
- by resolutions adopted by a majority vote of the governing bodies of a majority of the municipalities within each county; or
- by a combination of resolutions adopted by a majority vote of the governing bodies of the municipality or combination of municipalities representing a majority of the municipal population of each county.

BILL: SB 1942 Page 2

The resolution is required to create a commission which is responsible for developing the plan, and to specify the composition of the commission, which must include representatives of:

- county and municipal governments;
- any affected special districts; and
- any relevant local government agencies.

The resolution must include a proposed timetable for the development of the plan and specify the local government support and personnel services that will be made available to representatives developing the plan.

When a resolution is adopted, the designated representatives must develop a plan for the delivery of local government services. This plan must:

- designate the areawide and local government services that are the subject of the plan;
- describe the existing organization of these services and the means of financing the services, and create a reorganization of such services and the financing to meet the goals of the section;
- designate the local agency that should be responsible for the delivery of each service;
- designate the services that should be delivered regionally or countywide;
- provide means to reduce the cost of providing local services and enhance the accountability of service providers;
- include a multi-year capital outlay plan for infrastructure;
- describe any expansion of municipal boundaries that would further the goals of the section;
- meet the standards for annexation provided in ch. 171, F.S, for any area proposed to be annexed:
- prohibit any provisions for contraction of municipal boundaries or elimination of any municipality;
- provide specific procedures for modification or termination of the plan; and
- specify the effective date of the plan.

A plan must be approved by a majority vote of the governing body of each county involved and by a majority vote of the governing bodies of a majority of the municipalities in each county, and by a majority vote of the governing bodies of the municipality or municipalities that represent a majority of the municipal population of each county.

After approval by the county and municipal governing bodies, a plan must be submitted for referendum approval in a countywide election in each county involved. A plan does not take effect unless approved by a majority of the electors of each county who vote in the referendum, and also by a majority of the municipal electors of the municipalities that represent a majority of the municipal population of each county.

### **Interlocal Agreements**

Local governments may accomplish the same results by entering into interlocal agreements pursuant to s.163.01, F.S., the "Florida Interlocal Cooperation Act of 1969." The stated purpose of that section is to enable local governmental units to make the most efficient use of their

BILL: SB 1942 Page 3

powers by enabling them to cooperate with other localities on a basis of mutual advantage and thereby to provide services and facilities in a manner and pursuant to forms of governmental organization that will accord best with geographic, economic, population, and other factors influencing the needs and development of local communities. Public agencies are thereby authorized to exercise jointly power, privilege or authority which such agencies share in common and which each can exercise separately. This joint exercise of power is made by contract in the form of an interlocal agreement which is filed with the clerk of the circuit court of each county where a party to the agreement is located. The entire process is perceived as straightforward and flexible.

## III. Effect of Proposed Changes:

**Section 1** repeals s. 163.07, F.S.

**Section 2** provides an effective date.

#### IV. Constitutional Issues:

A. Municipality/County Mandates Restrictions:

None.

B. Public Records/Open Meetings Issues:

None.

C. Trust Funds Restrictions:

None.

## V. Fiscal Impact Statement:

A. Tax/Fee Issues:

None.

B. Private Sector Impact:

None.

C. Government Sector Impact:

None.

## VI. Technical Deficiencies:

None.

BILL: SB 1942 Page 4

V	/II.	R۵	lated	l lee	ues:
v	/ <b>     </b>	ne	iaiti	7 199	ucs.

None.

# VIII. Additional Information:

A. Committee Substitute – Statement of Substantial Changes: (Summarizing differences between the Committee Substitute and the prior version of the bill.)

None.

B. Amendments:

None.

This Senate Bill Analysis does not reflect the intent or official position of the bill's introducer or the Florida Senate.