FINAL BILL ANALYSIS

BILL #: CS/CS/CS/CS/HB 353

FINAL HOUSE FLOOR ACTION: 78 Y's 38 N's

SPONSOR: Rep. Smith

GOVERNOR'S ACTION: Approved

COMPANION BILLS: CS/SB 556

SUMMARY ANALYSIS

CS/CS/CS/CS/HB 353 passed the House on April 26, 2011, and subsequently passed the Senate on May 5, 2011. The bill was approved by the Governor on May 31, 2011, chapter 2011-81, Laws of Florida, and takes effect July 1, 2011.

The bill creates s. 414.0652, F.S., requiring the Department of Children and Families (DCF) to perform a drug screening for temporary cash assistance applicants as a condition of eligibility. The bill provides the following:

- DCF shall require a drug test consistent with s. 112.0455, F.S.
- Applicants who test positive for controlled substances will be disqualified from receiving temporary cash assistance for 1 year, unless the individual chooses to seek substance abuse treatment. If the individual chooses to seek treatment, he or she can reapply for TANF funds within a 6-month time frame. This is a one-time option.
- DCF must inform applicants who test positive of the ability to apply again one year from the date of the positive test, or within 6 months upon completion of a substance abuse program. Applicants who test positive again will be ineligible to receive TANF benefits for 3 years from the date of the second positive test.
- If a parent tests positive for controlled substances, DCF may designate a "protective payee" to receive the cash assistance benefits on behalf of a dependent child. Alternatively, the parent may choose an immediate family member to receive benefits on behalf of the child or DCF may approve another individual to receive the benefits; a person so designated by the parent or approved by DCF also must undergo drug testing.
- The cost of drug testing will be paid by the individual applicant. If an applicant tests negative for drugs per a drug screen, DCF will increase the TANF benefit to the applicant for the cost of the drug screen.
- DCF will be required to provide any individual who tests positive for controlled substances with information concerning drug abuse and treatment programs in the area in which he or she resides. The bill specifies that neither DCF nor the state is responsible for providing or paying for substance abuse treatment as part of screening under this section.
- DCF is authorized to adopt rules as necessary to implement the law.

The bill raises important constitutional questions related to the permissibility of suspicionless drug testing as a condition of public assistance.

This document does not reflect the intent or official position of the bill sponsor or House of Representatives.

I. SUBSTANTIVE INFORMATION

A. EFFECT OF CHANGES:

Current Situation

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF)

Under the welfare reform legislation of 1996, the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act – PWRORA – Public Law 104-193, the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF) program replaced the welfare programs known as Aid to Families with Dependent Children (AFDC), the Job Opportunities and Basic Skills Training (JOBS) program and the Emergency Assistance (EA) program. The law ended federal entitlement to assistance and instead created TANF as a block grant that provides States, territories and tribes federal funds each year. These funds cover benefits, administrative expenses, and services targeted to needy families. TANF became effective July 1, 1997, and was reauthorized in February 2006 under the Deficit Reduction Act of 2005.¹ States receive block grants to operate their individual programs and to accomplish the goals of the TANF program. Those goals include:

- Assisting needy families so that children can be cared for in their homes;
- Reducing the dependency of needy parents by promoting job preparation, work and marriage;
- Preventing out-of-wedlock pregnancies;
- Encouraging the formation and maintenance of two-parent families.²

Currently, DCF administers the TANF program in conjunction with the Agency for Workforce Innovation (AWI).³ Current law provides that families are eligible for cash assistance for a lifetime cumulative total of 48 months (4 years).⁴ DCF reports that approximately 113,346 people are receiving temporary cash assistance.⁵ The FY 2010-2011 appropriation of TANF funds to support temporary cash assistance was \$211,115,965.

The TANF program expires on September 30, 2011 and must be reauthorized by Congress to continue.

Food Assistance Program (Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program-SNAP)

The Food Assistance Program is a 100 % federally funded program to help low-income people buy food they need for good health. The U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) determines the amount of food assistance benefits an individual or family receives. Food assistance benefits are a supplement to a family's food budget. Households may need to spend some of their own

¹ US Dept. of Health and Human Services, Administration on Children and Families http://www.acf.hhs.gov/programs/ofa/tanf/about.html (last visited on 3/30/11).

² Id.

³ State Plan for Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Renewal, October 1, 2008-September 30, 2011, @ <u>http://www.dcf.state.fl.us/Search.shtml?cx=001246626777910876508%3Aznyjo2rfb2i&cof=FORID%3A11&ie=UTF-8&q=Drug+test#1086</u>

⁴ S. 414.105, F.S.

⁵ DCF Quick Facts, Access Program, January 1, 2011.

cash, along with their food assistance benefits, to buy enough food for a month.⁶ DCF reports that over 1.9 million Floridians received food assistance during fiscal year 2009-10.⁷

Pilot Project for Drug Testing TANF Applicants

Currently, DCF does not drug screen any individual as a condition of eligibility for cash assistance. From January 1999 to May 2001, DCF, in consultation with Workforce Florida, implemented a pilot project in Regions 3 and 8 to drug screen and drug test applicants for TANF.⁸ A Florida State University researcher under contract to evaluate the pilot program did not recommend continuation or statewide expansion of the project. Overall research and findings concluded that there is very little difference in employment and earnings between those who test positive versus those who test negative. Researchers concluded that the cost of the pilot program was not warranted.

Sanctions to Welfare and Food Assistance Recipients from Felony Drug Convictions

Federal law provides that an individual convicted (under federal or state law) of any offense which is classified as a felony related to the possession, use or distribution of a controlled substance shall not be eligible for assistance under the TANF program or benefits under the food stamp program or any program carried out under the Food and Nutrition Act of 2008.⁹ The same section of federal law provides that each state has the right to exempt individuals from having benefits withheld due to a felony drug charge.¹⁰ Florida has opted to exempt individuals from this provision and does not deny benefits for a felony drug conviction, unless the conviction is for drug trafficking.¹¹

Drug Testing Welfare and Food Assistance Recipients

Federal law regarding the use of TANF funds provides that states may test welfare recipients for use of controlled substances and sanction those recipients who test positive.¹² However, there is no provision in federal law allowing drug testing recipients of the food assistance program. Further the Federal code provides that states cannot, as a condition of eligibility, impose additional application or application processing requirements on recipients of the food assistance program.

Protective Payees

The TANF program requires that people receiving cash assistance must satisfy work requirements established in federal law. Florida statutes provide that the Agency for Workforce Innovation develop specific activities that satisfy the work requirements.¹⁴ In the event that a TANF recipient is noncompliant with the work activity requirements, DCF has authority to terminate cash assistance to the family.¹⁵ In the event that assistance is terminated,

⁶ Food Assistance Program Fact Sheet, DCF <u>http://www.dcf.state.fl.us/programs/access/foodstamps.shtml</u> (last visited 3/30/11)

⁷ DCF Quick Facts, Access Program, January 1, 2011.

⁸ Evaluation Report, Robert E.Crew, Florida State University (on file with committee staff).

⁹ P.L. 104-193, Section 115, 21 U.S.C. 862(a).

¹⁰ <u>Id.</u>

¹¹ S. 414.095, F.S.

¹² P.L. 104-193, Section 902, 21 U.S.C. 862(b).

¹³ 7 CFR Part 273.2.

¹⁴ S. 445.024, F.S.

¹⁵ S. 414.065, F.S.

DCF will establish a protective payee that will receive TANF funds on behalf of any children in the home who are under the age of 16.¹⁶ The protective payee shall be designated by DCF and may include: ¹⁷

- A relative or other individual who is interested in or concerned with the welfare of the child or children and agrees in writing to utilize the assistance in the best interest of the child or children.
- A member of the community affiliated with a religious, community, neighborhood, or charitable organization who agrees in writing to utilize the assistance in the best interest of the child or children.
- A volunteer or member of an organization who agrees in writing to fulfill the role of protective payee and utilize the assistance in the best interest of the child or children.

Challenges Under the U.S. Constitution

The United States Supreme Court has ruled in four situations that suspicion-less drug testing is constitutional and does not violate the Fourth Amendment, which protects an individual's rights against unreasonable search and seizure. These situations include suspicion-less drug testing of:

- Students in extracurricular activities;¹⁸
- Student athletes;¹⁹
- Certain Customs employees;²⁰ and
- Railroad employees after major accidents.²¹

In these cases the court focused on the special need of the government, the unique situation involved (school setting, drug enforcement, and major train accidents) and public safety. The U.S. Supreme Court has held one suspicion-less drug test unconstitutional. In <u>Chandler v.</u> <u>Zell</u>, the state of Georgia required all candidates for designated state offices to certify that they had taken a drug test and the result was negative in order to run for state office.²² In ruling the drug testing unconstitutional, the court held that,

Where the risk to public safety is substantial and real, blanket suspicionless searches calibrated to the risk may rank as 'reasonable'...But where, as in this case, public safety is not genuinely in jeopardy, the Fourth Amendment precludes the suspicionless search.²³

The U.S. Supreme Court has not ruled on the constitutionality of suspicion-less drug testing of welfare recipients, but in 1999, the State of Michigan enacted a pilot program for suspicion-less drug testing of all family assistance recipients with the intent for the program eventually to become effective statewide.²⁴ Welfare recipients challenged the new law authorizing suspicion-

²¹ <u>Skinner v. Railway Labor Executives' Association</u>, 489 U.S. 602 (1989) (Testing of railroad employees after major accidents).

¹⁶ <u>Id.</u>

¹⁷ Id.

⁸ Board of Education v. Earls, 536 U.S. 822 (2002) (Drug testing students in extracurricular activities).

¹⁹ Veronica School District v. Acton, 515 U.S. 646 (1995) (Drug testing student athletes).

²⁰ National Treasury Employees Union v. Von Raab, 489 U.S. 656 (1989) (Testing of certain Customs employees).

²² Chandler v. Miller, 520 U.S. 305 (1997).

 $[\]frac{23}{24}$ <u>Id</u>. at 323.

²⁴ P.A. 1999, No. 17, codified as s. 400.57I, Michigan Compiled Statutes Annotated.

less drug testing in federal court. The federal district court found that the law was an unconstitutional violation of an individual's right to privacy under the Fourth Amendment. The court specifically ruled that drug testing was unconstitutional when applied universally or randomly without reasonable suspicion of drug use.²⁵

Agency for Health Care Administration – Laboratory Certifications

The Agency for Health Care Administration (AHCA) regulates facilities that perform clinical, anatomic, or cytology lab services to provide information or materials for use in diagnosis, prevention or treatment of a disease or in the identification or assessment of a medical or physical condition in accordance with chapters 408 and 483, F.S. These are considered clinical labs. Additionally, AHCA regulates facilities for "Drug Free Workplaces."²⁶ These types of labs perform chemical, biological or physical instrumental analyses to determine the presence or absence of specified drugs or their metabolites in job applicants, including those of any agency in state government.²⁷ AHCA does not have the statutory authority to drug screen temporary cash assistance benefits in either type of lab.

U.S. Department of Health and Human Services Division of Workplace Programs

The United States Department of Health and Human Services (HHS), Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration (SAMHSA), Division of Workplace Programs (DWP), provides oversight for the Federal Drug Free Workplace Program. DWP certifies labs that conduct forensic drug testing for federal agencies and for some federally-regulated industries.²⁸

Agency Rulemaking

DCF must comply with the statutory requirements for rulemaking when implementing or interpreting a substantive statute.²⁹ Exercising rulemaking authority delegated by the Legislature requires the authority to adopt rules and sufficient statutory guidance to implement a specific statute.³⁰ DCF currently has no statutory authority, guidance, or direction to develop and implement a program of drug testing for TANF applicants.

Effect of Changes

The bill creates s. 414.0652, F.S., requiring DCF to drug test each individual applying for temporary cash assistance as a condition of eligibility for those benefits. DCF shall provide notice of the required drug testing at the time of application. The notice must advise each person to be tested of the opportunity to voluntarily disclose any prescription or over-the-counter medication the person is taking prior to the test. DCF shall require each person subject to being tested to sign an acknowledgement form that he/she has received notice of DCF's drug screen policy, that he/she can refuse to undergo the screen by choosing not to apply for benefits, and

²⁵ <u>Marchwinski v. Howard</u>, 113 F. Supp. 2d 1134 (E. D. Mich. 2000). On appeal a panel of the Sixth Circuit first reversed the District Court, finding the required testing did not violate the Fourth Amendment to the U.S. Constitution. <u>Marchwinski v. Howard</u>, 309 F. 3d 330 (6th Cir. 2002). That decision was vacated for the entire court to consider the case. <u>Marchwinski</u>, vacated 319 F. 3d 258. The appellate court deadlocked 6-6 to reverse so the lower court decision stood affirmed. <u>Marchwinski</u>, affirmed after rehearing *en banc*, 60 Fed. Appx. 601, 2003 WL 1870916 (6th Cir. 2003).

²⁶ S. 112.0455, 440.102, F.S.

²⁷ Ch. 408, F.S.

 $[\]frac{28}{29} \frac{1}{10}$

²⁹ S. 120.54, F.S.

³⁰ S. 120.536(1), F.S. <u>Sloban v. Florida Board of Pharmacy</u>, 982 So. 2d 26, 29-30 (Fla. 1st DCA 2008); <u>Board of Trustees of</u> the Internal Improvement Trust Fund v. Day Cruise Association, Inc., 794 So. 2d 696, 704 (Fla. 1st DCA 2001).

that he/she has the opportunity to voluntarily disclose any medication being taken prior to the test.

Under the bill, all individuals included within the cash assistance group covered by the TANF application would be required to submit to testing with the exception of children under the age of 18. The bill requires all parents to be tested including minor parents who are not required to live with a parent, legal guardian, or other adult caretaker.

The bill provides an individual will be disqualified from receiving TANF benefits if that person tests positive for controlled substances. The initial disqualification is for one year from the date of the positive test. If an individual chooses, he or she can enter into a substance abuse treatment program. Upon showing proof of completing the program, the individual may reapply for TANF benefits within 6 months from the date of the positive test. This is a one-time option. If the person re-applies after the period of disqualification but again tests positive for controlled substances, that individual is disqualified from receiving TANF benefits for 3 years from the date of that positive test.

DCF may designate a statutory "protective payee" to receive funds on behalf of the child whose parent is disqualified from receiving TANF benefits under this section.³¹ Alternatively, a parent found ineligible under this section may designate an immediate family member, or an individual approved by DCF, to receive TANF benefits on behalf of the child. The bill does not define "immediate family member."

DCF shall provide an individual who tests positive for controlled substances with information concerning substance abuse treatment programs which are available in the individual's geographic area. Neither DCF nor the state is responsible for providing or paying for substance abuse treatment for these individuals as part of the screening required by the law. The individuals required to be tested shall be responsible for the cost of the drug test. DCF estimated the initial screening cost at \$10 per person and the confirmatory test at \$25 per person.³² If an applicant tests negative for drugs per a drug screen, DCF will increase the TANF benefit to the applicant for the cost of the drug screen.

II. FISCAL ANALYSIS & ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT

A. FISCAL IMPACT ON STATE GOVERNMENT:

1. Revenues:

The bill authorizes no new revenue sources and existing revenues would not be increased by implementation of the program.

The bill does not address the resolution of potential conflicts with the present TANF Plan under which Florida will continue to receive TANF funding until September 30, 2011, unless renewed. The Plan as approved does not include universal drug testing of applicants as a

³¹ Current law authorizes DCF to continue TANF payments through a "protective payee" for children under the age of 16 in a family where a member repeatedly fails to comply with the requirements of the program. The payee is selected by DCF and may be a relative, community member associated with a charitable organization, or volunteer member of an organization; the payee or organization must state in writing the payments will be used in the best interests of the child or children. Section 414.065(2), F.S.

³² Per DCF bill analysis, 2/8/2011 (on file with HHSA subcommittee staff). The original bill required DCF to solicit competitive bids for drug screening and confirmatory testing to ensure the lowest possible cost.

condition of eligibility for benefits. The Plan discloses recipient eligibility is set by state statute.³³

2. Expenditures:

DCF may incur some cost to implement and execute the program, primarily in the initial implementation and on-going receipt, review and recording of the individual drug test results. The primary testing costs will be borne by applicants subject to testing.

- B. FISCAL IMPACT ON LOCAL GOVERNMENTS:
 - 1. Revenues:

The bill authorizes no new revenue sources and existing revenues would not be increased.

2. Expenditures:

The bill requires no expenditures by local governments.

C. DIRECT ECONOMIC IMPACT ON PRIVATE SECTOR:

The bill will have an impact on applicants who are required to undergo a drug test as a condition of eligibility for temporary cash assistance funds. DCF estimated the initial drug screen costs will be \$10.00 per person and the confirmatory test will be \$25.00 per person.³⁴

D. FISCAL COMMENTS:

None.

³³ Temporary Assistance For Needy Families State Plan Renewal, October 1, 2008-September 30, 2011, found at <u>http://www.dcf.state.fl.us/Search.shtml?cx=001246626777910876508%3Aznyjo2rfb2i&cof=FORID%3A11&ie=UTF-8&q=Drug+test#1086</u> (last visited 3/30/11).

³⁴ DCF Bill Analysis on HB 353 (2/8/2011).