1	A bill to be entitled
2	An act relating to bad faith assertions of patent
3	infringement; amending s. 501.991, F.S.; providing
4	construction; amending s. 501.992, F.S.; revising
5	definitions; amending s. 501.993, F.S.; prohibiting a
6	person from sending a demand letter to a target which
7	makes a bad faith assertion of patent infringement;
8	specifying what constitutes such a demand letter;
9	repealing s. 501.994, F.S., relating to the
10	requirement that a plaintiff post a specified bond in
11	certain circumstances; amending s. 501.995, F.S.;
12	authorizing the award of actual damages; revising
13	provisions authorizing the award of punitive damages;
14	providing an effective date.
15	
16	Be It Enacted by the Legislature of the State of Florida:
17	
18	Section 1. Section 501.991, Florida Statutes, is amended
19	to read:
20	501.991 Legislative intent; construction
21	(1) The Legislature recognizes that it is preempted from
22	passing any law that conflicts with federal patent law. However,
23	the Legislature recognizes that the state is dedicated to
24	building an entrepreneurial and business-friendly economy where
25	businesses and consumers alike are protected from abuse and
26	fraud. This includes protection from abusive and bad faith
	Page 1 of 8

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

27 demands and litigation.

(2) Patents encourage research, development, and innovation. Patent holders have a legitimate right to enforce their patents. The Legislature does not wish to interfere with good faith patent litigation or the good faith enforcement of patents. However, the Legislature recognizes a growing issue: the frivolous filing of bad faith patent claims that have led to technical, complex, and especially expensive litigation.

The expense of patent litigation, which may cost 35 (3)36 millions of dollars, can be a significant burden on companies 37 and small businesses. Not only do bad faith patent infringement 38 claims impose undue burdens on individual businesses, they 39 undermine the state's effort to attract and nurture 40 technological innovations. Funds spent to help avoid the threat of bad faith litigation are no longer available for serving 41 42 communities through investing in producing new products, helping businesses expand, or hiring new workers. The Legislature wishes 43 to help businesses avoid these costs by encouraging good faith 44 45 assertions of patent infringement and the expeditious and efficient resolution of patent claims. 46

47

(4) This part may not be construed to:

48 (a) Limit the rights and remedies available to the state 49 or a person under any other law; 50 (b) Alter or restrict the Attorney General's authority 51 under any other law regarding claims of patent infringement; or 52 (c) Prohibit a person who owns, or has a right to license

Page 2 of 8

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

53	or enforce, a patent from:
54	1. Notifying other parties of such person's ownership of,
55	or rights under, the patent;
56	2. Offering the patent to other parties for license or
57	sale;
58	3. Notifying other parties of such parties' infringement
59	of the patent as provided by 35 U.S.C. s. 287; or
60	4. Seeking compensation for past or present infringement
61	of, or license to, the patent.
62	Section 2. Subsections (1) and (3) of section 501.992,
63	Florida Statutes, are amended to read:
64	501.992 Definitions.—As used in this part, the term:
65	(1) "Demand letter" means a letter, e-mail, or other
66	written communication, including e-mail, asserting or claiming
67	that a person has engaged in patent infringement.
68	(3) "Target" means a person residing in, incorporated in,
69	or organized under the laws of this state who purchases, rents,
70	leases, or otherwise obtains a product or service in the
71	commercial market which is not for resale in the commercial
72	market and who:
73	(a) Has received a demand letter or against whom a written
74	assertion or allegation of patent infringement has been made; or
75	(b) Has been threatened in writing with litigation or
76	against whom a lawsuit has been filed alleging patent
77	infringement.
78	Section 3. Section 501.993, Florida Statutes, is amended
I	Page 3 of 8

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

79 to read:

80	501.993 Bad faith assertions of patent infringementA										
81	person may not <u>send a demand letter to a target which makes</u> make										
82	a bad faith assertion of patent infringement. <u>A demand letter</u>										
83	makes a bad faith assertion of patent infringement if it:										
84	(1) Includes a claim that the target, or a person										
85	affiliated with the target, has infringed a patent and that the										
86	target is legally liable for such infringement; and A court may										
87	consider the following factors as evidence that a person has										
88	made a bad faith assertion of patent infringement:										
89	(a) The demand letter does not contain the following										
90	information:										
91	1. The patent number;										
92	2. The name and address of the patent owner and assignee,										
93	if any; and										
94	3. Factual allegations concerning the specific areas in										
95	which the target's products, services, or technology infringe or										
96	are covered by the claims in the patent.										
97	(b) Before sending the demand letter, the person failed										
98	to conduct an analysis comparing the claims in the patent to the										
99	target's products, services, or technology, or the analysis did										
100	not identify specific areas in which the target's products,										
101	services, and technology were covered by the claims of the										
102	patent.										
103	(c) The demand letter lacked the information listed under										
104	paragraph (a), the target requested the information, and the										
ļ	Page 4 of 8										

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

105	person failed to provide the information within a reasonable
106	period.
107	(d) The demand letter requested payment of a license fee
108	or response within an unreasonable period.
109	(e) The person offered to license the patent for an amount
110	that is not based on a reasonable estimate of the value of the
111	license.
112	(f) The claim or assertion of patent infringement is
113	unenforceable, and the person knew, or should have known, that
114	the claim or assertion was unenforceable.
115	(g) The claim or assertion of patent infringement is
116	deceptive.
117	(h) The person, including its subsidiaries or affiliates,
118	has previously filed or threatened to file one or more lawsuits
119	based on the same or a similar claim of patent infringement and:
120	1. The threats or lawsuits lacked the information listed
121	under paragraph (a); or
122	2. The person sued to enforce the claim of patent
123	infringement and a court found the claim to be meritless.
124	(i) Any other factor the court finds relevant.
125	(2) <u>Meets one or more of the following criteria</u> A court
126	may consider the following factors as evidence that a person has
127	not made a bad faith assertion of patent infringement:
128	(a) The demand letter <u>falsely asserts that the sender has</u>
129	filed a lawsuit in connection with the claim contained the
130	information listed under paragraph (1)(a).
	Page 5 of 8

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

131 The demand letter asserts a claim that is objectively (b) 132 baseless due to any of the following: 133 The sender, or a person whom the sender represents, 1. 134 lacks a current right to license the patent to, or enforce the 135 patent against, the target. The patent is invalid or unenforceable pursuant to a 136 2. 137 final judgment or an administrative order. 138 The infringing activity alleged in the demand letter 3. 139 occurred after the expiration of the patent did not contain the 140 information listed under paragraph (1) (a), the target requested 141 the information, and the person provided the information within 142 a reasonable period. 143 (C) The demand letter is likely to materially mislead a 144 reasonable person because it does not contain sufficient 145 information to inform the target of all of the following: 1. 146 The identity of the person asserting the claim, 147 including the name and address of such person. 148 The patent alleged to have been infringed, including 2. 149 the patent number of such patent. 150 3. At least one product, service, or technology of the 151 target alleged to infringe the patent, or at least one activity 152 of the target which is alleged to infringe the patent The person 153 engaged in a good faith effort to establish that the target has 154 infringed the patent and negotiated an appropriate remedy. 155 (d) The person made a substantial investment in the use of 156 the patented invention or discovery or in a product or sale of a Page 6 of 8

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

157 product or item covered by the patent. (e) The person is the inventor or joint inventor of the 158 159 patented invention or discovery, or in the case of a patent 160 filed by and awarded to an assignce of the original inventor or 161 joint inventors, is the original assignee. 162 (f) The person has: 163 1. Demonstrated good faith business practices in previous 164 efforts to enforce the patent, or a substantially similar 165 patent; or 166 2. Successfully enforced the patent, or a substantially 167 similar patent, through litigation. 168 (g) Any other factor the court finds relevant. 169 Section 501.994, Florida Statutes, is repealed. Section 4. Section 5. Section 501.995, Florida Statutes, is amended 170 171 to read: 172 501.995 Private right of action.-A person aggrieved by a 173 violation of this part may bring an action in a court of competent jurisdiction. A court may award the following remedies 174 175 to a prevailing plaintiff in an action brought pursuant to this 176 section: 177 (1)Equitable relief; 178 (2) Actual damages; 179 Costs and fees, including reasonable attorney fees; (3) 180 and 181 (4) Punitive damages in an amount not to exceed \$75,000. 182 However, such punitive damages may only be awarded if the court

Page 7 of 8

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

FL	O R	ΙD	A H	0	U	S	E O	F	R	Е	PF	₹E	S	Е	Ν	Т	А	Т	Ι	V	Е	S
----	-----	----	-----	---	---	---	-----	---	---	---	----	----	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---

183	determines that the person asserting the patent infringement
184	claim has repeatedly violated this part equal to \$50,000 or
185	three times the total damages, costs, and fees, whichever is
186	greater.
187	Section 6. This act shall take effect upon becoming a law.

Page 8 of 8

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words <u>underlined</u> are additions.