By Senator Smith

	29-00148-12 201220
1	A bill to be entitled
2	An act for the relief of Brian Pitts; directing the
3	Division of Administrative Hearings to appoint an
4	administrative law judge to determine a basis for
5	equitable relief for the purpose of compensating Mr.
6	Pitts for any wrongful act or omission by the State of
7	Florida or officials thereof; requiring a report to
8	the Legislature; authorizing compensation upon a
9	determination by the administrative law judge;
10	providing an appropriation to compensate Mr. Pitts for
11	injuries and damages sustained; providing a limitation
12	on the payment of fees and costs; directing that
13	certain court orders and judgments be declared null
14	and void; authorizing Mr. Pitts to practice law under
15	certain circumstances; directing the Department of Law
16	Enforcement to investigate certain illegal acts
17	committed by certain persons; providing for
18	severability; providing an effective date.
19	
20	WHEREAS, this state has clearly recognized the practice of
21	law by lay persons since at least 1980 as declared in The
22	Florida Bar v. Moses, 380 So. 2d 412, 416-418 (Fla. 1980) and
23	The Florida Bar re Advisory Opinion on Nonlawyer Representation
24	in Securities Arbitration, 696 So. 2d 1178, 1180-1181, 1183-1184
25	(Fla. 1997), the Legislature and judiciary having concurrent
26	jurisdiction to regulate such, and
~ -	

27 WHEREAS, Mr. Pitts has exercised this privilege since 2001 28 in Pinellas County, and his practice was later confirmed by the 29 Florida Supreme Court in case number SC02-247, in a final order

## Page 1 of 14

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

(NP) SB 20

29-00148-12 201220 30 dated November 6, 2003, at clause (1) declaring "unless otherwise authorized by Florida Statutes, court rule, case law, 31 32 administrative rule, or the rules regulating The Florida Bar," 33 and 34 WHEREAS, since the inception of Mr. Pitts' practice, the 35 Second District Court of Appeal, the Sixth Judicial Circuit of 36 Florida serving Pasco and Pinellas Counties, the State

37 Attorney's Office for the Sixth Judicial Circuit of Florida, and 38 The Florida Bar have, without cause, continued to deprive Mr. 39 Pitts of the privilege of practicing law as prescribed by the 40 Legislature and Florida Supreme Court, subjecting him to civil 41 and criminal proceedings and penalties on an ongoing basis, and

42 WHEREAS, the Florida Supreme Court, by virtue of the broad, 43 general, and ambiguous language of its 2003 final order in case 44 number SC02-247, has subjected Mr. Pitts to entrapment, and has 45 needlessly and unjustly avoided and failed upon many requests by 46 Mr. Pitts to clarify or amend the final order or to promulgate 47 court rules through The Florida Bar following original 48 proceedings brought or suggested by Mr. Pitts to correct the 49 matter, and

50 WHEREAS, this course of misconduct has been ongoing from 51 2001 to 2010, such that the courts, The Florida Bar, and the 52 State Attorney's Office for the Sixth Judicial Circuit of 53 Florida being in continual collusion against Mr. Pitts in cases SC02-247, SC06-1279, CRCAB-65835CFANO, CRCAB-90407CFANO, CRC07-54 55 12964CFANO, CTC07-03965 MMANO, CTC03-01885MMANO, CTC03-56 01887MMANO, and CTC03-09855MMANO, and such action has resulted in wrongful and unlawful incarcerations of Mr. Pitts in the 57 58 Pinellas County jail for a total of nearly 1 year, and

#### Page 2 of 14

29-00148-12

201220

59 WHEREAS, the purpose of this course of misconduct was to 60 retaliate against Mr. Pitts and, by way of his detainment, to 61 thwart his pending pro se actions for relief from said collusion 62 by civil, appellate, or original proceedings directed to or from 63 the above criminal cases, and

64

WHEREAS, appearing pro se in many of his cases, Mr. Pitts 65 was complimented by several judges of the Sixth Judicial Circuit for his exceptional degree of technical and performance 66 competence that would be expected of any trained and experienced 67 68 member of The Florida Bar, yet he was informed by express or 69 implied communication that he would not receive the relief 70 requested in any given proceeding unless represented by a member of The Florida Bar, as a matter of camaraderie, and 71

72 WHEREAS, though appearing pro se in said cases and other 73 actions seeking relief from said collusion, Mr. Pitts was at 74 times represented by appointed counsel, however, such 75 proceedings proved to be futile because the proceedings were 76 staged by the courts and the State Attorney's Office for the 77 Sixth Judicial Circuit of Florida to be illusory, and the courts 78 failed to abide by binding precedent and stare decisis, where 79 applicable, as well as Florida Rules of Court, as evidenced by 80 the series of filings in each case by Mr. Pitts, hence depriving 81 Mr. Pitts of procedural and substantive due process, equal 82 protection of the law, self-representation, and representation by counsel under the United States Constitution, and 83

84 WHEREAS, the Second District Court of Appeal has declared in Denson v. State, 711 So. 2d 1225, 1230 (Fla. 2d DCA 1998) 85 86 that "appellate judges take an oath to uphold the law and the 87 constitution of this state. The citizens of this state properly

### Page 3 of 14

201220 29-00148-12 88 expect these judges to protect their rights. When reviewing an 89 appeal with a preserved issue, if we discover that a person has 90 been subjected to a patently illegal sentence to which no 91 objection was lodged in the trial court, neither the 92 constitution nor our own consciences will allow us to remain silent and hope that the prisoner, untrained in the law, will 93 94 somehow discover the error and request its correction. If three 95 appellate judges, like a statue of the 'see no evil, hear no evil, speak no evil' monkeys, declined to consider such serious, 96 97 patent errors, we would jeopardize the public's trust and confidence in the institution of courts of law"; compare, 98 Bedford v. State, 633 So. 2d 13, 14 (Fla. 1994), yet they have 99 100 deliberately and intentionally, in concert with the Florida 101 Supreme Court justices, failed to abide by said rules of law as 102 to Mr. Pitts' cases on appeal or by original proceedings brought 103 and maintained by him or his counsel, and 104 WHEREAS, the Pinellas County Sheriff's Office further participated in the concerted effort of the courts, The Florida 105 Bar, and the State Attorney's Office by illegally incarcerating 106 107 Mr. Pitts in the Pinellas County jail during the time periods of January 2003 through April 2004 and March 22, 2010, through July 108 109 4, 2010, and by refusing him administrative alternative sentencing without cause, and by subjecting him to living 110 conditions and circumstances in violation of Florida Model Jail 111 Standards (2.15)(c), (9.08), (9.06)(b), (5.08)(a)&(c)(1)-(8), 112 113 (12.03)(d) - (g) & (i), (12.06), (5.08)(j), (10.01), (6.02),114 (11.12), (11.16), Appendix A, (4.12), (4.13), (4.15), and (9.10) 115 and in violation of ss. 951.03 and 951.033(3), Florida Statutes, 116 and by extending his sentence an additional 40 and 10 days of

#### Page 4 of 14

29-00148-12 201220 117 detention over the ordered sentences in violation of Inmate Handbook XI. A., Florida Model Jail Standard (4.16), and ss. 118 951.21(1) and 921.16(1), Florida Statutes, thereby subjecting 119 120 him to cruel and unusual punishment, subjecting him to false 121 imprisonment, and denying him due process and equal protection of the law. See Miller v. Carson, 599 F.2d 742 (5th Cir. 1979); 122 Miller v. Carson, 563 F.2d 757 (5th Cir. 1977); Miller v. 123 124 Carson, 563 F.2d 741 (5th Cir. 1977); Miller v. Carson, 401 F. Supp. 835 (M.D. Fla. 1975); Miller v. Carson, 392 F. Supp. 515 125 126 (M.D. Fla. 1975); Solomos v. Jenne, 776 So. 2d 953 (Fla. 4th DCA 127 2000); Douthit v. Jones, 619 F.2d 527 (5th Cir. 1980), and 128 WHEREAS, such conditions and circumstances of the jail are 129 reflected in a St. Petersburg Times article dated July 5, 2010, 130 and titled "Thousands of Pinellas jail inmates released without 131 a judge ever setting bail," which is complemented by a series of 132 articles released by the Orlando Sentinel, including "Florida's 133 suspect jails: The state's hands-off approach to inspecting jails leaves them vulnerable," dated April 8, 2010, "Jail-134

135 standards chief defends system of checks," dated May 15, 2010, "If all Central Florida jails rate an A, is it deserved?" dated 137 May 15, 2010, "Beef up jail oversight: Florida jails need tough 138 oversight, not coddling," dated May 18, 2010, and other 139 articles, and

WHEREAS, such misconduct is a clear abuse of judicial, executive, and administrative authority as to the state court system and local government, including the State Attorney's Office for the Sixth Judicial Circuit of Florida and the Pinellas County Sheriff's Office, thereby resulting in a public embarrassment to this state since said authorities knew there

#### Page 5 of 14

29-00148-12 201220\_\_\_\_\_ 146 was no basis in fact or law for their unlawful acts against Mr. 147 Pitts, and

WHEREAS, Mr. Pitts' good name and reputation have been 148 149 damaged, he has been deprived of due process, the ability to 150 conduct a lawful business, freedom of speech, property, liberty, 151 and equal protection of the law, he has not benefited from 152 constitutional protections against unlawful trusts by public 153 officers and employees (oath of office) and double jeopardy as 154 to criminal proceedings and sanctions, he has suffered mental 155 anguish and emotional distress as the result of the intentional 156 misconduct and gross negligence of the courts, the State 157 Attorney's Office for the Sixth Judicial Circuit of Florida, The Florida Bar, and the Pinellas County Sheriff's Office relating 158 159 to his practice of law as a nonlawyer in this state, and, 160 further, there is no state-action exception to federal anti-161 trust laws (Sherman Act), which were violated in this case, and

WHEREAS, the cases involving Mr. Pitts fail to comply with the requirements of s. 20.02(1), Florida Statutes, which states in part: "The judicial branch has the purpose of determining the constitutional propriety of the policies and programs and of adjudicating any conflicts arising from the interpretation or application of the laws," and

WHEREAS, Mr. Pitts has suffered, and continues to suffer, significant monetary damage by virtue of lost income, property, and time, expenses, fees, fines, costs, and restitution resulting from the civil and criminal proceedings relating to his alleged unauthorized or unlicensed practice of law, and

WHEREAS, Mr. Pitts, on many occasions, appears before theLegislature to instruct, advise, inform, and advocate for or

### Page 6 of 14

29-00148-12 201220 175 against proposed legislation covering a broad spectrum of topics 176 and subject matter in fact and law in a exceptional degree of 177 technical and performance competence that would be expected of 178 any trained and experienced member of The Florida Bar, and 179 WHEREAS, the Legislature recognizes that no system of 180 justice is impervious to human error, and 181 WHEREAS, the Legislature acknowledges that the state's 182 system of justice sometimes yields imperfect results that may 183 have tragic consequences, and 184 WHEREAS, this claim is based on a moral and legal 185 obligation of the Legislature to acknowledge its own acts and 186 inherent authority to correct a wrong whereby normal or other state authority, remedy, or resolution has been intentionally 187 188 avoided and denied in an arbitrary and capricious manner, 189 resulting in a manifest injustice or disregard for the law, and 190 WHEREAS, this is in accord with rulings of the courts 191 concerning legislative claim bills as expressed in Circuit Court 192 of Twelfth Judicial Circuit v. Dep't of Natural Res., 339 So. 2d 1113, 1116-1117 (Fla. 1976), in which the court held that one 193 194 may seek a claim bill through the Legislature, for "[a]bsent 195 legislation waiving the state's sovereign immunity . . . this 196 Court cannot authorize relief through the judicial process"; Gerard v. Dep't of Transp., 472 So. 2d 1170, 1172 (Fla. 1985), 197 in which the court stated, "we agree with the Department of 198 199 Transportation's assertion that a judgment in this case was not 200 a prerequisite to Gerard's filing a claims bill in the 201 legislature"; Jetton v. Jacksonville Elec. Auth., 399 So. 2d 202 396, 397 (Fla. 1st DCA 1981), in which the court held that, 203 while the Legislature has placed limits on recovery, "claimants

#### Page 7 of 14

	29-00148-12 201220
204	remain free to seek legislative relief bills, as they did during
205	days of complete sovereign immunity"; and Dickinson v. Bradley,
206	298 So. 2d 352, 354 (Fla. 1974), in which the court held that
207	"any claim bill is restricted to less than the general public
208	and its purpose is to discharge the state's moral obligation to
209	any individual or other entity whom or which the legislature
210	recognizes as being entitled to such The Legislature may
211	enact a claim bill for what would be a tort if a private party
212	was involved just as effectively as for what would constitute a
213	contractual debt," and
214	WHEREAS, the Legislature intends that any compensation made
215	pursuant to this act be the sole compensation provided by the
216	state for any and all present and future claims arising out of
217	the facts presented in this act, NOW, THEREFORE,
218	
219	Be It Enacted by the Legislature of the State of Florida:
220	
221	Section 1. The facts stated in the preamble to this act are
222	found and declared to be true, and all judicial and
223	administrative remedies were exhausted as of May 12, 2010, and
224	July 4, 2010, respectively.
225	Section 2. The Division of Administrative Hearings shall
226	appoint an administrative law judge or special master to conduct
227	a hearing and determine a basis for equitable relief for the
228	purpose of compensating Mr. Pitts for any wrongful act or
229	omission of the State of Florida, the State Attorney's Office
230	for the Sixth Judicial Circuit of Florida, or the Pinellas
231	County Sheriff's Office in proportion to what occurred in the
232	investigations, the civil and criminal proceedings relating to

# Page 8 of 14

201220 29-00148-12 233 Mr. Pitts' alleged unlicensed or unauthorized practice of law, 234 and his incarcerations totaling nearly 12 months from 2001 to 235 2010. 236 Section 3. (1) The administrative law judge or special 237 master shall determine by a preponderance of the evidence 238 whether the State of Florida, the State Attorney's Office for 239 the Sixth Judicial Circuit of Florida, or the Pinellas County 240 Sheriff's Office committed a wrongful act or omission and whether a basis for equitable relief exists, and if it so finds, 241 242 the administrative law judge or special master shall award Mr. 243 Pitts an amount of up to \$375,000, to be paid proportionately by 244 the parties that wronged him and to be paid in lump sum or in 245 payments over a period of no more than 10 years. 246 (2) The administrative law judge or special master shall 247 report his or her determination to the President of the Senate 248 and the Speaker of the House of Representatives by July 1, 2012. 249 The Chief Financial Officer is directed to draw a warrant in 250 satisfaction of the relief awarded by the administrative law 251 judge or special master, as provided in this act, and to pay the 252 warrant out of the Administrative Trust Fund within the state 253 courts system and the State Attorneys Revenue Trust Fund to 254 Brian Pitts. Pinellas County is directed to and shall pay the 255 warrant out of its general revenue fund or by other means it has 256 provided for to pay valid claims against the local government as 257 pertains to the Pinellas County Sheriff's Office and as to its 258 share of the total award to Mr. Pitts.

259 (3) This award is intended to provide the sole compensation
260 for all present and future claims arising out of the factual
261 situation described in this act which resulted in unlawful or

### Page 9 of 14

	29-00148-12 201220
262	unconstitutional acts committed against Mr. Pitts in connection
263	with allegations, judgments, and convictions of the unlicensed
264	or unauthorized practice of law and his incarcerations totaling
265	nearly 12 months from 2001 through 2010. The total amount paid
266	for attorney's fees, lobbying fees, costs, and other similar
267	expenses relating to this claim may not exceed 25 percent of the
268	amount awarded under this act.
269	(4) All final orders, judgments, decrees, and convictions,
270	and orders or liens pertaining to fees, fines, costs, and
271	restitution, rendered in cases SC06-1279, SC02-247, CRCAB-
272	90407CFANO, CRCAB-65835CFANO, CRC07-12964CFANO, CTC07-
273	03965MMANO, CTC03-09855MMANO, CTC03-01885MMANO, and CTC03-
274	01887MMANO, wherein Mr. Pitts is the respondent or defendant,
275	are null and void and are annulled by this act by virtue of the
276	doctrine of separation of powers because the courts failed to
277	recognize the Legislature's lawful and valid enactments
278	authorizing lay representation as expressed in The Florida Bar
279	v. Moses, 380 So. 2d 412, 416-418 (Fla. 1980); by virtue of
280	inherent authority of this Legislature as expressed in Florida
281	House of Representatives v. Crist, 999 So. 2d 601, 611 (Fla.
282	2008), Trianon Park Condo. Ass'n v. City of Hialeah, 468 So. 2d
283	912, 918, 919 (Fla. 1985); and by virtue of checks and balances
284	exercised by this Legislature as expressed in State Ex Rel.
285	Young v. Duval County, 79 So. 692, 697 (Fla. 1918), in which the
286	court found, "A clear violation of the constitutional provisions
287	dividing the powers of government into departments should be
288	checked and remedied." As the court found in State v. City of
289	Stuart, 120 So. 335, 346 (Fla. 1929), "[t]he general rule is
290	that the Legislature is supreme in the legislative field, which

# Page 10 of 14

	29-00148-12 201220
291	is the most powerful branch of government, so long as it does
292	not violate any of the provisions of the organic law. There is
293	to our minds no justifiable exception of any class of
294	legislation from this all-pervasive and fundamental principle."
295	(5) The clerk of the court for the Florida Supreme Court,
296	as to cases SC06-1279 and SC02-247, and the clerk of the court
297	for the Sixth Judicial Circuit, as to cases CRCAB-90407CFANO,
298	CRCAB-65835CFANO, CRC07-12964CFANO, CTC07-03965MMANO, CTC03-
299	09855MMANO, CTC03-01885MMANO, and CTC03-01887MMANO, all
300	pertaining to Mr. Pitts, are hereby directed to remove from
301	public and private access all dockets, records, documents, and
302	recorded orders or liens related to those cases and transmit
303	them to the Department of Law Enforcement to fulfill the duties
304	required under section 6 of this act. The Department of Law
305	Enforcement is hereby directed to remove from public and private
306	access all record history and information of a criminal nature
307	concerning Mr. Pitts. This includes, but is not limited to,
308	fingerprints, felon registration, and all other matters
309	concerning the case numbers cited in this subsection. Said
310	records, information, or documents may not be used by or
311	accessed for any purpose by anyone unless access to those
312	records is required by federal authorities or for investigations
313	conducted under section 6 of this act.
314	(6) The Department of Law Enforcement is directed to ensure
315	the compliance, execution, and enforcement of subsections (4)
316	and (5) of this section, and shall provide protective services
317	to Mr. Pitts ensuring his rights, privileges, and safety under
318	sections 4, 5, and 6 of this act.
319	Section 4. In accordance with the Florida Supreme Court's

# Page 11 of 14

29-00148-12 201220 final order in case number SC02-247 and the exception contained 320 321 in clause (1) of that ruling, unless otherwise authorized by 322 Florida Statutes, court rule, case law, administrative rule, or 323 the rules regulating The Florida Bar, thereby authorizing Mr. 324 Pitts to practice law in this state, the Legislature authorizes 325 Mr. Pitts to practice law in this state under the following 326 designations, titles, rules, decisions, or acts in the capacity 327 as a lay counselor or lay representative: 328 (1) Chapter 120, Florida Statutes, relating to a qualified 329 representative. 330 (2) Chapter 44, Florida Statutes, relating to a designated 331 representative. 332 (3) Section 709.08, Florida Statutes, relating to an 333 attorney in fact. 334 (4) Decisions or rules of the Florida Supreme Court 335 relating to representation by a realty property manager. 336 (5) Decisions or rules of the Florida Supreme Court 337 relating to a nonlawyer using approved forms. 338 (6) Decisions or rules of the Florida Supreme Court 339 relating to representation in county or small claims civil 340 proceedings. 341 (7) Decisions or rules of the Florida Supreme Court 342 relating to third party standing representation. 343 (8) Rule 5-15, Rules Relating to Admission to The Florida 344 Bar. 345 (9) Judicial discretion under the inherent authority 346 doctrine. 347 (10) Federal law or any other clearly expressed rule, 348 statute, or court or administrative decision or order under

#### Page 12 of 14

	29-00148-12 201220
349	other federal, state, or local law and authority.
350	Section 5. Any appearance or public testimony given by Mr.
351	Pitts on bills or matters before the Legislature, wherever held
352	or convened throughout this state, does not constitute the
353	practice of law. In all circumstances Mr. Pitts retains the
354	right to represent himself at any time he has valid standing
355	supported by law, or, if he is the subject of civil,
356	administrative, or criminal proceedings, Mr. Pitts retains the
357	right to represent himself without a lawyer in court and in
358	administrative actions or cases.
359	Section 6. Due to the period of ongoing misconduct against
360	Mr. Pitts as described in this act, the Legislature directs the
361	Department of Law Enforcement, assisted by Mr. Pitts, to
362	investigate these acts committed by:
363	(1) The Florida Supreme Court justices involved for
364	violations of ss. 775.15(12)(b), 777.04(2) and (3), 836.05,
365	843.03, 839.13(1), 839.24, 876.10, 843.0855(2) and (3), 895.03,
366	914.22(2)(f), 914.22(4)(f), and 918.13, Florida Statutes, and 18
367	U.S.C. ss. 241, 242, 1512, 1951, and 1962, relating to the
368	court's final ruling rendered February 22, 2010, in case number
369	SC06-1279 for the incarceration of Mr. Pitts on the eve of the
370	2010 legislative session pending proceedings on Senate Bill 58.
371	(2) The Second District Court of Appeal judges assigned to
372	Mr. Pitts' cases on motions, reviews, and original proceedings;
373	the Sixth Judicial Circuit judges; and the state attorneys
374	involved for violations of ss. 775.15(12)(b), 843.0855(2) and
375	(3), 839.13(1), 839.24, 918.13, 836.05, 843.03, 876.10 777.04(2)
376	and (3), and 895.03, Florida Statutes, and 18 U.S.C. 241, 242,
377	1951, and 1962.

# Page 13 of 14

	29-00148-12 201220_
378	(3) The Florida Bar and its representatives, who pursued
379	charges of unlicensed practice of law against Mr. Pitts, for
380	violations of ss. 839.13(1), 918.13, 836.05, 777.04(2) and (3),
381	and 895.03, Florida Statutes, and 18 U.S.C. 241, 242, 1951, and
382	1962, as well as s. 542.21(2), Florida Statutes, and 15 U.S.C.
383	1, 2, and 3, relating to the practice of law by lawyers and
384	nonlawyers.
385	(4) The Pinellas County Sheriff's Office for violations of
386	ss. 775.15(12)(b), 843.0855(2) and (3), 843.03, 839.13(1),
387	876.10, 950.09, and 951.14, Florida Statutes, and 18 U.S.C. 241
388	<u>or 242.</u>
389	
390	The Department of Law Enforcement shall exercise all authority
391	it has under general law to investigate criminal violations
392	under this act and shall refer any evidence of such crimes to
393	the appropriate officials for prosecution. Charges arising out
394	of the criminal investigation shall be brought before a grand
395	jury impaneled in Leon County within 1 year after passage of
396	this act.
397	Section 7. If any provision of this act or its application
398	to any person or circumstance is held invalid, the invalidity
399	does not affect other provisions or applications of this act
400	which can be given effect without the invalid provision or
401	application, and to this end the provisions of the act are
402	severable. Mr. Pitts retains the right to make future requests
403	of the Legislature for any relief severed in part or in whole.
404	Section 8. This act shall take effect upon becoming a law.

# Page 14 of 14