House Memorial

A memorial to the Congress of the United States, urging the United States House of Representatives to support passage of the Marketplace Fairness Act of 2013.

WHEREAS, in 1967, the Supreme Court of the United States ruled in National Bellas Hess v. Illinois Department of Revenue, 386 U.S. 753 (1967), that a state may not require collection of a sales and use tax on the sale of goods by out-of-state sellers that have no physical presence in the taxing state, and

WHEREAS, states have consequently been unsuccessful at enforcing their own sales and use tax laws on sales by out-of-state, catalog, and online sellers, and

WHEREAS, in 1992, the Supreme Court of the United States acknowledged in *Quill Corp. v. North Dakota*, 504 U.S. 298 (1992), that the United States Congress may confer upon the states the authority to require out-of-state sellers to collect sales and use taxes on these remote sales, effectively overruling *Bellas Hess*, stating that "Congress is now free to decide whether, when, and to what extent the states may burden interstate [commerce] with a duty to collect use taxes," and

WHEREAS, the United States Congress has been debating solutions for more than two decades, forcing some states to take action, leading to greater confusion and distortion of the marketplace, and

WHEREAS, since 1999, state legislators, governors, local elected officials, state tax administrators, and representatives

Page 1 of 7

of the private sector have worked to modernize tax collections, promote e-fairness, and develop a Streamlined Sales and Use Tax Collection System for the 21st Century, and

WHEREAS, between 2001 and 2002, 35 states, including Florida, enacted legislation expressing the intent of the state to simplify its sales and use tax collection system and to participate in multistate discussions to finalize and ratify an interstate agreement to streamline the collection of state sales and use taxes, and

WHEREAS, on November 12, 2002, these states unanimously ratified the Streamlined Sales and Use Tax Agreement, which substantially simplifies state and local sales tax systems, removes the burdens to interstate commerce which were of concern to the Supreme Court, and protects state sovereignty, and

WHEREAS, this agreement, resulting from a cooperative effort of 44 states, the District of Columbia, local governments, and the business community to simplify sales and use tax collection and administration by retailers and states, minimizes costs and administrative burdens on retailers, particularly retailers operating in multiple states; encourages "remote sellers" selling over the Internet and by mail order to collect tax on sales to customers living in states that have ratified the agreement; levels the playing field so that local "brick-and-mortar" stores and remote sellers are able to operate under the same rules; and ensures that all retailers can conduct their business in a fair, competitive environment, and

WHEREAS, the Streamlined Sales and Use Tax Agreement provides the states with a blueprint to create a simplified

sales and use tax collection system that, when implemented, allows justification for the United States Congress to overrule Bellas Hess under its federal Commerce Clause powers, and

WHEREAS, Arkansas, Georgia, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Kentucky, Michigan, Minnesota, Nebraska, Nevada, New Jersey, North Carolina, North Dakota, Ohio, Oklahoma, Rhode Island, South Dakota, Tennessee, Utah, Vermont, Washington, West Virginia, Wisconsin, and Wyoming have passed legislation to conform to the Streamlined Sales and Use Tax Agreement, and conforming legislation has been recently introduced in Texas, Massachusetts, Florida, Illinois, Virginia, Missouri, Maine, California, and Hawaii, and

WHEREAS, of the 44 states engaged in the effort to simplify sales and use tax collection and administration, 24 states, with a total population of 92,781,860 and representing 33 percent of the country's population, have passed conforming legislation, and

WHEREAS, on average, states depend on sales and use taxes for 20 percent of their annual revenue, and, therefore, at a time when state budgets are increasingly under pressure, Congress should give states the ability to enforce their own laws, and

WHEREAS, computing capabilities have advanced significantly in recent years, thereby relieving some state merchants of the otherwise overwhelming burden of assessing the taxes owed to individual states and local jurisdictions, and

WHEREAS, the need for modernization is evidenced by the exponential growth of total e-commerce sales by an estimated 300

percent from \$1 trillion in 1999 to \$4 trillion in 2012, and WHEREAS, the nationwide total revenue loss to the states, which is expected to rise while states lack the authority to require out-of-state sellers to collect sales and use taxes on remote sales, is estimated to have been as much as \$56.3 billion from 2007 to 2012, and

WHEREAS, this estimated revenue loss may have cost Florida hundreds of millions of dollars to several billions of dollars per year in lost tax revenue, with some estimates indicating losses to the state of as much as \$3.9 billion from 2007 to 2012, and

WHEREAS, local Florida retailers who make sales at their Florida stores experience a tax inequity under the de facto sales tax exemption for Internet and mail order sales because these traditional "brick and mortar" businesses on our "main streets" must apply and collect sales tax, while out-of-state sellers having no physical presence in this state do not, and

WHEREAS, there exists an unfair "digital divide" under which higher-income households are much more likely to have the resources to own a computer, have Internet access, and have a credit card to make de facto exempt, remote purchases, while low-income consumers without the resources to shop online or by mail, who are consigned to shopping in local stores, bear more than their fair share of state sales tax collections, and

WHEREAS, thousands of businesses are forced to do business at a competitive disadvantage because they have to collect taxes that online sellers do not, which in some states can mean a 5 to 10 percent price advantage, and

WHEREAS, consumers are required under state laws to pay sales and use taxes on the goods they purchase, but online sellers simply are not required to collect the tax in the same way that local businesses do, which more often than not puts local businesses at a disadvantage and can lead to consumers being audited and charged with penalties for failing to pay sales and use taxes, and

WHEREAS, all too often states are unable to enforce this tax collection requirement or unwilling to enforce such requirement due to policy considerations concerning the privacy of individuals, and

WHEREAS, small-volume sellers should be protected from any new collection requirements and accorded an exemption if they sell less than \$500,000 in online sales annually, and

WHEREAS, on April 16, 2013, the Marketplace Fairness Act, filed as S.743, was introduced in the 113th Congress by Senator Michael B. Enzi of Wyoming to authorize each member state under the Streamlined Sales and Use Tax Agreement to require all sellers not qualifying for a small-seller exception to collect and remit sales and use taxes with respect to remote sales and allow a state that is not a member state under the agreement to require sellers to collect and remit sales and use taxes with respect to remote sales sourced to such state if the state adopts and implements certain minimum simplification requirements, and

WHEREAS, the United States Senate passed the Marketplace Fairness Act on May 6, 2013, by a vote of 69-27, and the President has indicated that he would sign the legislation, and

WHEREAS, the United States House of Representatives should pass legislation allowing states to enforce their existing sales and use tax laws and to treat similar sales transactions equally, without regard to the manner in which the sale is transacted, and the right to collect or decide not to collect taxes already owed under state law, and

WHEREAS, the present lack of state authority threatens the continued ability of states that are dependent on such revenue to rely on sales and use taxes as a stable revenue source for state and local governments, and

WHEREAS, Florida is resolved to modernize and address the complexities of the current sales and use tax collection system, and

WHEREAS, Internet-based commerce continues to grow, and states will be unable to collect billions in revenue unless the United States House of Representatives acts, NOW, THEREFORE,

Be It Resolved by the Legislature of the State of Florida:

That the United States House of Representatives is urged to pass the Marketplace Fairness Act of 2013 or similar legislation that allows each state to enforce its existing sales and use tax laws, treating similar sales transactions equally without regard to the manner in which the sales are transacted; permits each state to collect or forfeit the collection of taxes already owed under state law; and authorizes each state that ratifies the Streamlined Sales and Use Tax Agreement to require out-of-state sellers to collect and remit its sales and use tax.

Page 6 of 7

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that copies of this memorial be dispatched to the President of the United States, to the President of the United States Senate, to the Speaker of the United States House of Representatives, and to each member of the Florida delegation to the United States Congress.

169

170

171

172

173

Page 7 of 7