#### The Florida Senate BILL ANALYSIS AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (This document is based on the provisions contained in the legislation as of the latest date listed below.)

	Prepared	By: The Professional Sta	aff of the Committe	e on Appropriations	
BILL:	SB 1844				
INTRODUCER:	Health Policy	Committee			
SUBJECT:	Health Choice Plus Program				
DATE:	April 21, 2013 REVISED:				
ANALYST . Lloyd		STAFF DIRECTOR Stovall	REFERENCE	ACTION HP SPB 7144 as introduced	
. Brown		Pigott	AHS	Fav/CS	
B. Brown		Hansen	AP	Pre-meeting	
5					
<u>.</u>					

#### I. Summary:

SB 1844 creates a new health care services program, the Health Choice Plus (HCP) program within the Florida Health Choices Corporation (FHCC). The FHCC will phase-in the HCP program and be responsible for its ongoing oversight, including the delivery of services, management of contracts, and collection of enrollee or employer contributions.

The HCP is created as an alternative health benefits program for uninsured, low income Floridians with incomes at or below 100 percent of the federal poverty level (FPL) who meet other designated eligibility criteria. Enrollees and the state will jointly fund health benefits accounts, to be managed by the FHCC, to the extent funds are appropriated annually in the General Appropriations Act (GAA). Enrollees may utilize funds in those accounts to purchase a range of health care products from the FHCC's marketplace or to offset other out of pocket health care costs. Enrollees must contribute at least \$20 per month and the state will contribute no more than \$10 per month. These amounts may be adjusted annually in the GAA.

The bill has an estimated fiscal impact of \$15,275,000 general revenue (GR) for Fiscal Year 2013-2014.

Continued enrollment in HCP is contingent upon several factors, including but not limited to, an enrollee health assessment within the first three months of enrollment, continued payment of the monthly contribution requirement, and the enrollee's employment or full-time school enrollment. Exceptions to full-time employment may be made for an enrollee's medical condition or where the enrollee is the primary caregiver for a relative with a chronic medical condition that requires at least 40 hours of care per week. Supplemental payments may also be deposited to an enrollee's health benefits account for successful achievement of optional healthy living goals,

subject to a specific appropriation for this purpose. Total enrollment in the program is limited based on the availability of funds.

The program is subject to automatic repeal on July 1, 2016, unless reenacted by the Legislature.

The bill has and effective date of July 1, 2013.

The bill substantially amends section 408.910, Florida Statutes.

The bill creates section 408.9105, Florida Statutes.

# II. Present Situation:

Florida provides health insurance coverage options to low income Floridians through a variety of programs utilizing state and federal funds. As of February 28, 2013, more than 3.2 million individuals received coverage through the Medicaid program.<sup>1</sup> Enrollment in the Florida Kidcare program's non-Medicaid funded components for the same time period was an additional 256,721 children.<sup>2</sup>

Florida's Medicaid program is expected to spend \$21 billion for Fiscal Year 2012-2013, making it fifth largest in the nation for expenditures.<sup>3</sup> The Medicaid program is jointly funded between the state and federal governments; 52.73 percent of the costs for health care services are paid by federal funds and 42.27 percent is state share in the current fiscal year. Funding for the Florida Kidcare program's Title XXI components has an enhanced federal match of 70.66 percent for the 2012-2013 federal fiscal year.<sup>4</sup>

According to the most recent data from the American Community Survey (ACS) of the federal Census Bureau, an estimated four million Floridians are uninsured.<sup>5</sup> Of that number, according to the ACS data, 594,000 are children.<sup>6</sup> More than 1.9 million uninsured adults are under 139 percent of the FPL, according to statistics for 2010-2011.<sup>7</sup> Lower income adults – those below 100 percent of the FPL – number at 1.1 million for that same time period.<sup>8</sup>

http://www.floridakidcare.org/council/reports/2013\_KCC\_Report.pdf (last visited Mar. 17, 2013).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Agency for Health Care Administration, *Report of Medicaid Eligibles*,

http://ahca.myflorida.com/Medicaid/about/pdf/age\_assistance\_category\_130228.pdf (last visited Mar. 17, 2013). <sup>2</sup> Agency for Health Care Administration, *Florida KidCare Enrollment Report – February 2013*, (copy on file with the Senate Health Policy Committee).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Agency for Health Care Administration, Presentation to House Health and Human Services Committee, *Florida Medicaid:* An Overview - December 5, 2012,

http://www.myfloridahouse.gov/Sections/Documents/loaddoc.aspx?PublicationType=Committees&CommitteeId=2714&Ses sion=2013&DocumentType=Meeting Packets&FileName=HHSC\_Mtg\_12-5-12\_ONLINE.pdf (last visited Mar. 17, 2013). <sup>4</sup> Florida KidCare Coordinating Council, 2013 Annual Report and Recommendations, p. 5, (January 2013), http://www.myfloridebides.gov/sections/2012\_KCO\_Packets.gov/sections/2012\_2012).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Office of Economic and Demographic Research, Florida Legislature, *Economic Analysis of PPACA and Medicaid Expansion*, Presentation to Senate Select Committee on Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act (Mar. 4, 2013), http://www.floridakidcare.org/council/reports/2013\_Recommendations.pdf (last visited Mar. 17, 2013).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Kaiser Family Foundation, statehealthfacts.org, *Health Insurance Coverage of the Non-Elderly (0-64) with Incomes up to 139% of FPL (2010-2011)*, <u>http://www.statehealthfacts.org/profileind.jsp?ind=849&cat=3&rgn=11&cmprgn=1</u> (last visited Mar. 17, 2013).

Eligibility for the current Medicaid program is based on a number of factors, including age, household or individual income, and assets. The Department of Children and Families (DCF) determines eligibility for Medicaid but the Agency for Health Care Administration (AHCA) is the single state Medicaid agency under s. 409.963, F.S., and has the lead responsibility for the overall program.<sup>9</sup>

Recipients in the Medicaid program receive their benefits through several different delivery systems depending on their individual situation. Delivery systems currently include fee-for-service providers and various managed care organizations, including provider service networks (PSNs), health maintenance organizations (HMOs), and prepaid limited health service organizations. In July 2006, the AHCA implemented the Medicaid Managed Care Pilot Program as directed by the 2005 Legislature through s. 409.91211, F.S. The pilot program operates under an 1115 Research and Demonstration Waiver approved by the federal Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS). The pilot program was initially authorized for Broward and Duval counties with expansion to Baker, Clay and Nassau the following year.

Under the current pilot program, most Medicaid recipients in the five pilot counties (Baker, Broward, Clay, Duval, and Nassau counties) are required to receive their benefits through either HMOs, PSNs, or a specialty plan. In addition to the minimum benefits package, plans may provide enhanced services such as over-the-counter benefits, preventive dental care for adults, and health and wellness benefits.

# Medicaid Statewide Managed Medical Care Program

In 2011, the Legislature passed HB 7107, creating the Statewide Medicaid Managed Care (SMMC) Program as ch. 409, part IV, F.S. SMMC requires the AHCA to create an integrated managed care program for Medicaid enrollees that incorporates all of the minimum benefits, for the delivery of primary and acute care as well as long-term care services. SMMC has two components: the Long Term Care (LTC) Managed Care component and the Managed Medical Assistance (MMA) component.

To implement SMMC and receive federal Medicaid funding, the AHCA was required to seek federal authorization through two different Medicaid waivers from CMS. The first component authorized was the LTC Managed Care component's 1915(b) and (c) waiver. Approval was granted on February 1, 2013.

The LTC Managed Care component will serve Medicaid-eligible recipients who are also determined to require a nursing facility level of care. Medicaid recipients who qualify will receive all of their long-term care services from the long-term care managed care plan.

Implementation of the LTC Managed Care component started July 1, 2012, with completion expected by October 1, 2013. The AHCA released an Invitation to Negotiate (ITN) on June 29, 2012, and on January 15, 2013, notices of contract awards to managed care plans under that ITN were announced.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> Agency for Health Care Administration, *Welcome to Medicaid!*, <u>http://ahca.myflorida.com/Medicaid/index.shtml</u> (last visited Mar. 17, 2013).

For the MMA component, the AHCA sought to modify the existing Medicaid Reform 1115 Demonstration waiver to expand the program statewide. The AHCA initiated the SMMC project in January 2012 and released a separate ITN to competitively procure managed care plans on a statewide basis on December 28, 2012. Bids were due to the AHCA on March 29, 2013, and awards are expected to be announced on September 16, 2013.

Plans can supplement the minimum benefits in their bids and offer enhanced options. The number of plans to be selected by region is prescribed under s. 409.974, F.S. Specialty plans that serve specific, targeted populations based on age, medical condition, and diagnosis are also included under SMMC. Under s. 409.967, F.S., accountability provisions for the managed care plans specify several conditions or requirements, including emergency care and physician reimbursement standards, access and credentialing requirements, encounter data submission guidelines, and grievance and resolutions.

Statewide implementation of SMMC is expected to be completed by October 1, 2014. Final approval of the necessary Medicaid waiver by the federal government has not yet been received; however, on February 20, 2013, the AHCA and the CMS reached an "Agreement in Principle" on the proposed plan.

Under SMMC, all persons meeting applicable eligibility requirements of Title XIX of the Social Security Act must be enrolled in a managed care plan. Medicaid recipients who (a) have other creditable care coverage, excluding Medicare, (b) reside in residential commitment facilities operated through the Department of Juvenile Justice, group care facilities operated by the DCF, and treatment facilities funded through DCF Substance Abuse and Mental Health Program; (c) are eligible for refugee assistance; or (d) are residents of a developmental disability center, may voluntarily enroll in the SMMC program. If they elect not to enroll, they will be served through the Medicaid fee-for-service system.

# **Cover Florida and Florida Health Choices**

In 2008, the Florida Legislature created two programs simultaneously to address the issue of Florida's uninsured: the Cover Florida Health Access Program and the Florida Health Choices Program.<sup>10</sup> The two programs offered two unique methods of addressing Florida's uninsured population.

#### Cover Florida Health Access Program

Cover Florida is designed to provide affordable health care options for uninsured residents between the ages of 19 and 64 and who met other criteria under s. 408.9091, F.S. The AHCA and the Office of Insurance Regulation (OIR) have joint responsibility for the program and were directed to issue an Invitation to Negotiate (ITN) to secure plans for the delivery of services by July 1 2008. An ITN was released July 2, 2008, and as a result of that ITN, two-year contracts were executed with two statewide plans and four regional plans.<sup>11</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> See Chapter Law 2008-32.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> Agency for Health Care Administration, *Cover Florida Health Care Access Program Annual Report (March 2013), p. 1,* <u>http://ahca.myflorida.com/MCHQ/Managed Health Care/CHMO/docs/CoverFLReport-Mar2013.pdf</u> (last visited Mar. 22, 2013).

The Cover Florida plans are not subject to the Florida Insurance Code and ch. 641, F.S., relating to HMOs. Two plan options were required for development: plans with catastrophic coverage and plans without catastrophic coverage. Plans without catastrophic coverage are required to include other benefit options such as:<sup>12</sup>

- Incentives for routine preventive care;
- Office visits for diagnosis and treatment of illness or injury;
- Behavioral health services;
- Durable medical equipment and prosthetics; and,
- Diabetic supplies.

Plans that did include catastrophic coverage are required to include all of the benefits above, plus have options for these additional benefits:<sup>13</sup>

- Inpatient hospital stays;
- Hospital emergency care services;
- Urgent care services; and,
- Outpatient facility services, outpatient surgery, and outpatient diagnostic services.

All plans are guarantee-issue policies and are required to include prescription drug benefits. Plans can also place limits on services and cap benefits and copayments.

To be eligible, the enrollee must be:

- A resident of Florida;
- Between 19 and 64 years old;
- Not covered by private insurance or eligible for public insurance, unless eligibility for coverage lapses due to no longer meeting income or categorical requirements; and,
- Uninsured for at least the prior six months, with exceptions for persons who lose coverage within the past six months under certain conditions.

As of December 17, 2010, no insurers or HMOs offered any new policies under Cover Florida.<sup>14</sup> The six insurers selected by the state in 2009 to participate in Cover Florida ceased enrollment in 2011 due to lack of participation by both insurers and participants.<sup>15</sup> Currently, 1,997 enrollees participate in two plans and both plans will terminate those policies in 2014.<sup>16</sup>

 $<sup>^{12}</sup>$  See s. 409.9091(4)(6)(a).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> See s. 409.9091(4)(a)(7).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> Department of Financial Services, *Cover Florida Health Care Access Program Defined*, <u>http://www.myfloridacfo.com/consumers/insurancelibrary/insurance/l\_and\_h/cover\_florida/cover\_florida\_-\_defined.htm</u> (last visited Mar. 22, 2013).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> South Florida Business Journal, Brian Bandell, <u>http://www.bizjournals.com/southflorida/print-edition/2011/03/25/cover-florida-health-plan-program.html?s=print</u>, Mar. 25, 2011, (last visited Mar. 22, 2013).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup> *Supra* note 11, at 2.

# Florida Health Choices Program (FHCP)

The FHCP is a private, non-profit, corporation under s. 408.917, F.S., and is led by a 15-member board of directors. The FHCP is designed as a single, centralized marketplace for the purchase of health products including, but not limited to, health insurance plans, HMO plans, prepaid services, and flexible spending accounts. Policies sold as part of the program are exempt from regulation under the Insurance Code and laws governing HMOs. The following entities are authorized to be eligible vendors:

- Insurers authorized under ch. 624, F.S.;
- HMOs authorized under ch. 641, F.S.;
- Prepaid health clinics licensed under ch. 641, part II, F.S.;
- Health care providers, including hospitals and other licensed health facilities, health care clinics, pharmacies, and other licensed health care providers;
- Provider organizations, including service networks, group practices, and professional associations; and,
- Corporate entities providing specific health services. •

The FHCP is authorized to collect premiums and other payments from employers. The law further specifies who may participate as either an employer or an individual. Employers eligible to enroll include:<sup>17</sup>

- Employers that meet criteria established by the FHCP and elect to make their employees eligible;
- Fiscally constrained counties described in s. 218.67, F.S.;
- Municipalities having populations of fewer than 50,000 residents;
- School districts in fiscally constrained counties; or, •
- Statutory rural hospitals. •

Individuals eligible to participate include:<sup>18</sup>

- Individual employees of enrolled employers;
- State employees not eligible for state employee health benefits;
- State retirees; or,
- Medicaid participants who opt-out.

For phase one of Florida Health Choices' launch in 2013, the Marketplace will serve small businesses with 2 to 50 employees.<sup>19</sup> The initial list of vendors will include plans from Florida Blue, Florida Health Care Plans, Argus Dental, and Liberty Dental.<sup>20</sup> The pilot will last six months and then the FHCP will evaluate adding other services.<sup>21</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup> See s. 408.910(4)(a), F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup> See s. 408.910(4)(b), F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>19</sup> Florida Health Choices, 2012 Annual Report, p. 4, http://myfloridachoices.org/wp-content/uploads/2011/03/FHC-AnnualReport-2012 v4a.pdf (last visited Mar. 22, 2013).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>20</sup> Florida Health Choices, Florida Health Choices Announces Initial Offerings, (Feb. 22. 2013) http://myfloridachoices.org/florida-health-choices-announces-initial-offerings/ (last visited Mar. 25, 2013). <sup>21</sup> Supra Note 19 at 3.

# The Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act (PPACA)

In March 2010, the Congress passed the PPACA.<sup>22</sup> One of the PPACA's key components requires states to expand Medicaid to a minimum eligibility threshold of 133 percent of the FPL, or as it is sometimes expressed, 138 percent of the FPL when considering the automatic five-percent income disregard, effective January 1, 2014.<sup>23</sup> While the costs for the newly eligible under this expansion would be initially funded at 100 percent federal funds for the first three calendar years, states would gradually be required to pay a share of the costs, starting at five percent in calendar year 2017 before leveling off at 10 percent in 2020.<sup>24</sup> Under the PPACA as enacted, states refusing to expand to the new eligibility threshold faced the loss of *all* of their federal Medicaid funding.<sup>25</sup>

Florida, along with 25 other states challenged the constitutionality of the law. In *NFIB v*. *Sebelius*, the U.S. Supreme Court found the enforcement provisions of the Medicaid expansion unconstitutional.<sup>26</sup> As a result, states could voluntarily expand their Medicaid populations to 138 percent of the FPL and receive the enhanced federal match, but could not be required to do so for the population defined as newly eligible in the law, which was interpreted by the federal Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) to be only the adult population (childless adults aged 19 - 64).<sup>27</sup> States are unable to receive the enhanced federal matching funds for partial Medicaid expansions.<sup>28</sup>

While finding the adult expansion of Medicaid optional, subsequent federal guidance has also emphasized state flexibility in how states expand coverage to those defined as newly eligible. In a letter to the National Governors Association January 14, 2013, HHS Secretary Kathleen Sebelius reminded states of their ability to design flexible benefit packages without the need for waivers.<sup>29</sup> This letter had been preceded by an HHS document entitled "Frequently Asked Questions on Exchange, Market Reforms and Medicaid" on December 10, 2012, that discussed promotion of personal responsibility, wellness benefits, and state flexibility to design benefits.<sup>30</sup>

A state Medicaid director letter on November 20, 2012 (ACA #21), further addressed state options for the adult Medicaid expansion group and the alternative benefit plans available under Section 1937 of the Social Security Act.<sup>31</sup> Under Section 1937, state Medicaid programs have the

<sup>26</sup> See supra note 1.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>22</sup> Pub. Law No. 111-148, H.R. 3590, 111th Cong. (Mar. 23, 2010).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>23</sup> 42 U.S.C. s. 1396a(10).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>24</sup> 42 U.S.C. s. 1396d(y)(1).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>25</sup> 42 U.S.C. s. a1396c

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>27</sup> Department of Health and Human Services, *Secretary Sebelius Letter to Governors, July 10, 2012*, <u>http://capsules.kaiserhealthnews.org/wp-content/uploads/2012/07/Secretary-Sebelius-Letter-to-the-Governors-071012.pdf</u> (last visited Mar. 16, 2013).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>28</sup> Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services, *Frequently Asked Questions on Exchanges, Market Reforms and Medicaid (December 10, 2012)*, p.12, <u>http://medicaid.gov/State-Resource-Center/Frequently-Asked-Questions/Downloads/Governor-FAQs-12-10-12.pdf</u> (last visited April 1, 2013).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>29</sup> Letter to National Governor's Association from Secretary Sebelius, January 14, 2013 (copy on file with Senate Health Policy Committee).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>30</sup> See Supra note 28, at 15-16.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>31</sup> Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services, *State Medicaid Director Letter: Essential Health Benefits in the Medicaid Program* (November 20, 2012), <u>http://www.medicaid.gov/Federal-Policy-Guidance/downloads/SMD-12-003.pdf</u> (last visited Mar. 17, 2013).

option of providing certain groups with benchmark or benchmark-equivalent coverage based on four products: (1) the standard Blue Cross/Blue Shield Preferred Provider option offered to federal employees; (2) state employee coverage that is generally offered to all state employees; (3) the commercial HMO with the largest insured, non-Medicaid enrollment in the state or (4) coverage approved by the HHS secretary.<sup>32</sup> For children under the age of 21, the coverage must include the Early and Periodic Screening, Diagnostic and Treatment Service (EPSDT). Other aspects of the essential health benefit requirements of the PPACA, as discussed further below, may also be applicable, depending on the benefit package utilized.

In addition to the Medicaid expansion component, the PPACA imposes a mandate on individuals to buy insurance, or pay a penalty, which was interpreted by the U.S. Supreme Court as a tax. Currently, many uninsured individuals are eligible for Medicaid or Kidcare coverage but are not enrolled. The existence of the federal mandate to purchase insurance may result in some indeterminate number of current eligibles coming forward and enrolling in Medicaid who had previously not enrolled. Their participation will result in increased costs and would not likely have occurred without the catalyst of the federal legislation.

To obtain insurance coverage, the PPACA authorized the state-based American Health Benefit exchanges and Small Business Health Options Program (SHOP) exchanges. These exchanges are to be administered by governmental agencies or non-profit organizations and be ready to accept applications for coverage beginning October 1, 2013, for January 1, 2014, coverage dates. The exchanges, at a minimum, must:<sup>33</sup>

- Certify, re-certify and de-certify plans participating on the exchange;
- Operate a toll-free hotline;
- Maintain a website;
- Provide plan information and plan benefit options;
- Interact with the state's Medicaid and CHIP programs and provide information on eligibility and determination of eligibility for these programs;
- Certify individuals who gain exemptions from the individual responsibility requirement; and
- Establish a navigator program.

The initial guidance from the HHS in November 2010 set forward a number of principles and priorities for the exchanges. Further guidance was issued on May 16, 2012, detailing the proposed operations of federally facilitated exchanges for those states that elected not to implement a state-based exchange. On November 16, 2012, Florida Governor Rick Scott notified HHS that Florida had too many unanswered questions to commit to a state-based exchange under the PPACA for the first enrollment period on January 1, 2014.<sup>34</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>32</sup> See supra note 31, at 2.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>33</sup>Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services, Initial Guidance to States on Exchanges, November 18, 2010, <u>http://cciio.cms.gov/resources/files/guidance to states on exchanges.html</u> (last visited Mar. 16, 2013).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>34</sup> Letter from Governor Rick Scott to Health and Human Services Secretary Kathleen Sebelius, November 16, 2012 http://www.flgov.com/2012/11/16/letter-from-governor-rick-scott-to-u-s-secretary-of-health-and-human-services-kathleensebelius/ (last visited Mar. 16, 2013).

The PPACA also includes a tax penalty for those individuals that do not have qualifying health insurance coverage beginning January 1, 2014. The penalty is the greater of \$695 per year, up to a maximum of three times that amount per family or 2.5% of household income. The penalty, however, is phased-in and exemptions apply.

The following persons are exempt from the PPACA's requirement to maintain coverage:<sup>35</sup>

- Individuals with a religious objection;
- Individuals not lawfully present; and
- Incarcerated individuals.

The following persons are exempt from the PPACA's penalty for failure to maintain coverage:<sup>36</sup>

- Individuals who cannot afford coverage, i.e. those whose required premium contributions exceed eight percent of household income;
- Individuals with income below the income tax filing threshold;
- American Indians;
- Individuals without coverage for less than three months; and
- Individuals determined by the HHS secretary to have suffered a hardship with respect to the capability to obtain coverage under a qualified plan.

Qualifying coverage may be obtained through an employer, the federal or state exchanges created under PPACA, or private individual or group coverage meeting the minimum essential benefits coverage standard.

Employers with more than 50 full time employees also share a financial responsibility under PPACA. Employers with more than 50 full-time employees that do not offer coverage meeting the essential benefits coverage standard and who have at least one employee receive a premium tax credit will be assessed a fee of \$2,000 per full time employee, after the 30th employee.<sup>37</sup> If an employer does offer coverage and an employee receives a premium tax credit, the employer is assessed the lesser of \$3,000 per employee receiving the credit or \$2,000 per each employee after the 30th employee.<sup>38</sup>

Premium credits and other cost sharing subsidies are available to United States citizens and legal immigrants within certain income limits for coverage purchased through the exchanges. Legal immigrants with incomes at or below 100 percent of the FPL who are not eligible for Medicaid during their first five years are eligible for premium credits.<sup>39</sup> Premium credits are set on a

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>35</sup> See Sec. 5000A(d), Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as created or amended by the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act of 2010 and/or the Health Care and Education Reconciliation Act of 2010.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>36</sup> See Sec. 5000A(e), Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as created or amended by the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act of 2010 and/or the Health Care and Education Reconciliation Act of 2010.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>37</sup> Kaiser Family Foundation, *Summary of New Health Reform Law*, Last Modified April 15, 2011, <u>http://www.kff.org/healthreform/upload/8061.pdf</u> (last viewed Mar. 16, 2013).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>38</sup> Kaiser Family Foundation, *Summary of New Health Reform Law*, Last Modified April 15, 2011, <u>http://www.kff.org/healthreform/upload/8061.pdf</u> (last viewed Mar. 16, 2013).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>39</sup>26 U.S.C. s. 36B(c).

sliding scale based on the percent of FPL for the household and reduce the out-of-pocket costs incurred by individuals and families.

The amount for premium tax credits, as a percentage of income, are set in section 36B of the Internal Revenue Code follows<sup>40</sup>:

Premium Tax Credits					
Income Range	Premium Percentage Range				
	(% of income)				
Up to 133% FPL	2%				
133% to 150%	3% - 4%				
150% to 200%	4% - 6.3%				
200% to 250%	6.3% - 8.05%				
250% to 300%	8.05% - 9.5%				
300% to 400%	9.5%				

Subsidies for cost sharing are also applicable for those between 100 percent of the FPL and 400 percent of the FPL.<sup>41</sup> For 2013, 100 percent of the FPL equates to the following by family size:<sup>42</sup>

2013 Federal Poverty Guidelines – 100% FPL				
Family Size	Maximum Annual Income			
1	\$11,490			
2	\$15,510			
3	\$19,530			
4	\$23,550			

The cost sharing credits reduce the out-of-pocket amounts incurred by individuals on essential health benefits and will also impact the actuarial value of a health plan. Actuarial value reflects the average share of covered benefits paid by the insurer or health plan.<sup>43</sup> For example, if the actuarial value of a plan is 90 percent, the health plan is paying 90 percent of the costs and the enrollee 10 percent. Under the PPACA, the maximum amount of cost sharing under this component range from 94 percent for those between 100 percent to 150 percent of the FPL, to 70 percent for those between 250 percent and 400 percent of the FPL.<sup>44</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>40</sup> 26 U.S.C. s. 36B(c).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>41</sup> Kaiser Family Foundation, *Summary of New Health Reform Law*, Last Modified April 15, 2011, <u>http://www.kff.org/healthreform/upload/8061.pdf</u> (last viewed Mar. 16, 2013).

 <sup>&</sup>lt;sup>42</sup> See Annual Update of the HHS Poverty Guidelines, 78 Fed. Reg. 5182, 5183 (January 24, 2013)
<u>https://www.federalregister.gov/articles/2013/01/24/2013-01422/annual-update-of-the-hhs-poverty-guidelines#t-1</u> (last visited Mar. 29, 2013).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>43</sup> Lisa Bowen Garrett, et al., The Urban Institute, *Premium and Cost Sharing Subsidies under Health Reform: Implications for Coverage, Costs and Affordability* (December 2009), <u>http://www.urban.org/UploadedPDF/411992 health reform.pdf</u> (last visited Mar. 16, 2013).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>44</sup> Kaiser Family Foundation, *Summary of New Health Reform Law*, Last Modified April 15, 2011, <u>http://www.kff.org/healthreform/upload/8061.pdf</u> (last viewed Mar. 16, 2013).

#### Select Committee on Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act

In December 2012, Florida Senate President Don Gaetz formed the Select Committee on the PPACA to launch a comprehensive assessment on the impact of the law on Florida, evaluate the state's options under the law, and to make recommendations to the full Senate membership on any actions necessary to mitigate cost increases, preserve a competitive insurance market, and protect Florida's consumers.<sup>45</sup> The Select Committee received public testimony, expert presentations, and staff reports over nine meetings before it developed three specific recommendations relating to the development of a health care exchange, coverage for certain state employees, and the expansion of Medicaid. On Medicaid, the Select Committee voted 7-4 to recommend to the full Senate to not expand Medicaid under the current state plan or pending waivers.<sup>46</sup> Following that vote, two alternative proposals for coverage of the population under 138 percent of the FPL that utilize the private insurance market were put forward for further discussion and debate.<sup>47</sup>

# III. Effect of Proposed Changes:

**Section 1** revises the legislative intent for the Florida Health Choices program to recognize the Health Choice Plus Program (HCP).

**Section 2** creates s. 408.9105, F.S., and a new program called Health Choice Plus (HCP). The HCP program is managed by the FHCC under its existing infrastructure and governance and provides a benefit program to uninsured Floridians under 100 percent of the FPL. The bill establishes health benefit accounts for enrollees with financial contributions from the enrollee, the state (subject to funding in the GAA), and other sources such as the enrollee's employer; and provides a marketplace for enrollees to purchase health care goods and services utilizing funds from health benefits account. Examples of products that may be purchased include, but are not limited to, discount medical plans, limited benefit plans, health flex plans, individual health insurance plans, bundled services, or other prepaid health care coverage.

The bill provides specific criteria for initial eligibility for HCP and conditions for continued enrollment. The bill requires that an enrolled individual meet the following conditions:

- Be a resident of Florida;
- Be between the ages of 19 and 64;
- Have a modified adjusted gross income of less than 100 percent of the FPL based on the individual's last tax return or other documentation;
- Be a United States citizen or a lawful permanent resident;
- Not be eligible for Medicaid;
- Not be eligible for employer sponsored coverage (with some exceptions); and,

<sup>47</sup> Id.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>45</sup> See Florida Senate, Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act, <u>http://www.flsenate.gov/topics/ppaca</u> (last visited: April 1, 2013).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>46</sup> Florida Senate Select Committee on Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act, *Letter to Senate President Don Gaetz on Medicaid Recommendation* <u>http://www.flsenate.gov/usercontent/topics/ppaca/03-12-13MedicaidRecommendation.pdf</u> (last visited: April 1, 2013).

• Meet criteria based on whether the enrollee meets the definition of a childless adult or parent/relative caretaker.

The bill requires HCP to establish guidelines for financial participation by enrollees. At a minimum, an enrollee is required to contribute \$20 per month towards his or her health benefit account. The enrollee contribution amount may be adjusted annually through the GAA. The amount paid into the account by the state will be determined by the FHCC based on the availability of state, local, or federal funding. The bill provides that the state contribution may not exceed \$10 per enrollee per month; however, this amount may be adjusted annually in the GAA. HCP is also directed to implement an employer based contribution option. An employer may contribute towards an employee's health benefits account, including making the entire payment amount, at any time.

The bill directs HCP to develop and maintain an education and public outreach campaign and to provide a secure website that provides information and facilitates the purchase of goods and services. Information must also be provided about other insurance affordability programs.

The bill requires that HCP must hold at least one open enrollment period per year, subject to available funding. Eligibility must be determined utilizing electronic means to the fullest extent possible. Once the program reaches its capacity, enrollment will cease. Enrollment may occur through the Florida Health Choices portal, a referral from the DCF, the Florida Healthy Kids Corporation, or an exchange as defined under the PPACA.

Once eligibility is confirmed, the bill directs the FHCC to determine the amount of funds that will be deposited into each enrollee's account based upon the availability of funds and other factors. Enrollees must make a financial contribution to their health benefits account in order to maintain enrollment and the FHCC is required to establish disenrollment criteria for non-payment of those minimum contributions. A maximum waiting period of one month prior to reinstatement to HCP for non-payment of any required payment may be imposed.

The bill requires the establishment of an optional incentives program for the achievement of healthy living goals. The program will establish annual healthy living goals and provide supplemental payments into an enrollee's health benefits account for meeting those goals, subject to the availability of funds.

The FHCC must establish the healthy living goals each fiscal year and publish the goals, procedures, and timeframes for the achievement of the goals by July 1 and distribute to new enrollees within 30 calendar days after enrollment. The bill directs HCP to publish goals for the 2014 calendar year by October 1, 2013. Bonus funds may accumulate in an enrollee's account until program termination.

The bill provides that continued enrollment in HCP and receipt of state contributions on the enrollee's behalf are contingent upon the enrollee obtaining a health assessment from a county health department, federally qualified health center, or other approved health care provider within the first three months of enrollment.

The following additional criteria apply based on the enrollee's category of eligibility:

Criteria	Childless Adult	Parent\Relative Caretaker
Any dependent child in the household must be enrolled in Medicaid or CHIP, if eligible		Х
Proof of 20 hours of employment or effort to seek employment; or, in lieu of employment volunteer hours at school or non-profit or enrollment as full-time student	X Volunteer hours - 20	X Volunteer hours – 10
Health Assessment in first 3 months	Х	Х
One preventive visit in first 6 months, repeat every 18 months thereafter	Х	Х

Failure to meet the ongoing eligibility criteria will result in the enrollee's disenrollment. One 30day extension may be granted by HCP to comply. If disenrolled, the enrollee may not re-apply for coverage until the next open enrollment period or 90 days, whichever occurs later.

Funds deposited into an enrollee's health benefits account may be used by the enrollee to offset health care costs or to purchase other health care services offered in the marketplace. Except for certain supplemental funds, funds deposited in an enrollee's account belong to the enrollee and are available for health care related expenditures. The bill provides that the optional bonus payments will be paid into the enrollee's account at the end of the quarter in which the goal was completed.

The bill requires the FHCC to establish a refund process for enrollees who request the closure of their health benefits accounts and the return of any unspent individual contributions. Enrollees may only be refunded funds that the enrollee or employer has contributed to their health benefits account. All other state funds revert to the FHCC.

HCP is authorized to accept funds from employers to deposit into their employees' health benefits accounts, when not in conflict with any other provisions of the bill. The FHCC is also permitted to accept state and federal funds or to seek other grants to help administer HCP. An assessment on vendors may be utilized to fund administration.

The bill specifically excludes HCP from the Florida Insurance Code and affirms that coverage under HCP is not insurance. The bill designates the coverage as a non-entitlement and affirms that a cause of action does not arise against the state, a local governmental entity, any other political subdivision of the state, or the FHCC or its board of directors, for failure to make coverage available to eligible persons or for the discontinuation of any coverage under HCP.

The bill requires the FHCC to include information about the program into its regular annual report. A separate evaluation of HCP is also required and is due to the governor and Legislature by January 1, 2016.

A program sunset clause is provided to repeal the program effective July 1, 2016, unless saved from repeal through re-enactment by the Legislature.

Section 3 provides an effective date of July 1, 2013.

# **Constitutional Issues:**

A. Municipality/County Mandates Restrictions:

None.

B. Public Records/Open Meetings Issues:

None.

C. Trust Funds Restrictions:

None.

#### IV. Fiscal Impact Statement:

A. Tax/Fee Issues:

None.

B. Private Sector Impact:

The bill contemplates the FHCC contracting with private providers of health care services and products to deliver health care benefits to an additional population of currently uninsured individuals who may or may not be seeking health care services now. Physicians, hospitals, and other health care providers may be impacted by additional individuals seeking health care coverage and there may be a higher demand for such services once implemented.

Additionally, those safety net and other providers who serve this same population and are not receiving compensation or are receiving reduced compensation for those services may have an additional avenue for revenue.

C. Government Sector Impact:

The bill requires enrollees to receive certain health assessments from county health departments, federally qualified health centers, or other approved health care providers as a condition of continued enrollment. For those county health departments with primary care services, there could be an increased demand for services as individuals seek to comply with this requirement.

In addition to the increased demand for services, the program includes state contributions to the health benefit accounts on a monthly basis and incentives for achievement on optional healthy living performance goals based on an initial enrollment of 60,000 members for 12 months. No federal funds are expected for this program.

The following is the estimated state fiscal impact for 60,000 members over 12 months:

		PMPM Enrollee	PMPM State	Annual State PMPM	TOTAL
Health Benefits Account Funds		\$20.00	\$10.00	\$120.00	\$7,200,000
Incentives					
\$25 Each Healthy Living Goal					
100% Achieve 2	\$3,000,000				\$3,000,000
25% Achieve 3	\$1,125,000				\$1,125,000
5% Achieve 4	\$300,000				\$300,000
2% Achieve 5	\$150,000				\$150,000
Administration (FHC)	\$1,500,000				\$1,500,000
Direct Services (Community and safety net provider supplement for HBAs)	\$2,000,000				\$2,000,000
Grand Total:					\$15,275,000

# V. Technical Deficiencies:

None.

### VI. Related Issues:

The FHCC will be receiving and reviewing medical records and personal health information of enrollees in the HCP. The exemption from public records under s. 408.910(14) F.S., only applies to the FHCC and enrollees and participants of the Florida Health Choices program. An exemption for the HCP would be appropriate to ensure that medical records and personal information of enrollees and applicants to the program would remain confidential and exempt from s. 119.07(1), F.S. and s. 24(a), Art. 1 of the State Constitution.

### VII. Additional Information:

A. Committee Substitute – Statement of Substantial Changes: (Summarizing differences between the Committee Substitute and the prior version of the bill.)

None.

B. Amendments:

None.

This Senate Bill Analysis does not reflect the intent or official position of the bill's introducer or the Florida Senate.