

	LEGISLATIVE ACTION	
Senate	•	House
Comm: RCS	•	
04/24/2014	•	
	•	
	•	
	•	

The Committee on Appropriations (Montford) recommended the following:

Senate Amendment to Amendment (356190) (with title amendment)

Delete lines 468 - 523

and insert:

1 2

3 4

5

6 7

8

9

10

(1) By December 31, 2014, the department shall adopt rules to fund pilot projects that test the effectiveness of innovative or existing nutrient reduction or water conservation technologies or practices designed to minimize nutrient pollution in the springs of this state. The department may

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39



approve funding for pilot projects each funding cycle if the department determines that the pilot project will not be harmful to the ecological resources in the study area.

- (2) By December 31, 2014, the department shall adopt rules to evaluate, rank, and select projects eligible for funding under this part or land acquisition pursuant to s. 201.15(1)(c)3.b. In developing these rules, the department shall give preference to the projects that will result in the greatest improvement to water quality and water quantity for the dollars to be expended for the project. At a minimum, the department shall consider:
- (a) The level of nutrient impairment of the Outstanding Florida Spring in which the project is located.
- (b) The quantity of pollutants, particularly total nitrogen, the project is estimated to remove from an Outstanding Florida Spring with an adopted nutrient total maximum daily load.
- (c) The flow necessary to restore the Outstanding Florida Spring to its adopted minimum flow or minimum water level.
- (d) The anticipated impact the project will have on restoring or increasing water flow or water level.
- (e) The amount of matching funds for the project that will be provided by the entities responsible for implementing the project.
- (f) Whether the project is located in a rural area of critical state concern, as defined in s. 288.0656, with preference given to the local government responsible for implementing the project.
 - (g) For multiple-year projects, whether the project has



funding sources that are identified and assured through the 40 41 expected completion date of the project. (h) The cost of the project and the length of time it will 42 43 take to complete relative to its expected benefits. 44 (i) Whether the entities responsible for implementing the 45 project, since July 1, 2009, have used their own funds for 46 projects to improve water quality or conserve water use within a 47 springshed or spring protection and management zone of an Outstanding Florida Spring, with preference given to those 48 49 entities that have expended such funds. 50 ========= T I T L E A M E N D M E N T ========== 51 52 And the title is amended as follows: 53 Delete lines 648 - 649 54 and insert: 55 373.809, F.S.;

Page 3 of 3