1 A bill to be entitled 2 An act relating to medical malpractice; creating ss. 3 458.3175, 459.0066, and 466.005, F.S.; requiring the 4 Department of Health to issue expert witness certificates 5 to certain physicians and dentists licensed outside of the 6 state; providing application and certification 7 requirements; establishing application fees; providing for 8 the validity and use of certifications; exempting 9 physicians and dentists issued certifications from certain 10 licensure and fee requirements; amending ss. 458.331, 11 459.015, and 466.028, F.S.; providing additional acts that constitute grounds for denial of a license or disciplinary 12 action to which penalties apply; providing construction 13 14 with respect to the doctrine of incorporation by 15 reference; amending ss. 458.351 and 459.026, F.S.; 16 requiring the Board of Medicine and the Board of Osteopathic Medicine to adopt within a specified period 17 certain patient forms specifying cataract surgery risks; 18 19 specifying that an incident resulting from risks disclosed 20 in the patient form is not an adverse incident; providing 21 for the execution and admissibility of the patient forms 22 in civil and administrative proceedings; creating a 23 rebuttable presumption that a physician disclosed cataract 24 surgery risks if the patient form is executed; amending s. 25 627.4147, F.S.; deleting a requirement that medical 26 malpractice insurance contracts contain a clause authorizing the insurer to make and conclude certain 27 28 offers within policy limits over the insured's veto;

Page 1 of 17

amending s. 766.102, F.S.; defining terms; providing that certain insurance information is not admissible as evidence in medical negligence actions; establishing the burden of proof that a claimant must meet in certain damage claims against health care providers based on death or personal injury; requiring that certain expert witnesses who provide certain expert testimony meet certain licensure or certification requirements; excluding a health care provider's failure to comply with or breach of federal requirements from evidence in medical negligence cases in the state; amending s. 768.0981, F.S.; limiting the liability of hospitals related to certain medical negligence claims; providing an effective date.

Be It Enacted by the Legislature of the State of Florida:

Section 1. Section 458.3175, Florida Statutes, is created to read:

458.3175 Expert witness certificate.-

- (1) (a) The department shall issue a certificate authorizing a physician who holds an active and valid license to practice medicine in another state or a province of Canada to provide expert testimony in this state, if the physician submits to the department:
- 1. A complete registration application containing the physician's legal name, mailing address, telephone number, business locations, the names of the jurisdictions where the physician holds an active and valid license to practice

Page 2 of 17

medicine, and the license number or other identifying number issued to the physician by the jurisdiction's licensing entity; and

2. An application fee of \$50.

- (b) The department shall approve an application for an expert witness certificate within 7 business days after receipt of the completed application and payment of the application fee if the applicant holds an active and valid license to practice medicine in another state or a province of Canada and has not had a previous expert witness certificate revoked by the board. An application is approved by default if the department does not act upon the application within the required period. A physician must notify the department in writing of his or her intent to rely on a certificate approved by default.
- (c) An expert witness certificate is valid for 2 years after the date of issuance.
- (2) An expert witness certificate authorizes the physician to whom the certificate is issued to do only the following:
- (a) Provide a verified written medical expert opinion as provided in s. 766.203.
- (b) Provide expert testimony about the prevailing professional standard of care in connection with medical negligence litigation pending in this state against a physician licensed under this chapter or chapter 459.
- (3) An expert witness certificate does not authorize a physician to engage in the practice of medicine as defined in s. 458.305. A physician issued a certificate under this section who does not otherwise practice medicine in this state is not

Page 3 of 17

required to obtain a license under this chapter or pay any license fees, including, but not limited to, a neurological injury compensation assessment. An expert witness certificate shall be treated as a license in any disciplinary action, and the holder of an expert witness certificate shall be subject to discipline by the board.

- Section 2. Subsection (11) is added to section 458.331, Florida Statutes, paragraphs (oo) through (qq) of subsection (1) of that section are redesignated as paragraphs (pp) through (rr), respectively, and a new paragraph (oo) is added to that subsection, to read:
- 458.331 Grounds for disciplinary action; action by the board and department.—
- (1) The following acts constitute grounds for denial of a license or disciplinary action, as specified in s. 456.072(2):
- (oo) Providing misleading, deceptive, or fraudulent expert witness testimony related to the practice of medicine.
- (11) The purpose of this section is to facilitate uniform discipline for those acts made punishable under this section and, to this end, a reference to this section constitutes a general reference under the doctrine of incorporation by reference.
- Section 3. Subsection (6) of section 458.351, Florida Statutes, is renumbered as subsection (7), and a new subsection (6) is added to that section to read:
- 458.351 Reports of adverse incidents in office practice settings.—
 - (6)(a) The board shall adopt rules establishing a standard

Page 4 of 17

informed consent form that sets forth the recognized specific risks related to cataract surgery. The board must propose such rules within 90 days after the effective date of this subsection.

- (b) Before formally proposing the rule, the board must consider information from physicians licensed under this chapter or chapter 459 regarding recognized specific risks related to cataract surgery and the standard informed consent forms adopted for use in the medical field by other states.
- (c) A patient's informed consent is not executed until the patient, or a person authorized by the patient to give consent, and a competent witness sign the form adopted by the board.
- (d) An incident resulting from recognized specific risks described in the signed consent form is not considered an adverse incident for purposes of s. 395.0197 and this section.
- (e) In a civil action or administrative proceeding against a physician based on his or her alleged failure to properly disclose the risks of cataract surgery, a patient's informed consent executed as provided in paragraph (c) on the form adopted by the board is admissible as evidence and creates a rebuttable presumption that the physician properly disclosed the risks.
- Section 4. Section 459.0066, Florida Statutes, is created to read:
 - 459.0066 Expert witness certificate.-
- (1) (a) The department shall issue a certificate authorizing a physician who holds an active and valid license to practice osteopathic medicine in another state or a province of

Page 5 of 17

Canada to provide expert testimony in this state, if the physician submits to the department:

- 1. A complete registration application containing the physician's legal name, mailing address, telephone number, business locations, the names of the jurisdictions where the physician holds an active and valid license to practice osteopathic medicine, and the license number or other identifying number issued to the physician by the jurisdiction's licensing entity; and
 - 2. An application fee of \$50.

- expert witness certificate within 7 business days after receipt of the completed application and payment of the application fee if the applicant holds an active and valid license to practice osteopathic medicine in another state or a province of Canada and has not had a previous expert witness certificate revoked by the board. An application is approved by default if the department does not act upon the application within the required period. A physician must notify the department in writing of his or her intent to rely on a certificate approved by default.
- (c) An expert witness certificate is valid for 2 years after the date of issuance.
- (2) An expert witness certificate authorizes the physician to whom the certificate is issued to do only the following:
- (a) Provide a verified written medical expert opinion as provided in s. 766.203.
- (b) Provide expert testimony about the prevailing professional standard of care in connection with medical

Page 6 of 17

negligence litigation pending in this state against a physician licensed under chapter 458 or this chapter.

- (3) An expert witness certificate does not authorize a physician to engage in the practice of osteopathic medicine as defined in s. 459.003. A physician issued a certificate under this section who does not otherwise practice osteopathic medicine in this state is not required to obtain a license under this chapter or pay any license fees, including, but not limited to, a neurological injury compensation assessment. An expert witness certificate shall be treated as a license in any disciplinary action, and the holder of an expert witness certificate shall be subject to discipline by the board.
- Section 5. Subsection (11) is added to section 459.015, Florida Statutes, paragraphs (qq) through (ss) of subsection (1) of that section are redesignated as paragraphs (rr) through (tt), respectively, and a new paragraph (qq) is added to that subsection, to read:
- 459.015 Grounds for disciplinary action; action by the board and department.—
- (1) The following acts constitute grounds for denial of a license or disciplinary action, as specified in s. 456.072(2):
- (qq) Providing misleading, deceptive, or fraudulent expert
 witness testimony related to the practice of osteopathic
 medicine.
- (11) The purpose of this section is to facilitate uniform discipline for those acts made punishable under this section and, to this end, a reference to this section constitutes a

general reference under the doctrine of incorporation by reference.

Section 6. Section 466.005, Florida Statutes, is created to read:

466.005 Expert witness certificate.-

- (1) (a) The department shall issue a certificate authorizing a dentist who holds an active and valid license to practice dentistry in another state or a province of Canada to provide expert testimony in this state, if the dentist submits to the department:
- 1. A complete registration application containing the dentist's legal name, mailing address, telephone number, business locations, the names of the jurisdictions where the dentist holds an active and valid license to practice dentistry, and the license number or other identifying number issued to the dentist by the jurisdiction's licensing entity; and
 - 2. An application fee of \$50.
- (b) The department shall approve an application for an expert witness certificate within 7 business days after receipt of the completed application and payment of the application fee if the applicant holds an active and valid license to practice dentistry in another state or a province of Canada and has not had a previous expert witness certificate revoked by the board. An application is approved by default if the department does not act upon the application within the required period. A dentist must notify the department in writing of his or her intent to rely on a certificate approved by default.
 - (c) An expert witness certificate is valid for 2 years

Page 8 of 17

224 after the date of issuance.

- (2) An expert witness certificate authorizes the dentist to whom the certificate is issued to do only the following:
- (a) Provide a verified written medical expert opinion as provided in s. 766.203.
- (b) Provide expert testimony about the prevailing professional standard of care in connection with medical negligence litigation pending in this state against a dentist licensed under this chapter.
- dentist to engage in the practice of dentistry as defined in s.

 466.003. A dentist issued a certificate under this section who

 does not otherwise practice dentistry in this state is not

 required to obtain a license under this chapter or pay any

 license fees. An expert witness certificate shall be treated as
 a license in any disciplinary action, and the holder of an

 expert witness certificate shall be subject to discipline by the
 board.
- Section 7. Subsection (8) is added to section 466.028, Florida Statutes, paragraph (11) of subsection (1) of that section is redesignated as paragraph (mm), and a new paragraph (11) is added to that subsection, to read:
- 466.028 Grounds for disciplinary action; action by the board.— $\,$
- (1) The following acts constitute grounds for denial of a license or disciplinary action, as specified in s. 456.072(2):
- (11) Providing misleading, deceptive, or fraudulent expert witness testimony related to the practice of dentistry.

Page 9 of 17

(8) The purpose of this section is to facilitate uniform discipline for those acts made punishable under this section and, to this end, a reference to this section constitutes a general reference under the doctrine of incorporation by reference.

Section 8. Subsection (6) of section 459.026, Florida Statutes, is renumbered as subsection (7), and a new subsection (6) is added to that section to read:

- 459.026 Reports of adverse incidents in office practice settings.—
- (6) (a) The board shall adopt rules establishing a standard informed consent form that sets forth the recognized specific risks related to cataract surgery. The board must propose such rules within 90 days after the effective date of this subsection.
- (b) Before formally proposing the rule, the board must consider information from physicians licensed under chapter 458 or this chapter regarding recognized specific risks related to cataract surgery and the standard informed consent forms adopted for use in the medical field by other states.
- (c) A patient's informed consent is not executed until the patient, or a person authorized by the patient to give consent, and a competent witness sign the form adopted by the board.
- (d) An incident resulting from recognized specific risks described in the signed consent form is not considered an adverse incident for purposes of s. 395.0197 and this section.
- (e) In a civil action or administrative proceeding against a physician based on his or her alleged failure to properly

Page 10 of 17

disclose the risks of cataract surgery, a patient's informed consent executed as provided in paragraph (c) on the form adopted by the board is admissible as evidence and creates a rebuttable presumption that the physician properly disclosed the risks.

Section 9. Paragraph (b) of subsection (1) of section 627.4147, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

627.4147 Medical malpractice insurance contracts.-

- (1) In addition to any other requirements imposed by law, each self-insurance policy as authorized under s. 627.357 or s. 624.462 or insurance policy providing coverage for claims arising out of the rendering of, or the failure to render, medical care or services, including those of the Florida Medical Malpractice Joint Underwriting Association, shall include:
- (b) 1. Except as provided in subparagraph 2., a clause authorizing the insurer or self-insurer to determine, to make, and to conclude, without the permission of the insured, any offer of admission of liability and for arbitration pursuant to s. 766.106, settlement offer, or offer of judgment, if the offer is within the policy limits. It is against public policy for any insurance or self-insurance policy to contain a clause giving the insured the exclusive right to veto any offer for admission of liability and for arbitration made pursuant to s. 766.106, settlement offer, or offer of judgment, when such offer is within the policy limits. However, any offer of admission of liability, settlement offer, or offer of judgment made by an insurer or self-insurer shall be made in good faith and in the best interests of the insured.

Page 11 of 17

2.a. With respect to dentists licensed under chapter 466, A clause clearly stating whether or not the insured has the exclusive right to veto any offer of admission of liability and for arbitration pursuant to s. 766.106, settlement offer, or offer of judgment if the offer is within policy limits. An insurer or self-insurer shall not make or conclude, without the permission of the insured, any offer of admission of liability and for arbitration pursuant to s. 766.106, settlement offer, or offer of judgment, if such offer is outside the policy limits. However, any offer for admission of liability and for arbitration made under s. 766.106, settlement offer, or offer of judgment made by an insurer or self-insurer shall be made in good faith and in the best interest of the insured.

2.b. If the policy contains a clause stating the insured does not have the exclusive right to veto any offer or admission of liability and for arbitration made pursuant to s. 766.106, settlement offer or offer of judgment, the insurer or self-insurer shall provide to the insured or the insured's legal representative by certified mail, return receipt requested, a copy of the final offer of admission of liability and for arbitration made pursuant to s. 766.106, settlement offer or offer of judgment and at the same time such offer is provided to the claimant. A copy of any final agreement reached between the insurer and claimant shall also be provided to the insurer or his or her legal representative by certified mail, return receipt requested not more than 10 days after affecting such agreement.

Section 10. Subsections (3), (4), and (5) of section 766.102, Florida Statutes, are amended, subsection (12) of that section is renumbered as subsection (14), and new subsections (12) and (13) are added to that section, to read:

766.102 Medical negligence; standards of recovery; expert witness.—

(3) (a) As used in this subsection, the term:

- 1. "Insurer" means any public or private insurer, including the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services.
- 2. "Reimbursement determination" means an insurer's determination of the amount that the insurer will reimburse a health care provider for health care services.
- 3. "Reimbursement policies" means an insurer's policies and procedures governing its decisions regarding health insurance coverage and method of payment and the data upon which such policies and procedures are based, including, but not limited to, data from national research groups and other patient safety data as defined in s. 766.1016.
- (b) The existence of a medical injury does shall not create any inference or presumption of negligence against a health care provider, and the claimant must maintain the burden of proving that an injury was proximately caused by a breach of the prevailing professional standard of care by the health care provider. Any records, policies, or testimony of an insurer's reimbursement policies or reimbursement determination regarding the care provided to the plaintiff are not admissible as evidence in any medical negligence action. However, the discovery of the presence of a foreign body, such as a sponge,

Page 13 of 17

clamp, forceps, surgical needle, or other paraphernalia commonly used in surgical, examination, or diagnostic procedures, shall be prima facie evidence of negligence on the part of the health care provider.

- (4) (a) The Legislature is cognizant of the changing trends and techniques for the delivery of health care in this state and the discretion that is inherent in the diagnosis, care, and treatment of patients by different health care providers. The failure of a health care provider to order, perform, or administer supplemental diagnostic tests <u>is</u> shall not be actionable if the health care provider acted in good faith and with due regard for the prevailing professional standard of care.
- (b) In an action for damages based on death or personal injury which alleges that such death or injury resulted from the failure of a health care provider to order, perform, or administer supplemental diagnostic tests, the claimant has the burden of proving by clear and convincing evidence that the alleged actions of the health care provider represented a breach of the prevailing professional standard of care.
- (5) A person may not give expert testimony concerning the prevailing professional standard of care unless the that person is a licensed health care provider who holds an active and valid license and conducts a complete review of the pertinent medical records and meets the following criteria:
- (a) If the health care provider against whom or on whose behalf the testimony is offered is a specialist, the expert witness must:

1. Specialize in the same specialty as the health care provider against whom or on whose behalf the testimony is offered; or specialize in a similar specialty that includes the evaluation, diagnosis, or treatment of the medical condition that is the subject of the claim and have prior experience treating similar patients; and

- 2. Have devoted professional time during the 3 years immediately preceding the date of the occurrence that is the basis for the action to:
- a. The active clinical practice of, or consulting with respect to, the same or similar specialty that includes the evaluation, diagnosis, or treatment of the medical condition that is the subject of the claim and have prior experience treating similar patients;
- b. Instruction of students in an accredited health professional school or accredited residency or clinical research program in the same or similar specialty; or
- c. A clinical research program that is affiliated with an accredited health professional school or accredited residency or clinical research program in the same or similar specialty.
- (b) If the health care provider against whom or on whose behalf the testimony is offered is a general practitioner, the expert witness must have devoted professional time during the 5 years immediately preceding the date of the occurrence that is the basis for the action to:
- 1. The active clinical practice or consultation as a general practitioner;
 - 2. The instruction of students in an accredited health

Page 15 of 17

professional school or accredited residency program in the general practice of medicine; or

- 3. A clinical research program that is affiliated with an accredited medical school or teaching hospital and that is in the general practice of medicine.
- (c) If the health care provider against whom or on whose behalf the testimony is offered is a health care provider other than a specialist or a general practitioner, the expert witness must have devoted professional time during the 3 years immediately preceding the date of the occurrence that is the basis for the action to:
- 1. The active clinical practice of, or consulting with respect to, the same or similar health profession as the health care provider against whom or on whose behalf the testimony is offered;
- 2. The instruction of students in an accredited health professional school or accredited residency program in the same or similar health profession in which the health care provider against whom or on whose behalf the testimony is offered; or
- 3. A clinical research program that is affiliated with an accredited medical school or teaching hospital and that is in the same or similar health profession as the health care provider against whom or on whose behalf the testimony is offered.
- (12) If a physician licensed under chapter 458 or chapter 459 or a dentist licensed under chapter 466 is the party against whom, or on whose behalf, expert testimony about the prevailing professional standard of care is offered, the expert witness

Page 16 of 17

must be licensed under chapter 458, chapter 459, or chapter 466 or possess a valid expert witness certificate issued under s. 458.3175, s. 459.0066, or s. 466.005.

(13) A health care provider's failure to comply with or breach of any federal requirement is not admissible as evidence in any medical negligence case in this state.

Section 11. Section 768.0981, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

768.0981 Limitation on actions against insurers, prepaid limited health service organizations, health maintenance organizations, hospitals, or prepaid health clinics.—An entity licensed or certified under chapter 395, chapter 624, chapter 636, or chapter 641 is shall not be liable for the medical negligence of a health care provider with whom the licensed or certified entity has entered into a contract, other than an employee of such licensed or certified entity, unless the licensed or certified entity expressly directs or exercises actual control over the specific conduct that caused injury.

Section 12. This act shall take effect July 1, 2011.