The Florida Senate BILL ANALYSIS AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

(This document is based on the provisions contained in the legislation as of the latest date listed below.)

Р	repared By: The P	rofessional Staff of the	Children, Families,	and Elder Affairs	Committee		
BILL:	SB 446						
INTRODUCER:	Children, Families, and Elder Affairs Committee						
SUBJECT:	Open Governm Exchange Infor	nent Sunset Review rmation	of Section 409.25	5661, F.S., Inst	rance Claim Data		
DATE:	December 5, 2	011 REVISED:					
ANALYST		STAFF DIRECTOR	REFERENCE		ACTION		
. Daniell		Farmer	CF	Favorable			
•		_	GO				
		_					

I. Summary:

This bill is the result of the Children, Families, and Elder Affairs Committee's Open Government Sunset Review of the public-records exemption for personal information obtained during an insurance claim data exchange. The exemption will expire October 2, 2012, unless saved from repeal through reenactment by the Legislature.

Currently, s. 409.25659, F.S., requires the Department of Revenue (DOR or department) to develop and operate a data match system in which an insurer may voluntarily provide DOR with the name, address, and, if known, date of birth and Social Security number or other taxpayer identification number for each noncustodial parent who has a claim with the insurer and who owes past-due child support. Section 409.25661, F.S., provides that specified information regarding a noncustodial parent who owes past-due child support, collected by DOR pursuant to s. 409.25659, F.S., is confidential and exempt from public records. This bill reenacts this public-records exemption.

This bill amends section 409.25661, Florida Statutes.

II. Present Situation:

Florida Public-Records Law

Florida has a long history of providing public access to government records. The Legislature

enacted the first public-records law in 1892. In 1992, Floridians adopted an amendment to the state constitution that raised the statutory right of access to public records to a constitutional level. Article I, section 24 of the Florida Constitution guarantees every person a right to inspect or copy any public record of the legislative, executive, and judicial branches of government.

The Public-Records Act³ specifies conditions under which public access must be provided to records of the executive branch and other agencies. Unless specifically exempted, all agency⁴ records are available for public inspection. Section 119.011(12), F.S., defines the term "public records" very broadly to include "all documents, ... tapes, photographs, films, sounds recordings ... made or received pursuant to law or ordinance or in connection with the transaction of official business by any agency." Unless made exempt, all such materials are open for public inspection at the moment they become records.⁵

Only the Legislature is authorized to create exemptions to open-government requirements. Exemptions must be created by general law, and such law must specifically state the public necessity justifying the exemption. Further, the exemption must be no broader than necessary to accomplish the stated purpose of the law. A bill enacting an exemption or substantially amending an existing exemption may not contain other substantive provisions, although it may contain multiple exemptions that relate to one subject.⁶

Records may be identified as either exempt from public inspection or exempt and confidential. If the Legislature makes a record exempt and confidential, the information may not be released by an agency to anyone other than to the persons or entities designated in the statute. If a record is simply made exempt from public inspection, the exemption does not prohibit the showing of such information at the discretion of the agency holding it.

Open Government Sunset Review Act

The Open Government Sunset Review Act⁹ provides for the systematic review of exemptions from the Public-Records Act in the fifth year after the exemption's enactment. By June 1 of each year, the Division of Statutory Revision of the Office of Legislative Services is required to certify to the President of the Senate and the Speaker of the House of Representatives the language and statutory citation of each exemption scheduled for repeal the following year. The act states that an exemption may be created, revised, or maintained only if it serves an identifiable public purpose and if the exemption is no broader than necessary to meet the public purpose it serves.¹⁰ An identifiable public purpose is served if the Legislature finds that the

¹ Sections 1390, 1391, F.S. (Rev. 1892).

² FLA. CONST. art. I, s. 24.

³ Chapter 119, F.S.

⁴ An agency includes any state, county, or municipal officer, department, or other separate unit of government that is created or established by law, as well as any other public or private agency or person acting on behalf of any public agency. Section 119.011(2), F.S.

⁵ Tribune Co. v. Cannella, 458 So. 2d 1075, 1077 (Fla. 1984).

⁶ FLA. CONST. art. I, s. 24(c).

⁷ WFTV, Inc. v. School Bd. of Seminole, 874 So. 2d 48, 53 (Fla. 5th DCA 2004), review denied, 892 So. 2d 1015 (Fla. 2004).
⁸ Id. at 54.

⁹ Section 119.15, F.S.

¹⁰ Section 119.15(6)(b), F.S.

purpose is sufficiently compelling to override the strong public policy of open government and cannot be accomplished without the exemption. An identifiable public purpose is served if the exemption:

- Allows the state or its political subdivisions to effectively and efficiently administer a
 governmental program, which administration would be significantly impaired without the
 exemption;
- Protects information of a sensitive personal nature concerning individuals, the release of which information would be defamatory to such individuals or cause unwarranted damage to the good name or reputation of such individuals or would jeopardize the safety of such individuals; or
- Protects information of a confidential nature concerning entities, including, but not limited to, a formula, pattern, device, combination of devices, or combination of information which is used to protect or further a business advantage over those who do not know or use it, the disclosure of which information would injure the affected entity in the marketplace.¹¹

The act also requires the Legislature, as part of the review process, to consider the following six questions that go to the scope, public purpose, and necessity of the exemption:

- What specific records or meetings are affected by the exemption?
- Whom does the exemption uniquely affect?
- What is the identifiable public purpose or goal of the exemption?
- Can the information contained in the records or discussed in the meeting be readily obtained by alternative means?
- Is the record or meeting protected by another exemption?
- Are there multiple exemptions for the same type of record or meeting that it would be appropriate to merge?¹²

Insurance Claim Data Exchange

Section 409.25659, F.S., was established during the 2004 Regular Session to provide for the identification of claims¹³ on liability insurance which could potentially be applied to child support arrearages in Title IV-D cases.¹⁴

The department was directed by statute to develop and operate a data match system to identify noncustodial parents who owe past-due child support and who also have a claim with an insurer.

¹² Section 119.15(6)(a), F.S.

¹¹ Id.

¹³ A "claim" is considered an open, unresolved bodily injury claim on liability coverage in excess of \$3,000 in an insurance contract payable to an individual, or to a third party for the benefit of the individual, who is a Florida resident or who had an accident or loss that occurred in Florida, or who has an outstanding child support obligation in Florida. Section 409.24659(1), F.S.

¹⁴ Chapter 2004-334, Laws of Fla. The term "Title IV-D" refers to state-run child support enforcement programs which are funded through grants provided for by the Social Security Act of 1975. Title IV of the Social Security Act covers grants to states for the purpose of providing aid and services to needy families with children and for child-welfare services. Part "D" of that law covers child support and the establishment of paternity.

This process allows insurers to voluntarily provide DOR with the name, address, and, if known, date of birth and Social Security number or other taxpayer identification number for each noncustodial parent identified as having a claim.¹⁵ This data can only be used for purposes of child support enforcement.¹⁶

Within the data match system, an insurer may provide DOR with the needed information in one of three ways:

- An insurer may provide the required data for each claim directly to DOR electronically so that the department can conduct a data match;
- An insurer may receive or access data from DOR and conduct a data match of all
 noncustodial parents who have a claim with the insurer and who owe past-due child
 support, and submit the match data regarding each noncustodial parent to DOR; or
- An insurer may authorize an insurance claim data collection organization to complete one
 of the two options mentioned above.¹⁷

Due to the variety of data submission methods provided within the system, it would be possible for DOR to receive information on individuals having a claim with an insurer, who do not owe child support.¹⁸

In 2004, DOR contacted most of the top 25 insurers in the state to begin implementation of the statute. However, during this time insurers were responding to claims resulting from damage caused by the 2004 hurricane season so DOR decided to postpone working on the insurance claim data exchange initiative.¹⁹

In February 2006 Congress passed the Deficit Reduction Act of 2005 (the Act), which authorized the Federal Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) to compare information concerning individuals owing past-due child support with information maintained by insurers concerning insurance claims, settlements, awards, and payments. The Act further allows HHS to furnish information resulting from the data matches to state agencies responsible for child support enforcement. A federal workgroup was established to implement this provision. The department monitored the activities of the federal workgroup charged with implementing the nationwide insurance data match program and began implementing the changes necessary to receive data from the federal program.

¹⁵ Section 409.25659(2), F.S.

¹⁶ Section 409.25659(5), F.S.

¹⁷ Section 409.25659(2)(a)-(c), F.S.

¹⁸ Conversation with representatives from the Fla. Dep't of Revenue (July 12, 2011).

¹⁹ Comm. on Children, Families, and Elder Affairs, The Florida Senate, *Open Government Sunset Review Regarding Noncustodial Parents Owing Past-Due Child Support*, 4 (Interim Report 2009-202) (Sept. 2008), *available at* http://archive.flsenate.gov/data/Publications/2009/Senate/reports/interim_reports/pdf/2009-202cf.pdf (last visited June 15, 2011).

 $^{^{\}bar{20}}$ *Id*.

 $^{^{21}}$ *Id*.

In November 2008, DOR began data matching activities with the federal program and began issuing income deduction notices on matches. ²² Between November 2008 and October 2009, the department received 2,996 data matches from the federal program. ²³ Of those matches, 422 were previously made by the department through other means. ²⁴ According to department representatives, approximately \$2 million has been collected since the department implemented the federal matching program. ²⁵

During the 2009 Regular Session, there was discussion over whether the federal voluntary insurance data match program would replace the state's voluntary program. The department sent 84 letters to Florida-based insurance companies from November 2009 through February 2010 inviting them to participate in the voluntary state program. The department received responses from two companies, both of which stated they do not handle personal liability insurance. In February 2011, DOR sent an additional 135 letters to Florida-based insurance companies and as of June 1, 2011, they had received only three responses, including one from Citizens Property Insurance Corporation (Citizens). The department has been working with Citizens to design a data match system and by 2012, DOR should begin receiving data from Citizens. The department continues to encourage voluntary participation in the state insurance claim data match through annual contact letters to Florida-based insurers.

The department reports that as of May 2011, the number of noncustodial parents eligible to be matched using the insurance claim data exchange is 448,965.²⁹

Public-Records Exemption for Insurance Claim Data Exchange

Section 409.25661, F.S., provides that information obtained by DOR during an insurance claim data exchange pursuant to s. 409.25659, F.S., is confidential and exempt from public disclosure until the department determines whether a match exists. If a match does exist, the matched data is no longer considered confidential and exempt and becomes available for public disclosure unless otherwise exempt. If a match does not exist, the information must be destroyed.

This public-records exemption was created in 2004 and during the 2009 and 2010 Regular Sessions, the Legislature extended the repeal date of the exemption to provide DOR with ample time to determine the success of the provisions contained in the federal Deficit Reduction Act of 2005. This exemption stands repealed on October 2, 2012, unless reviewed and saved from repeal through reenactment by the Legislature.

²⁵ Conversation with representatives from the Fla. Dep't of Revenue (July 12, 2011).

²² E-mail from Debbie Thomas, Dep't of Revenue, to staff of the Senate Committee on Children, Families, and Elder Affairs (June 14, 2011) (on file with the Senate Committee on Children, Families, and Elder Affairs).

²³ Governmental Affairs Policy Committee, The Florida House of Representatives, *House of Representatives Staff Analysis HB 7091* (Mar. 5, 2010), *available at* http://archive.flsenate.gov/data/session/2010/House/bills/analysis/pdf/h7091.GAP.pdf (last visited July 13, 2011).

²⁴ *Id*.

²⁶ Dep't of Revenue, *CSE Insurance Data Match Public Records Exemption* (June 14, 2011) (on file with the Senate Committee on Children, Families, and Elder Affairs).

²⁷ Conversation with representatives from the Fla. Dep't of Revenue (July 12, 2011).

²⁸ Dep't of Revenue, *supra* note 27.

²⁹ E-mail from Debbie Thomas, Dep't of Revenue, to staff of the Committee on Children, Families, and Elder Affairs (June 24, 2011) (on file with the Senate Committee on Children, Families, and Elder Affairs).

Committee on Children, Families, and Elder Affairs' Open Government Sunset Review

Based on an Open Government Sunset Review of this exemption, Senate professional staff of the Children, Families, and Elder Affairs Committee recommended in September 2011 that the Legislature retain the public-records exemption established in s. 409.25661, F.S., which makes personal information obtained by DOR during an insurance claim data exchange pursuant to s. 409.25659, F.S., confidential and exempt from public disclosure until the department determines whether a match exists.³⁰

This recommendation was made in light of the information gathered for the Open Government Sunset Review, which indicated there is a public necessity in maintaining the confidential nature of personal information gathered by the department relating to persons having open liability claims with participating insurers. Additionally, the department reports that insurance providers may be less likely to participate in the insurance claim data exchange program without the exemption, making the exemption vital to the effective administration of the program.

III. Effect of Proposed Changes:

The bill reenacts and saves from repeal s. 409.25661, F.S., allowing the information obtained by the Department of Revenue (DOR) during an insurance claim data exchange pursuant to s. 409.25659, F.S., to remain confidential and exempt from public disclosure until such time as the department determines whether a match exists.

Other Potential Implications:

If the Legislature chooses not to retain the public-records exemption for the information obtained by DOR during an insurance claim data exchange, the exemption will expire on October 2, 2012. Without the exemption, the names, addresses, dates of birth, social security numbers, and claim numbers of noncustodial parents will become public.

IV. Constitutional Issues:

A. Municipality/County Mandates Restrictions:

None.

B. Public Records/Open Meetings Issues:

The legislation retains an existing public records exemption. This bill complies with the requirement of article I, section 24 of the Florida Constitution that public-records exemptions be addressed in legislation separate from substantive law changes.

³⁰ Committee on Children, Families, and Elder Affairs, Fla. Senate, *Open Government Sunset Review of Section 409.25661, Relating to Insurance Claim Data Exchange Information* (Interim Report 2012-301) (Sept. 2011), available at http://www.flsenate.gov/PublishedContent/Session/2012/InterimReports/2012-301CF.pdf (last visited Sept. 27, 2011).

SILL:	SB 446	5	Page 7			
JILL.			1 age 7			
	C.	Trust Funds Restrictions:				
		None.				
V.	Fisc	Fiscal Impact Statement:				
	A.	Tax/Fee Issues:				
		None.				
	B.	Private Sector Impact:				
		None.				
	C.	Government Sector Impact:				
		None.				
۷I.	Tech	Technical Deficiencies:				
	None	> .				
II.	Related Issues:					
	None	> .				
III.	Addi	itional Information:				
	A.	Committee Substitute – Statement of Substantial Changes: (Summarizing differences between the Committee Substitute and the prior version of the bill.)				
		None.				

B. Amendments:

None.

This Senate Bill Analysis does not reflect the intent or official position of the bill's introducer or the Florida Senate.