

HB7015, Engrossed 1

A bill to be entitled

2 An act relating to expert testimony; amending s. 3 90.702, F.S.; providing that a witness gualified as an 4 expert by knowledge, skill, experience, training, or 5 education may testify in the form of an opinion as to 6 the facts at issue in a case under certain 7 circumstances; requiring the courts of this state to 8 interpret and apply the principles of expert testimony 9 in conformity with specified United States Supreme 10 Court decisions; subjecting pure opinion testimony to such requirements; amending s. 90.704, F.S.; providing 11 that facts or data that are otherwise inadmissible in 12 evidence may not be disclosed to the jury by the 13 proponent of the opinion or inference unless the court 14 determines that the probative value of the facts or 15 data in assisting the jury to evaluate the expert's 16 17 opinion substantially outweighs the prejudicial effect 18 of the facts or data; providing an effective date.

19

1

20 WHEREAS, the Supreme Court of the United States in Daubert 21 v. Merrell Dow Pharmaceuticals, Inc., 509 U.S. 579 (1993) 22 replaced the standard for expert testimony in all federal courts 23 that was first articulated in Frye v. United States, 293 F.2d 24 1013 (D.C. Cir 1923) with a new standard that is known as the 25 Daubert standard, and

WHEREAS, the United States Supreme Court has subsequently reaffirmed and refined the Daubert standard in the cases of General Electric Co. v. Joiner, 522 U.S. 136 (1997) and Kumho

Page 1 of 4

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

hb7015-01-e1

FLORIDA HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

HB7015, Engrossed 1

29 Tire Co. v. Carmichael, 526 U.S. 137 (1999), and 30 WHEREAS, Florida's Evidence Code is generally patterned after the Federal Rules of Evidence, 31 32 WHEREAS, Rule 702 of the Federal Rules of Evidence, 33 applicable to all federal courts, was amended in 2000 to reflect the holdings in Daubert v. Merrell Dow Pharmaceuticals, Inc., 34 35 509 U.S. 579 (1993), General Electric Co. v. Joiner, 522 U.S. 36 136 (1997), and Kumho Tire Co. v. Carmichael, 526 U.S. 137 37 (1999), and WHEREAS, as result of the 2000 amendment, Rule 702 of the 38 39 Federal Rules of Evidence provides that: 40 A witness who is qualified as an expert by knowledge, skill, experience, training, or education may testify in the 41 42 form of an opinion or otherwise if: 43 The expert's scientific, technical, or other (a) specialized knowledge will help the trier of fact to understand 44 45 the evidence or to determine a fact in issue; 46 (b) The testimony is based on sufficient facts or data; 47 (C) The testimony is the product of reliable principles 48 and methods; and 49 The expert has reliably applied the principles and (d) 50 methods to the facts of the case, and WHEREAS, by amending s. 90.702, Florida Statutes, to 51 52 pattern it after Rule 702 of the Federal Rules of Evidence as 53 amended in 2000, the Florida Legislature intends to adopt the 54 standards for expert testimony in the courts of this state as provided in Daubert v. Merrell Dow Pharmaceuticals, Inc., 509 55 56 U.S. 579 (1993), General Electric Co. v. Joiner, 522 U.S. 136

Page 2 of 4

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

hb7015-01-e1

FLORIDA HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

HB7015, Engrossed 1

57	(1997), and Kumho Tire Co. v. Carmichael, 526 U.S. 137 (1999),
58	and to no longer apply the standard in Frye v. United States,
59	293 F.2d 1013 (D.C. Cir 1923) in the courts of this state, and
60	WHEREAS, by amending s. 90.702, Florida Statutes, the
61	Florida Legislature intends to prohibit in the courts of this
62	state pure opinion testimony as provided in Marsh v. Valyou, 977
63	So.2d 543 (Fla. 2007), NOW, THEREFORE,
64	
65	Be It Enacted by the Legislature of the State of Florida:
66	
67	Section 1. Section 90.702, Florida Statutes, is amended to
68	read:
69	90.702 Testimony by expertsIf scientific, technical, or
70	other specialized knowledge will assist the trier of fact in
71	understanding the evidence or in determining a fact in issue, a
72	witness qualified as an expert by knowledge, skill, experience,
73	training, or education may testify about it in the form of an
74	opinion <u>or otherwise</u> , if:
75	(1) The testimony is based upon sufficient facts or data;
76	(2) The testimony is the product of reliable principles
77	and methods; and
78	(3) The witness has applied the principles and methods
79	reliably to the facts of the case; however, the opinion is
80	admissible only if it can be applied to evidence at trial.
81	Section 2. Section 90.704, Florida Statutes, is amended to
82	read:
83	90.704 Basis of opinion testimony by expertsThe facts or
84	data upon which an expert bases an opinion or inference may be
I	Page 3 of 4

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words <u>underlined</u> are additions.

FLORIDA HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

HB7015, Engrossed 1

85	those perceived by, or made known to, the expert at or before
86	the trial. If the facts or data are of a type reasonably relied
87	upon by experts in the subject to support the opinion expressed,
88	the facts or data need not be admissible in evidence. <u>Facts or</u>
89	data that are otherwise inadmissible may not be disclosed to the
90	jury by the proponent of the opinion or inference unless the
91	court determines that their probative value in assisting the
92	jury to evaluate the expert's opinion substantially outweighs
93	their prejudicial effect.
94	Section 3. This act shall take effect July 1, 2013.

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words <u>underlined</u> are additions.