CS/HB 47 2013

A bill to be entitled

An act relating to firesafety devices; amending s. 633.025, F.S.; requiring certain battery-operated smoke alarms to meet specified standards; providing for applicability; conforming cross-references; providing an effective date.

Be It Enacted by the Legislature of the State of Florida:

Section 1. Subsections (9), (10), and (11) of section 633.025, Florida Statutes, are amended to read:

633.025 Minimum firesafety standards.-

(9) Notwithstanding subsection (10), effective January 1, 2014, any battery-operated smoke alarm that is newly installed or replaces an existing smoke alarm must be powered by a nonreplaceable, nonremovable battery capable of powering the smoke alarm for at least 10 years. This subsection does not apply to an electrically operated smoke alarm, a fire alarm system with a smoke detector, a fire alarm device that connects to a panel, or any similar device that uses a low-power radio frequency wireless communication signal.

(10) (9) The provisions of the Life Safety Code do shall not apply to newly constructed one-family and two-family dwellings. However, fire sprinkler protection may be permitted by local government in lieu of other fire protection-related development requirements for such structures. While local governments may adopt fire sprinkler requirements for one- and two-family dwellings under this subsection, it is the intent of

Page 1 of 3

CS/HB 47 2013

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

the Legislature that the economic consequences of the fire sprinkler mandate on home owners be studied before the enactment of such a requirement. After the effective date of this act, any local government that desires to adopt a fire sprinkler requirement on one- or two-family dwellings must prepare an economic cost and benefit report that analyzes the application of fire sprinklers to one- or two-family dwellings or any proposed residential subdivision. The report must consider the tradeoffs and specific cost savings and benefits of fire sprinklers for future owners of property. The report must include an assessment of the cost savings from any reduced or eliminated impact fees if applicable, the reduction in special fire district tax, insurance fees, and other taxes or fees imposed, and the waiver of certain infrastructure requirements including the reduction of roadway widths, the reduction of water line sizes, increased fire hydrant spacing, increased dead-end roadway length and a reduction in cul-de-sac sizes relative to the costs from fire sprinkling. A failure to prepare an economic report shall result in the invalidation of the fire sprinkler requirement to any one- or two-family dwelling or any proposed subdivision. In addition, a local jurisdiction or utility may not charge any additional fee, above what is charged to a non-fire sprinklered dwelling, on the basis that a one- or two-family dwelling unit is protected by a fire sprinkler system.

(11) (10) Before imposing a fire sprinkler requirement on any one- or two-family dwelling, a local government must provide the owner of any one- or two-family dwelling a letter

CS/HB 47 2013

documenting specific infrastructure or other tax or fee allowances and waivers that are listed in but not limited to those described in subsection $\underline{(10)}$ (9) for the dwelling. The documentation must show that the cost savings reasonably approximate the cost of the purchase and installation of a fire protection system.

57

58

59

60

61

62

63

64

65

66

67

68 69 (12) (11) Notwithstanding the provisions of subsection (10) (9), a property owner is shall not be required to install fire sprinklers in any residential property based upon the use of such property as a rental property or any change in or reclassification of the property's primary use to a rental property.

Section 2. This act shall take effect July 1, 2013.