House

Florida Senate - 2015 Bill No. CS for SB 616

245182
--------

LEGISLATIVE ACTION .

Senate Comm: UNFAV 03/23/2015

Appropriations Subcommittee on Education (Bullard) recommended the following:

Senate Amendment to Amendment (587488) (with title amendment)

Delete lines 398 - 534

and insert:

6 school improvement ratings pursuant to s. 1008.341 for the 2014-7 2015 and 2015-2016 <del>2013-2014</del> school years <del>year shall be</del> calculated based on statutes and rules in effect on June 30, 2014. To assist in the transition to 2014-2015 school grades, 9

calculated based on new statewide, standardized assessments

10

8

1 2

3

4 5

245182

11 administered pursuant to s. 1008.22, the 2014-2015 school grades 12 shall serve as an informational baseline for schools to work 13 toward improved performance in future years. Accordingly, 14 notwithstanding any other provision of law: 15 (a) A school may not be required to select and implement a 16 turnaround option pursuant to s. 1008.33 in the 2015-2016 or 17 2016-2017 school year if based on the school receives a school 18 grade that is two or more grades lower or a lower school's 2014-19 2015 grade or school improvement rating for the 2014-2015 school year compared to the 2013-2014 school year or for the 2015-2016 20 21 school year compared to the 2014-2015 school year under s. 22 1008.341, as applicable. 23 (b)1. A school or approved provider under s. 1002.45 that 24 receives the same or a lower school grade that is two or more 25 grades lower or a lower school improvement rating for the 2014-26 2015 school year compared to the 2013-2014 school year or for 27 the 2015-2016 school year compared to the 2014-2015 school year 28 is not subject to sanctions or penalties that would otherwise 29 occur as a result of the 2014-2015 or 2015-2016 school grade or 30 rating. A charter school system or a school district designated 31 as high performing may not lose the designation based on the 32 2014-2015 or 2015-2016 school grades of any of the schools 33 within the charter school system or school district, as 34 applicable.

35 2. The Florida School Recognition Program established under
36 s. 1008.36 shall continue to be implemented as otherwise
37 provided in the General Appropriations Act.

38 (c) For purposes of determining grade 3 retention pursuant39 to s. 1008.25(5) and high school graduation pursuant to s.

COMMITTEE AMENDMENT

Florida Senate - 2015 Bill No. CS for SB 616

245182

40 1003.4282, student performance on the 2014-2015 statewide, 41 standardized assessments shall be linked to 2013-2014 student 42 performance expectations.

This subsection is repealed July 1, 2017. 44

Section 7. Paragraphs (b) and (c) of subsection (1), paragraphs (a), (b), and (c) of subsection (3), and subsections (6), (7), (8), and (10) of section 1012.34, Florida Statutes, are amended to read:

49

43

45

46 47

48

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

61 62

63 64

68

1012.34 Personnel evaluation procedures and criteria.-

(1) EVALUATION SYSTEM APPROVAL AND REPORTING.-

(b) The department must approve each school district's instructional personnel and school administrator evaluation systems. The department shall monitor each district's implementation of its instructional personnel and school administrator evaluation systems for compliance with the requirements of this section and s. 1012.3401.

(c) Annually, by December 1, the Commissioner of Education shall report to the Governor, the President of the Senate, and the Speaker of the House of Representatives the approval and implementation status of each school district's instructional personnel and school administrator evaluation systems. The report shall include:

1. Performance evaluation results for the prior school year for instructional personnel and school administrators using the 65 four levels of performance specified in paragraph (2)(e). The 66 performance evaluation results for instructional personnel shall 67 be disaggregated by classroom teachers, as defined in s. 1012.01(2)(a), excluding substitute teachers, and all other

Page 3 of 7

69

71

72

73

74

75

76

77

78

79

80

245182

instructional personnel, as defined in s. 1012.01(2)(b)-(d). 70 2. An analysis that compares performance evaluation results calculated by each school district to indicators of performance calculated by the department using the standards for performance levels adopted by the state board under subsection (8).

3. The commissioner shall include in the report Each district's performance-level standards established under subsection (7)., a comparative analysis of the district's student academic performance results and evaluation results,

4. Data reported under s. 1012.341, and the status of any evaluation system revisions requested by a school district pursuant to subsection (6).

81 (3) EVALUATION PROCEDURES AND CRITERIA.-Instructional 82 personnel and school administrator performance evaluations must 83 be based upon the performance of students assigned to their 84 classrooms or schools, as provided in this section. Pursuant to this section, a school district's performance evaluation is not 85 86 limited to basing unsatisfactory performance of instructional 87 personnel and school administrators solely upon student 88 performance, but may include other criteria approved to evaluate 89 instructional personnel and school administrators' performance, 90 or any combination of student performance and other approved 91 criteria. Evaluation procedures and criteria must comply with, but are not limited to, the following: 92

93 (a) A performance evaluation must be conducted for each 94 employee at least once a year, except that a classroom teacher, 95 as defined in s. 1012.01(2)(a), excluding substitute teachers, 96 who is newly hired by the district school board must be observed 97 and evaluated at least twice in the first year of teaching in

602 - 02487 - 15



98 the school district. The performance evaluation must be based 99 upon sound educational principles and contemporary research in 100 effective educational practices. The evaluation criteria must 101 include:

102 1. Performance of students.-At least 33 50 percent of a 103 performance evaluation must be based upon data and indicators of 104 student learning growth assessed annually by statewide 105 assessments or, for subjects and grade levels not measured by 106 statewide assessments, by school district assessments pursuant 107 to subsection (7) as provided in s. 1008.22(6). Each school 108 district must use the formula adopted pursuant to paragraph 109 (7) (a) for measuring student learning growth in all courses 110 associated with statewide assessments and must select an equally 111 appropriate formula for measuring student learning growth for 112 all other grades and subjects, except as otherwise provided in 113 subsection (7).

a. For classroom teachers, as defined in s. 1012.01(2)(a), 114 115 excluding substitute teachers, the student learning growth 116 portion of the evaluation must include growth data for students 117 assigned to the teacher over the course of at least 3 years. If 118 less than 3 years of data are available, the years for which 119 data are available must be used and the percentage of the 120 evaluation based upon student learning growth may be reduced to 121 not less than 30 40 percent.

b. For instructional personnel who are not classroom teachers, the student learning growth portion of the evaluation must include growth data on statewide assessments for students assigned to the instructional personnel over the course of at least 3 years, or may include a combination of student learning



127 growth data and other measurable student outcomes that are 128 specific to the assigned position, provided that the student learning growth data accounts for not less than 30 percent of 129 130 the evaluation. If less than 3 years of student growth data are 131 available, the years for which data are available must be used 132 and the percentage of the evaluation based upon student learning growth may be reduced to not less than 20 percent. 133 c. For school administrators, the student learning growth 134 135 portion of the evaluation must include growth data for students 136 assigned to the school over the course of at least 3 years. If 137 less than 3 years of data are available, the years for which 138 data are available must be used and the percentage of the 139 evaluation based upon student learning growth may be reduced to 140 not less than 30 40 percent. 141 d. Notwithstanding any other provision of law, performance 142 evaluations based upon data and indicators for the 2014-2015 and 143 2015-2016 school years may not use student learning growth as 144 required under this subparagraph if such use would result in a 145 lower level of performance as specified in paragraph (2)(e) than 146 the level of performance which would result without the use of 147 student learning growth. 148 149 150 And the title is amended as follows: 151 Delete lines 1076 - 1085 152 and insert: readiness evaluations; amending s. 1008.34, F.S.; 153 154 revising the criteria that serve as an informational 155 baseline for schools to work toward improved



156 performance; providing that school grades and school 157 improvement ratings for specified school years shall 158 serve as an informational baseline; revising the 159 circumstances under which a school may not be required 160 to implement a school turnaround option in specified 161 school years; revising the circumstances under which a 162 virtual instruction program will not be subject to 163 sanctions or penalties based on certain school grades 164 or improvement ratings; extending the period during 165 which a charter school system or school district will 166 not lose a designation as high performing based on 167 certain school grades or improvement ratings; amending 168 s. 1012.34, F.S.; revising requirements for the 169 Commissioner of Education's annual report to the 170 Governor and the Legislature regarding personnel 171 evaluation systems; revising the percentage thresholds 172 for performance evaluation criteria for instructional 173 personnel and school administrators; prohibiting the 174 use of student learning growth in performance 175 evaluations under certain circumstances; revising