2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

1314

15

16

17

18

19

2021

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

By the Committee on Judiciary; and Senators Stargel, Grimsley, Richter, Thrasher, Soto, and Altman

590-02284A-13 2013718c1

A bill to be entitled

An act relating to dissolution of marriage; amending s. 61.071, F.S.; requiring that alimony pendente lite be calculated in accordance with s. 61.08, F.S.; amending s. 61.08, F.S.; defining terms; revising factors to be considered for alimony awards; requiring a court to make written findings regarding the basis for awarding a combination of forms of alimony, including the type of alimony and length of time for which it is awarded; revising factors to be considered when deciding whether to award alimony; providing that an award of alimony granted automatically terminates without further action under certain circumstances; providing that the party seeking alimony has the burden of proof of demonstrating a need for alimony and that the other party has the ability to pay alimony; requiring the court to consider specified relevant factors when determining the proper type and amount of alimony; revising provisions relating to the protection of awards of alimony; revising provisions for an award of durational alimony; specifying criteria related to the rebuttable presumption to award or not to award alimony; deleting a provision authorizing permanent alimony; requiring written findings regarding the incomes and standard of living of the parties after dissolution of marriage; amending s. 61.09, F.S.; providing for the calculation of alimony; amending 61.13, F.S.; establishing a presumption that it is in the best interests of the

3132

33

34

35

36

37

38

3940

41

42

43

44

45

46 47

48

49 50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

590-02284A-13 2013718c1

child for the court to order equal time-sharing for each minor child; providing exceptions; providing for prospective application of the presumption in favor of equal time-sharing; amending s. 61.14, F.S.; authorizing a party to apply for an order to terminate the amount of support, maintenance, or alimony; requiring that an alimony order be modified upward upon a showing by clear and convincing evidence of an increased ability to pay alimony by the other party; prohibiting an increase in an obligor's income from being considered permanent in nature until it has been maintained for a specified period without interruption; providing an exemption from the reduction or termination of an alimony award in certain circumstances; providing that there is a rebuttable presumption that any modification or termination of an alimony award is retroactive to the date of the filing of the petition; providing for an award of attorney fees and costs if it is determined that an obligee unnecessarily or unreasonably litigates a petition for modification or termination of an alimony award; revising provisions relating to the effect of a supportive relationship on an award of alimony; providing that income and assets of the obligor's spouse or the person with whom the obligor resides may not be considered in the redetermination in a modification action; prohibiting an alimony award from being modified providing that if the court orders alimony concurrent with a child support order, the

alimony award may not be modified because of the later modification or termination of child support payments; providing that the attaining of retirement age is a substantial change in circumstances; requiring the court to consider certain factors in determining whether the obligor's retirement is reasonable; requiring a court to terminate or reduce an alimony award based on certain factors; amending s. 61.19, F.S.; authorizing separate adjudication of issues in a dissolution of marriage case in certain circumstances; providing for retroactive application of the act to alimony awards entered before July 1, 2013; providing allowable dates for the modification of such awards; providing an effective date.

Be It Enacted by the Legislature of the State of Florida:

Section 1. Section 61.071, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

61.071 Alimony pendente lite; suit money.—In every proceeding for dissolution of the marriage, a party may claim alimony and suit money in the petition or by motion, and if the petition is well founded, the court shall allow alimony calculated in accordance with s. 61.08 and a reasonable sum of suit money therefor. If a party in any proceeding for dissolution of marriage claims alimony or suit money in his or her answer or by motion, and the answer or motion is well founded, the court shall allow alimony calculated in accordance with s. 61.08 and a reasonable sum of suit money therefor.

Section 2. Section 61.08, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

61.08 Alimony.-

- (1) For purposes of this section, the term:
- (a) "Alimony" means a court-ordered payment of support by an obligor to an obligee after the dissolution of a marriage.
- (b) "Long-term marriage" means a marriage having a duration of 20 years or more, as measured from the date of the marriage to the date of filing the petition for dissolution.
- (c) "Mid-term marriage" means a marriage having a duration of more than 10 years but less than 20 years, as measured from the date of the marriage to the date of filing the petition for dissolution.
- (d) "Net income" means net income as determined in accordance with s. 61.30.
- (e) "Short-term marriage" means a marriage having a duration equal to or less than 10 years, as measured from the date of the marriage to the date of filing the petition for dissolution.
- (2) (a) (1) In a proceeding for dissolution of marriage, the court may grant alimony to either party in the form of, which alimony may be bridge-the-gap, rehabilitative, or durational alimony, or a permanent in nature or any combination of these forms of alimony, but shall prioritize an award of bridge-the-gap alimony, followed by rehabilitative alimony, over any other form of alimony. In an any award of alimony, the court may order periodic payments, or payments in lump sum, or both.
- (b) The court shall make written findings regarding the basis for awarding a combination of forms of alimony, including

590-02284A-13 2013718c1

the type of alimony and length of time for which it is awarded.

The court may award only a combination of forms of alimony to

provide greater economic assistance in order to allow the

recipient to achieve rehabilitation.

- (c) The court may consider the adultery of either party spouse and the circumstances thereof in determining the amount of alimony, if any, to be awarded.
- $\underline{\text{(d)}}$  In all dissolution actions, the court shall include  $\underline{\text{written}}$  findings of fact relative to the factors enumerated in subsection (3) $\frac{\text{(2)}}{\text{(2)}}$  supporting an award or denial of alimony.
- (e) An award of alimony granted under this section automatically terminates without further action of either party or the court upon the earlier of:
  - 1. The durational limits specified in this section; or
- 2. The obligor's normal retirement age for social security retirement benefits.

If the obligee proves by clear and convincing evidence that the need for alimony continues to exist and the court determines that the obligor continues to have the ability to pay, the court shall issue written findings justifying an extension of alimony consistent with the provisions of this section.

- (f) The clerk of the court shall, upon request, indicate in writing that an alimony obligation has terminated in accordance with paragraph (e), unless there is a pending motion before the court disputing the fulfillment of the alimony obligation.
- (3) (2) The party seeking alimony has the burden of proof of demonstrating a need for alimony in accordance with subsection (8) and that the other party has the ability to pay alimony. In

590-02284A-13 2013718c1

determining whether to award alimony or maintenance, the court shall first make, in writing, a specific factual determination as to whether the other either party has an actual need for alimony or maintenance and whether either party has the ability to pay alimony or maintenance. If the court finds that the a party seeking alimony has met its burden of proof in demonstrating a need for alimony or maintenance and that the other party has the ability to pay alimony or maintenance, then in determining the proper type and amount of alimony or maintenance under subsections (5)-(9)(5)-(8), the court shall consider all relevant factors, including, but not limited to:

- (a) The standard of living established during the marriage.
- (a) (b) The duration of the marriage.
- (b) (c) The age and the physical and emotional condition of each party.
- (c) (d) The financial resources of each party, including the portion of nonmarital assets that were relied upon by the parties during the marriage and the marital assets and liabilities distributed to each.
- (d) (e) The earning capacities, educational levels, vocational skills, and employability of the parties and, when applicable, the time necessary for either party to acquire sufficient education or training to enable such party to find appropriate employment.
- (e) (f) The contribution of each party to the marriage, including, but not limited to, services rendered in homemaking, child care, education, and career building of the other party.
- $\underline{\text{(f)}}$  (g) The responsibilities each party will have with regard to any minor children that the parties they have in

175 common.

(g) (h) The tax treatment and consequences to both parties of an any alimony award, which must be consistent with applicable state and federal tax laws and may include including the designation of all or a portion of the payment as a nontaxable, nondeductible payment.

- (h) (i) All sources of income available to either party, including income available to either party through investments of any asset held by that party which was acquired during the marriage or acquired outside the marriage and relied upon during the marriage.
- (i) The net income and standard of living available to each party after the application of the alimony award. There is a rebuttable presumption that both parties will have a lower standard of living after the dissolution of marriage than the standard of living they enjoyed during the marriage. This presumption may be overcome by a preponderance of the evidence.
- (j) Any other factor necessary to do equity and justice between the parties, if that factor is specifically identified in the award with findings of fact justifying the application of the factor.
- (4) (3) To the extent necessary to protect an award of alimony, the court may order any party who is ordered to pay alimony to purchase or maintain a decreasing term life insurance policy or a bond, or to otherwise secure such alimony award with any other assets that which may be suitable for that purpose, in an amount adequate to secure the alimony award. Any such security may be awarded only upon a showing of special circumstances. If the court finds special circumstances and

590-02284A-13 2013718c1

awards such security, the court must make specific evidentiary findings regarding the availability, cost, and financial impact on the obligated party. Any security may be modifiable in the event that the underlying alimony award is modified and shall be reduced in an amount commensurate with any reduction in the alimony award.

- (4) For purposes of determining alimony, there is a rebuttable presumption that a short-term marriage is a marriage having a duration of less than 7 years, a moderate-term marriage is a marriage having a duration of greater than 7 years but less than 17 years, and long-term marriage is a marriage having a duration of 17 years or greater. The length of a marriage is the period of time from the date of marriage until the date of filing of an action for dissolution of marriage.
- (5) Bridge-the-gap alimony may be awarded to assist a party by providing support to allow the party to make a transition from being married to being single. Bridge-the-gap alimony is designed to assist a party with legitimate identifiable short-term needs, and the length of an award may not exceed 2 years. An award of bridge-the-gap alimony terminates upon the death of either party or upon the remarriage of the party receiving alimony. An award of bridge-the-gap alimony <u>is</u> shall not be modifiable in amount or duration.
- (6) (a) Rehabilitative alimony may be awarded to assist a party in establishing the capacity for self-support through either:
  - 1. The redevelopment of previous skills or credentials; or
- 2. The acquisition of education, training, or work experience necessary to develop appropriate employment skills or

233 credentials.

234

235

236

237

238

239

240

241

2.42

243

244

245

246

247

248

249

250

251

252

253

254

255

256

257258

259

260

261

- (b) In order to award rehabilitative alimony, there must be a specific and defined rehabilitative plan which shall be included as a part of any order awarding rehabilitative alimony.
- (c) An award of rehabilitative alimony may be modified or terminated only during the rehabilitative period in accordance with s. 61.14 based upon a substantial change in circumstances, upon noncompliance with the rehabilitative plan, or upon completion of the rehabilitative plan.
- (7) Durational alimony may be awarded when permanent periodic alimony is inappropriate. The purpose of durational alimony is to provide a party with economic assistance for a set period of time following a short-term, mid-term, or long-term marriage of short or moderate duration or following a marriage of long duration if there is no ongoing need for support on a permanent basis. When awarding durational alimony, the court must make written findings that an award of another form of alimony or a combination of the other forms of alimony is not appropriate. An award of durational alimony terminates upon the death of either party or upon the remarriage of the party receiving alimony. The amount of an award of durational alimony shall may be modified or terminated based upon a substantial change in circumstances or upon the existence of a supportive relationship in accordance with s. 61.14. However, The length of an award of durational alimony may not be modified except under exceptional circumstances and may not exceed 50 percent of the length of the marriage, unless the party seeking alimony proves by clear and convincing evidence the circumstances justifying the need for a longer award of alimony, which circumstances must

2.62

590-02284A-13 2013718c1

be set out in writing by the court the length of the marriage.

- (8) (a) There is a rebuttable presumption against awarding alimony for a short-term marriage. A party seeking alimony may overcome this presumption by demonstrating by clear and convincing evidence a need for alimony. If the court finds that the party has met its burden in demonstrating a need for alimony and that the other party has the ability to pay alimony, the court shall determine a monthly award of alimony that may not exceed 20 percent of the obligor's monthly net income.
- (b) There is no presumption in favor of either party to an award of alimony for a mid-term marriage. A party seeking such alimony must prove by a preponderance of the evidence a need for alimony. If the court finds that the party has met its burden in demonstrating a need for alimony and that the other party has the ability to pay alimony, the court shall determine a monthly alimony obligation that may not exceed 30 percent of the obligor's monthly net income.
- (c) There is a rebuttable presumption in favor of awarding alimony for a long-term marriage. A party against whom alimony is sought may overcome this presumption by demonstrating by clear and convincing evidence that there is no need for alimony. If the court finds that the party against whom alimony is sought fails to meet its burden to demonstrate that there is no need for alimony and that the party has the ability to pay alimony, the court shall determine a monthly alimony obligation that may not exceed 33 percent of the obligor's monthly net income.
- (9) The court may order alimony exceeding the monthly net income limits established in subsection (8) if the court determines, in accordance with the factors in subsection (3),

590-02284A-13

291

292

293

294

295

296

297

298

299300

301

302

303 304

305

306

307308

309

310

311

312

313

314

315

316

317

318

319

section.

2013718c1

that there is a need for additional alimony, which determination must be set out in writing. Permanent alimony may be awarded to provide for the needs and necessities of life as they were established during the marriage of the parties for a party who lacks the financial ability to meet his or her needs and necessities of life following a dissolution of marriage. Permanent alimony may be awarded following a marriage of long duration if such an award is appropriate upon consideration of the factors set forth in subsection (2), following a marriage of moderate duration if such an award is appropriate based upon clear and convincing evidence after consideration of the factors set forth in subsection (2), or following a marriage of short duration if there are written findings of exceptional circumstances. In awarding permanent alimony, the court shall include a finding that no other form of alimony is fair and reasonable under the circumstances of the parties. An award of permanent alimony terminates upon the death of either party or upon the remarriage of the party receiving alimony. An award may be modified or terminated based upon a substantial change in circumstances or upon the existence of a supportive relationship in accordance with s. 61.14. (10) A party against whom alimony is sought who has met the requirements for retirement in accordance with s. 61.14(12) before the filing of the petition for dissolution is not required to pay alimony unless the party seeking alimony proves

(11) (9) Notwithstanding any other law, alimony may not be

by clear and convincing evidence the other party has the ability

to pay alimony, in addition to all other requirements of this

590-02284A-13 2013718c1

awarded to a party who has a monthly net income that is equal to or more than the other party. Except in the case of a long-term marriage, in awarding alimony, the court shall impute income to the obligor and obligee as follows:

- (a) In the case of the obligor, social security retirement benefits may not be imputed to the obligor, as demonstrated by a social security retirement benefits entitlement letter.
  - (b) In the case of the obligee, if the obligee:
- 1. Is unemployed at the time the petition is filed and has been unemployed for less than 1 year before the time of the filing of the petition, the obligee's monthly net income shall be imputed at 90 percent of the obligee's prior monthly net income.
- 2. Is unemployed at the time the petition is filed and has been unemployed for at least 1 year but less than 2 years before the time of the filing of the petition, the obligee's monthly net income shall be imputed at 80 percent of the obligee's prior monthly net income.
- 3. Is unemployed at the time the petition is filed and has been unemployed for at least 2 years but less than 3 years before the time of the filing of the petition, the obligee's monthly net income shall be imputed at 70 percent of the obligee's prior monthly net income.
- 4. Is unemployed at the time the petition is filed and has been unemployed for at least 3 years but less than 4 years before the time of the filing of the petition, the obligee's monthly net income shall be imputed at 60 percent of the obligee's prior monthly net income.
  - 5. Is unemployed at the time the petition is filed and has

353

354

355

356

357

358

359

360

361

362

363

364

365

366

367

368

369

370

371

372

373

374

375

376

377

590-02284A-13 2013718c1

349 been unemployed for at least 4 years but less than 5 years 350 before the time of the filing of the petition, the obligee's monthly net income shall be imputed at 50 percent of the 352 obligee's prior monthly net income.

- 6. Is unemployed at the time the petition is filed and has been unemployed for at least 5 years before the time of the filing of the petition, the obligee's monthly net income shall be imputed at 40 percent of the obligee's prior monthly net income, or the monthly net income of a minimum wage earner at the time of the filing of the petition, whichever is greater.
- 7. Proves by a preponderance of the evidence that he or she does not have the ability to earn the imputed income through reasonable means, the court shall reduce the imputation of income specified in this paragraph. The award of alimony may not leave the payor with significantly less net income than the net income of the recipient unless there are written findings of exceptional circumstances.
- (12)(a)<del>(10)(a)</del> With respect to any order requiring the payment of alimony entered on or after January 1, 1985, unless the provisions of paragraph (c) or paragraph (d) applies apply, the court shall direct in the order that the payments of alimony be made through the appropriate depository as provided in s. 61.181.
- (b) With respect to any order requiring the payment of alimony entered before January 1, 1985, upon the subsequent appearance, on or after that date, of one or both parties before the court having jurisdiction for the purpose of modifying or enforcing the order or in any other proceeding related to the order, or upon the application of either party, unless the

590-02284A-13 2013718c1

provisions of paragraph (c) or paragraph (d) applies apply, the court shall modify the terms of the order as necessary to direct that payments of alimony be made through the appropriate depository as provided in s. 61.181.

- (c) If there is no minor child, alimony payments need not be directed through the depository.
- (d)1. If there is a minor child of the parties and both parties so request, the court may order that alimony payments need not be directed through the depository. In this case, the order of support <u>must shall</u> provide, or be deemed to provide, that either party may subsequently apply to the depository to require that payments be made through the depository. The court shall provide a copy of the order to the depository.
- 2. If the provisions of subparagraph 1. applies apply, either party may subsequently file with the depository an affidavit alleging default or arrearages in payment and stating that the party wishes to initiate participation in the depository program. The party shall provide copies of the affidavit to the court and the other party or parties. Fifteen days after receipt of the affidavit, the depository shall notify all parties that future payments shall be directed to the depository.
- 3. In IV-D cases, the IV-D agency  $\underline{\text{has}}$  shall have the same rights as the obligee in requesting that payments be made through the depository.
- Section 3. Section 61.09, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:
- 61.09 Alimony and child support unconnected with dissolution.—If a person having the ability to contribute to the

maintenance of his or her spouse and support of his or her minor child fails to do so, the spouse who is not receiving support may apply to the court for alimony and for support for the child without seeking dissolution of marriage, and the court shall enter an order as it deems just and proper. Alimony awarded under this section shall be calculated in accordance with s. 61.08.

Section 4. Paragraph (c) of subsection (2) of section 61.13, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

61.13 Support of children; parenting and time-sharing; powers of court.—

(2)

- (c) The court shall determine all matters relating to parenting and time-sharing of each minor child of the parties in accordance with the best interests of the child and in accordance with the Uniform Child Custody Jurisdiction and Enforcement Act, except that modification of a parenting plan and time-sharing schedule requires a showing of a substantial, material, and unanticipated change of circumstances.
- 1. It is the public policy of this state that each minor child has frequent and continuing contact with both parents after the parents separate or the marriage of the parties is dissolved and to encourage parents to share the rights and responsibilities, and joys, of childrearing. There is no presumption for or against the father or mother of the child or for or against any specific time-sharing schedule when creating or modifying the parenting plan of the child. Equal time-sharing with a minor child by both parents is presumed to be in the best interests of the child unless the court finds that:

590-02284A-13 2013718c1

a. The safety, well-being, and physical, mental, and emotional health of the child would be endangered by equal time-sharing, that visitation would be presumed detrimental consistent with s. 39.0139(3), or that supervised visitation is appropriate, if any is appropriate;

- b. Clear and convincing evidence of extenuating circumstances justify a departure from equal time-sharing and the court makes written findings justifying the departure from equal time-sharing;
  - c. A parent is incarcerated;
- d. The distance between parental residences makes equal
  time-sharing impracticable;
- e. A parent does not request at least 50 percent timesharing;
- <u>f. A parent has been convicted of a misdemeanor of the</u> first degree or higher involving domestic violence; or
- g. A parent is subject to an injunction for protection against domestic violence.
- 2. The court shall order that the parental responsibility for a minor child be shared by both parents unless the court finds that shared parental responsibility would be detrimental to the child. Evidence that a parent has been convicted of a misdemeanor of the first degree or higher involving domestic violence, as defined in s. 741.28 and chapter 775, or meets the criteria of s. 39.806(1)(d), creates a rebuttable presumption of detriment to the child. If the presumption is not rebutted after the convicted parent is advised by the court that the presumption exists, shared parental responsibility, including time-sharing with the child, and decisions made regarding the

590-02284A-13 2013718c1

child, may not be granted to the convicted parent. However, the convicted parent is not relieved of any obligation to provide financial support. If the court determines that shared parental responsibility would be detrimental to the child, it may order sole parental responsibility and make such arrangements for time-sharing as specified in the parenting plan as will best protect the child or abused spouse from further harm. Whether or not there is a conviction of any offense of domestic violence or child abuse or the existence of an injunction for protection against domestic violence, the court shall consider evidence of domestic violence or child abuse as evidence of detriment to the child.

- a. In ordering shared parental responsibility, the court may consider the expressed desires of the parents and may grant to one party the ultimate responsibility over specific aspects of the child's welfare or may divide those responsibilities between the parties based on the best interests of the child. Areas of responsibility may include education, health care, and any other responsibilities that the court finds unique to a particular family.
- b. The court shall order sole parental responsibility for a minor child to one parent, with or without time-sharing with the other parent if it is in the best interests of the minor child.

  3. Access to records and information pertaining to a minor child, including, but not limited to, medical, dental, and school records, may not be denied to either parent. Full rights under this subparagraph apply to either parent unless a court order specifically revokes these rights, including any restrictions on these rights as provided in a domestic violence

590-02284A-13 2013718c1

injunction. A parent having rights under this subparagraph has the same rights upon request as to form, substance, and manner of access as are available to the other parent of a child, including, without limitation, the right to in-person communication with medical, dental, and education providers.

Statutes, which creates a presumption in favor of equal time-sharing applies prospectively to initial final custody orders made on or after July 1, 2013. The amendments do not constitute a substantial change in circumstances which warrant the modification of a final custody order entered before July 1, 2013.

Section 6. Subsection (1) of section 61.14, Florida Statutes, is amended, paragraph (c) is added to subsection (11) of that section, and subsection (12) is added to that section, to read:

- 61.14 Enforcement and modification of support, maintenance, or alimony agreements or orders.—
- (1) (a) When the parties enter into an agreement for payments for, or instead of, support, maintenance, or alimony, whether in connection with a proceeding for dissolution or separate maintenance or with any voluntary property settlement, or when a party is required by court order to make any payments, and the circumstances or the financial ability of either party changes or the child who is a beneficiary of an agreement or court order as described herein reaches majority after the execution of the agreement or the rendition of the order, either party may apply to the circuit court of the circuit in which the parties, or either of them, resided at the date of the execution

524

525

526

527

528

529

530

531532

533

534

535536

537

538

539

540

541542

543

544

545

546

547548

549

550

551

590-02284A-13 2013718c1

of the agreement or reside at the date of the application, or in which the agreement was executed or in which the order was rendered, for an order terminating, decreasing, or increasing the amount of support, maintenance, or alimony, and the court has jurisdiction to make orders as equity requires, with due regard to the changed circumstances or the financial ability of the parties or the child, decreasing, increasing, or confirming the amount of separate support, maintenance, or alimony provided for in the agreement or order. A finding that medical insurance is reasonably available or the child support guidelines schedule in s. 61.30 may constitute changed circumstances. Except as otherwise provided in s. 61.30(11)(c), the court may modify an order of support, maintenance, or alimony by terminating, increasing, or decreasing the support, maintenance, or alimony retroactively to the date of the filing of the action or supplemental action for modification as equity requires, giving due regard to the changed circumstances or the financial ability of the parties or the child.

- (b) 1. An alimony order shall be modified upward upon a showing by clear and convincing evidence of an increased ability to pay alimony. Clear and convincing evidence must include, but need not limited to, federal tax returns. An increase in an obligor's income may not be considered permanent in nature unless the increase has been maintained without interruption for at least 2 years, taking into account the obligor's ability to sustain his or her income.
- $\underline{\text{2.1.}}$  Notwithstanding subparagraph 1., the court shall may reduce or terminate an award of alimony upon specific written findings by the court that since the granting of a divorce and

590-02284A-13 2013718c1

the award of alimony, a supportive relationship has existed between the obligee and another a person, except upon a showing by clear and convincing evidence by the obligee that his or her long-term need for alimony, taking into account the totality of the circumstances, has not been reduced by the supportive relationship with whom the obligee resides. On the issue of whether alimony should be reduced or terminated under this paragraph, the burden is on the obligor to prove by a preponderance of the evidence that a supportive relationship exists.

- 3.2. In determining whether an existing award of alimony should be reduced or terminated because of an alleged supportive relationship between an obligee and a person who is not related by consanguinity or affinity and with whom the obligee resides, the court shall elicit the nature and extent of the relationship in question. The court shall give consideration, without limitation, to circumstances, including, but not limited to, the following, in determining the relationship of an obligee to another person:
- a. The extent to which the obligee and the other person have held themselves out as a married couple by engaging in conduct such as using the same last name, using a common mailing address, referring to each other in terms such as "my husband" or "my wife," or otherwise conducting themselves in a manner that evidences a permanent supportive relationship.
- b. The period of time that the obligee has resided with the other person in a permanent place of abode.
- c. The extent to which the obligee and the other person have pooled their assets or income or otherwise exhibited

581 financial interdependence.

- d. The extent to which the obligee or the other person has supported the other, in whole or in part.
- e. The extent to which the obligee or the other person has performed valuable services for the other.
- f. The extent to which the obligee or the other person has performed valuable services for the other's company or employer.
- g. Whether the obligee and the other person have worked together to create or enhance anything of value.
- h. Whether the obligee and the other person have jointly contributed to the purchase of any real or personal property.
- i. Evidence in support of a claim that the obligee and the other person have an express agreement regarding property sharing or support.
- j. Evidence in support of a claim that the obligee and the other person have an implied agreement regarding property sharing or support.
- k. Whether the obligee and the other person have provided support to the children of one another, regardless of any legal duty to do so.
- 4.3. This paragraph does not abrogate the requirement that every marriage in this state be solemnized under a license, does not recognize a common law marriage as valid, and does not recognize a de facto marriage. This paragraph recognizes only that relationships do exist that provide economic support equivalent to a marriage and that alimony terminable on remarriage may be reduced or terminated upon the establishment of equivalent equitable circumstances as described in this paragraph. The existence of a conjugal relationship, though it

590-02284A-13 2013718c1

may be relevant to the nature and extent of the relationship, is not necessary for the application of the provisions of this paragraph.

- 5. There is a rebuttable presumption that any modification or termination of an alimony award is retroactive to the date of the filing of the petition. In an action under this section, if it is determined that the obligee unnecessarily or unreasonably litigated the underlying petition for modification or termination, the court may award the obligor his or her reasonable attorney fees and costs pursuant to s. 61.16 and applicable case law.
- (c) For each support order reviewed by the department as required by s. 409.2564(11), if the amount of the child support award under the order differs by at least 10 percent but not less than \$25 from the amount that would be awarded under s. 61.30, the department shall seek to have the order modified and any modification shall be made without a requirement for proof or showing of a change in circumstances.
- (d) The department  $\underline{\text{may}}$  shall have authority to adopt rules to administer  $\underline{\text{implement}}$  this section.

(11)

- (c) If the court orders alimony payable concurrent with a child support order, the alimony award may not be modified solely because of a later reduction or termination of child support payments, unless the alimony award as determined by the court at the time of dissolution is insufficient to meet the needs of the obligee.
- (12) (a) The fact that an obligor has reached a reasonable retirement age for his or her profession, has retired, and has

no intent to return to work, or has reached the normal retirement age for social security benefits, is considered a substantial change in circumstances as a matter of law. An obligor who has reached the normal retirement age for social security benefits shall be considered to have reached a reasonable retirement age. With regard to an obligor who has retired before the normal retirement age for social security benefits, the court shall consider the following in determining whether the obligor's retirement age is reasonable:

1. Age.

- 2. Health.
- 3. Type of work.
- 4. Normal retirement age for that type of work.
- (b) In anticipation of retirement, the obligor may file a petition for termination or modification of the alimony award effective upon the earlier of the retirement date or the date the obligor reaches the normal retirement age for social security benefits. The court shall terminate the award or reduce the award based on the circumstances of the parties after retirement and based on the factors in s. 61.08, unless the obligee proves by clear and convincing evidence that the need for alimony at the present level continues to exist and that the obligor's ability to pay has not been diminished.
- Section 7. Section 61.19, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:
- 61.19 Entry of judgment of dissolution of marriage: $_{\overline{\tau}}$  delay period; separate adjudication of issues.—
- $\underline{\text{(1)}}$  A No final judgment of dissolution of marriage may  $\underline{\text{not}}$  be entered until at least 20 days have elapsed from the date of

590-02284A-13 2013718c1

filing the original petition for dissolution of marriage, + but the court, on a showing that injustice would result from this delay, may enter a final judgment of dissolution of marriage at an earlier date.

- (2) (a) During the first 180 days after the date of service of the original petition for dissolution of marriage, the court may not grant a final dissolution of marriage with a reservation of jurisdiction to subsequently determine all other substantive issues unless the court makes written findings that there are exceptional circumstances that make the use of this process clearly necessary to protect the parties or their children and that granting a final dissolution will not cause irreparable harm to either party or the children. Before granting a final dissolution of marriage with a reservation of jurisdiction to subsequently determine all other substantive issues, the court shall enter temporary orders necessary to protect the parties and their children, which orders remain effective until all other issues can be adjudicated by the court. The desire of one party to remarry does not justify the use of this process.
- (b) If more than 180 days have elapsed after the date of service of the original petition for dissolution of marriage, the court may grant a final dissolution of marriage with a reservation of jurisdiction to subsequently determine all other substantive issues only if the court enters temporary orders necessary to protect the parties and their children, which orders remain effective until such time as all other issues can be adjudicated by the court, and makes a written finding that no irreparable harm will result from granting a final dissolution.
  - (c) If more than 365 days have elapsed after the date of

590-02284A-13 2013718c1

service of the original petition for dissolution of marriage, absent a showing by either party that irreparable harm will result from granting a final dissolution, the court shall, upon request of either party, immediately grant a final dissolution of marriage with a reservation of jurisdiction to subsequently determine all other substantive issues. Before granting a final dissolution of marriage with a reservation of jurisdiction to subsequently determine all other substantive issues, the court shall enter temporary orders necessary to protect the parties and their children, which orders remain effective until all other issues can be adjudicated by the court.

- (d) The temporary orders necessary to protect the parties and their children entered before granting a dissolution of marriage without an adjudication of all substantive issues may include, but are not limited to, temporary orders that:
  - 1. Restrict the sale or disposition of property.
  - 2. Protect and preserve the marital assets.
  - 3. Establish temporary support.
  - 4. Provide for maintenance of health insurance.
  - 5. Provide for maintenance of life insurance.
- (e) The court is not required to enter temporary orders to protect the parties and their children if the court enters a final judgment of dissolution of marriage which adjudicates substantially all of the substantive issues between the parties but reserves jurisdiction to address ancillary issues such as the entry of a qualified domestic relations order or the adjudication of attorney fees and costs.
- Section 8. (1) The amendments to chapter 61, Florida

  Statutes, made by this act apply to all initial awards of, and

736

737

738

739

740

741

742

743

744

745

746

747

748

749750

751

752

753

754

590-02284A-13 2013718c1

726 agreements for, alimony entered before July 1, 2013, and to all 727 modifications of such awards or agreements made before July 1, 728 2013, with the exception of agreements that are expressly nonmodifiable. Such amendments may serve as a basis to modify 729 730 awards entered before July 1, 2013, or as a basis to change the 731 amount or duration of an award existing before July 1, 2013. 732 Such amendments also serve as a basis to modify an agreement for 733 alimony, unless the agreement is expressly nonmodifiable, if the 734 agreement is 25 percent or more in duration or amount than an

(2) An obligor whose initial award or modification of such award was made before July 1, 2013, may file a modification action according to the following schedule:

alimony award calculated under the amendments made by this act.

- (a) An obligor who was married to the alimony recipient 8 years or less may file a modification action on or after July 1, 2013.
- (b) An obligor who was married to the alimony recipient 8 years or more, but less than 15 years, may file a modification action on or after July 1, 2014.
- (c) An obligor who has agreed to durational alimony of less than 10 years may file a modification action on or after July 1, 2015.
- (3) An obligor whose initial agreement or modification of such agreement was made before July 1, 2013, may file a modification action according to the following schedule:
- (a) An obligor who has agreed to permanent alimony may file a modification action on or after July 1, 2013.
- (b) An obligor who has agreed to durational alimony of 10 years or more may file a modification action on or after July 1,

590-02284A-13	2013718c1
2014.	
(c) An obligor who has agreed to durational alimor	ny of more
than 5 years but less than 10 years may file a modification	
action on or after July 1, 2015.	
Section 9. This act shall take effect July 1, 2013	3.

Page 27 of 27