1	A bill to be entitled
2	An act relating to bad faith assertions of patent
3	infringement; amending s. 501.991, F.S.; providing for
4	construction; amending s. 501.992, F.S; deleting and
5	revising definitions; amending s. 501.993, F.S.;
6	prohibiting a person from sending a demand letter to a
7	target which makes a bad faith assertion of patent
8	infringement; specifying what constitutes such a
9	demand letter; repealing s. 501.994, F.S., relating to
10	the requirement that a plaintiff post a specified bond
11	in certain circumstances; amending s. 501.995, F.S.;
12	specifying that the Patent Troll Prevention Act does
13	not create a private right of action; deleting
14	provisions authorizing the bringing of actions and
15	specified remedies; amending s. 501.996, F.S.;
16	providing for enforcement by the Attorney General;
17	specifying that the Attorney General may seek certain
18	civil relief; deleting a provision stating that a
19	violation is an unfair or deceptive trade practice
20	under ch. 501, F.S.; repealing s. 501.997, F.S.,
21	relating to an exemption for institutions of higher
22	learning; providing an effective date.
23	
24	Be It Enacted by the Legislature of the State of Florida:
25	
26	Section 1. Section 501.991, Florida Statutes, is amended
ļ	Page 1 of 9

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

27 to read:

28

501.991 Legislative intent; construction.-

(1) The Legislature recognizes that it is preempted from passing any law that conflicts with federal patent law. However, the Legislature recognizes that the state is dedicated to building an entrepreneurial and business-friendly economy where businesses and consumers alike are protected from abuse and fraud. This includes protection from abusive and bad faith demands and litigation.

36 (2) Patents encourage research, development, and 37 innovation. Patent holders have a legitimate right to enforce 38 their patents. The Legislature does not wish to interfere with 39 good faith patent litigation or the good faith enforcement of 40 patents. However, the Legislature recognizes a growing issue: 41 the frivolous filing of bad faith patent claims that have led to 42 technical, complex, and especially expensive litigation.

43 The expense of patent litigation, which may cost (3)44 millions of dollars, can be a significant burden on companies 45 and small businesses. Not only do bad faith patent infringement 46 claims impose undue burdens on individual businesses, they 47 undermine the state's effort to attract and nurture 48 technological innovations. Funds spent to help avoid the threat 49 of bad faith litigation are no longer available for serving communities through investing in producing new products, helping 50 businesses expand, or hiring new workers. The Legislature wishes 51 52 to help businesses avoid these costs by encouraging good faith

### Page 2 of 9

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

FLORIDA	HOUSE	OF REP	RESENTATI	VES
---------	-------	--------	-----------	-----

53 assertions of patent infringement and the expeditious and 54 efficient resolution of patent claims. 55 This part may not be construed to: (4) 56 (a) Limit the rights and remedies available to the state 57 or a person under any other law; 58 Alter or restrict the Attorney General's authority (b) 59 under any other law regarding claims of patent infringement; or 60 (c) Prohibit a person who owns, or has a right to license 61 or enforce, a patent from: 62 1. Notifying other parties of such person's ownership of, 63 or rights under, the patent; 64 2. Offering the patent to other parties for license or 65 sale; 66 3. Notifying other parties of such parties' infringement 67 of the patent as provided by 35 U.S.C. s. 287; or 68 4. Seeking compensation for past or present infringement 69 of, or license to, the patent. Section 2. Subsections (2) and (3) of section 501.992, 70 71 Florida Statutes, are amended to read: 72 501.992 Definitions.-As used in this part, the term: 73 (2) "Institution of higher education" means an educational institution as defined in 20 U.S.C. s. 1001(a). 74 75 (2) (3) "Target" means a person residing in, incorporated 76 in, or organized under the laws of this state who purchases, 77 rents, leases, or otherwise obtains a product or service in the 78 commercial market which is not for resale in the commercial Page 3 of 9

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

79 market and who:

80 (a) Has received a demand letter or against whom a written 81 assertion or allegation of patent infringement has been made; or 82 (b) Has been threatened in writing with litigation or 83 against whom a lawsuit has been filed alleging patent 84 infringement. 85 Section 3. Section 501.993, Florida Statutes, is amended 86 to read: 501.993 Bad faith assertions of patent infringement.-A 87 person may not send a demand letter to a target which makes make 88 89 a bad faith assertion of patent infringement. A demand letter 90 makes a bad faith assertion of patent infringement if it: Includes a claim that the target, or a person 91 (1)92 affiliated with the target, has infringed a patent and that the 93 target is legally liable for such infringement; and A court may 94 consider the following factors as evidence that a person has 95 made a bad faith assertion of patent infringement: (a) The demand letter does not contain the following 96 97 information: 98 1. The patent number; 99 2. The name and address of the patent owner and assignee, 100 if any; and 3. Factual allegations concerning the specific areas in 101 102 which the target's products, services, or technology infringe or 103 are covered by the claims in the patent. 104 -Before sending the demand letter, the person failed <del>(b)</del> Page 4 of 9

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

105 to conduct an analysis comparing the claims in the patent to the target's products, services, or technology, or the analysis did 106 not identify specific areas in which the target's products, 107 services, and technology were covered by the claims of the 108 109 patent. (c) The demand letter lacked the information listed under 110 111 paragraph (a), the target requested the information, and the person failed to provide the information within a reasonable 112 113 period. 114 (d) The demand letter requested payment of a license fee 115 or response within an unreasonable period. (e) The person offered to license the patent for an amount 116 117 that is not based on a reasonable estimate of the value of the 118 license. 119 (f) The claim or assertion of patent infringement is unenforceable, and the person knew, or should have known, that 120 121 the claim or assertion was unenforceable. 122 (g) The claim or assertion of patent infringement is 123 deceptive. 124 (h) The person, including its subsidiaries or affiliates, 125 has previously filed or threatened to file one or more lawsuits based on the same or a similar claim of patent infringement and: 126 1. The threats or lawsuits lacked the information listed 127 128 under paragraph (a); or 129 2. The person sued to enforce the claim of patent 130 infringement and a court found the claim to be meritless. Page 5 of 9

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

131	(i) Any other factor the court finds relevant.
132	(2) Meets one or more of the following criteria <del>A court</del>
133	may consider the following factors as evidence that a person has
134	not made a bad faith assertion of patent infringement:
135	(a) The demand letter <u>falsely asserts that the sender has</u>
136	filed a lawsuit in connection with the claim contained the
137	information listed under paragraph (1)(a).
138	(b) The demand letter asserts a claim that is objectively
139	baseless due to any of the following:
140	1. The sender, or a person whom the sender represents,
141	lacks a current right to license the patent to, or enforce the
142	patent against, the target.
143	2. The patent is invalid or unenforceable pursuant to a
144	final judgment or an administrative order.
145	3. The infringing activity alleged in the demand letter
146	occurred after the expiration of the patent The demand letter
147	did not contain the information listed under paragraph (1)(a),
148	the target requested the information, and the person provided
149	the information within a reasonable period.
150	(c) The demand letter is likely to materially mislead a
151	reasonable person because it does not contain sufficient
152	information to inform the target of all of the following:
153	1. The identity of the person asserting the claim.
154	2. The patent alleged to have been infringed.
155	3. At least one product, service, or technology of the
156	target alleged to infringe the patent, or at least one activity
	Page 6 of 9

# Page 6 of 9

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

157	of the end user which is alleged to infringe the patent The
158	person engaged in a good faith effort to establish that the
159	target has infringed the patent and negotiated an appropriate
160	remedy.
161	(d) The person made a substantial investment in the use of
162	the patented invention or discovery or in a product or sale of a
163	product or item covered by the patent.
164	(e) The person is the inventor or joint inventor of the
165	patented invention or discovery, or in the case of a patent
166	filed by and awarded to an assignee of the original inventor or
167	joint inventors, is the original assignee.
168	(f) The person has:
169	1. Demonstrated good faith business practices in previous
170	efforts to enforce the patent, or a substantially similar
171	patent; or
172	2. Successfully enforced the patent, or a substantially
173	similar patent, through litigation.
174	(g) Any other factor the court finds relevant.
175	Section 4. Section 501.994, Florida Statutes, is repealed.
176	Section 5. Section 501.995, Florida Statutes, is amended
177	to read:
178	501.995 No private right of actionThis part does not
179	create a private right of action. A person aggrieved by a
180	violation of this part may bring an action in a court of
181	competent jurisdiction. A court may award the following remedies
182	to a prevailing plaintiff in an action brought pursuant to this
I	Page 7 of 9

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

183 section: (1) Equitable relief; 184 185 (2) Damages; (3) Costs and fees, including reasonable attorney fees; 186 187 and (4) Punitive damages in an amount equal to \$50,000 or 188 189 three times the total damages, costs, and fees, whichever is 190 greater. 191 Section 6. Section 501.996, Florida Statutes, is amended 192 to read: 193 501.996 Enforcement by Attorney General; injunction; civil penalty.-Notwithstanding any other provisions of this chapter, 194 195 if the Attorney General has reasonable cause to believe that a 196 person is in violation of s. 501.993, he or she may bring an 197 action to enjoin the person from engaging in the violation, 198 continuing the violation, or committing any act in furtherance 199 of the violation. The Attorney General may also seek other 200 appropriate civil relief, including, but not limited to: 201 The imposition of a civil penalty of up to \$50,000 for (1) 202 each violation of s. 501.993; (2) Court costs, reasonable attorney fees, and reasonable 203 204 costs of investigation; and 205 (3) Restitution to a target for damages, court costs, 206 attorney fees, and other reasonable expenses related to 207 defending against the bad faith assertion of patent infringement 208 A violation of this part is an unfair or deceptive trade Page 8 of 9

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

- 209 practice under part II of this chapter.
- 210 Section 7. Section 501.997, Florida Statutes, is repealed.
- 211 Section 8. This act shall take effect July 1, 2016.

Page 9 of 9

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words <u>underlined</u> are additions.