By Senator Brandes

22-01205A-16

20161298

	22-01203A-16 20101296		
1	A bill to be entitled		
2	An act relating to bad faith assertions of patent		
3	infringement; amending s. 501.991, F.S.; providing for		
4	construction; amending s. 501.992, F.S; deleting and		
5	revising definitions; amending s. 501.993, F.S.;		
6	prohibiting a person from sending a demand letter to a		
7	target which makes a bad faith assertion of patent		
8	infringement; specifying what constitutes such a		
9	demand letter; repealing s. 501.994, F.S., relating to		
10	the requirement that a plaintiff post a specified bond		
11	in certain circumstances; amending s. 501.995, F.S.;		
12	specifying that the Patent Troll Prevention Act does		
13	not create a private right of action; deleting		
14	provisions authorizing the bringing of actions and		
15	specified remedies; amending s. 501.996, F.S.;		
16	providing for enforcement by the Attorney General;		
17	specifying that the Attorney General may seek certain		
18	civil relief; deleting a provision stating that a		
19	violation is an unfair or deceptive trade practice		
20	under ch. 501, F.S.; repealing s. 501.997, F.S.,		
21	relating to an exemption for institutions of higher		
22	learning; providing an effective date.		
23			
24	Be It Enacted by the Legislature of the State of Florida:		
25			
26	Section 1. Section 501.991, Florida Statutes, is amended to		
27	read:		
28	501.991 Legislative intent; construction		
29	(1) The Legislature recognizes that it is preempted from		
30	passing any law that conflicts with federal patent law. However,		
31	the Legislature recognizes that the state is dedicated to		
32	building an entrepreneurial and business-friendly economy where		

# Page 1 of 8

22-01205A-16 20161298 33 businesses and consumers alike are protected from abuse and 34 fraud. This includes protection from abusive and bad faith 35 demands and litigation. 36 (2) Patents encourage research, development, and 37 innovation. Patent holders have a legitimate right to enforce their patents. The Legislature does not wish to interfere with 38 39 good faith patent litigation or the good faith enforcement of 40 patents. However, the Legislature recognizes a growing issue: the frivolous filing of bad faith patent claims that have led to 41 42 technical, complex, and especially expensive litigation. 43 (3) The expense of patent litigation, which may cost 44 millions of dollars, can be a significant burden on companies 45 and small businesses. Not only do bad faith patent infringement 46 claims impose undue burdens on individual businesses, they undermine the state's effort to attract and nurture 47 technological innovations. Funds spent to help avoid the threat 48 49 of bad faith litigation are no longer available for serving 50 communities through investing in producing new products, helping 51 businesses expand, or hiring new workers. The Legislature wishes 52 to help businesses avoid these costs by encouraging good faith assertions of patent infringement and the expeditious and 53 54 efficient resolution of patent claims. 55 (4) This part may not be construed to: 56 (a) Limit the rights and remedies available to the state or a person under any other law; 57 (b) Alter or restrict the Attorney General's authority 58 59 under any other law regarding claims of patent infringement; or 60 (c) Prohibit a person who owns, or has a right to license 61 or enforce, a patent from:

## Page 2 of 8

	22-01205A-16 20161298_					
62	1. Notifying other parties of such person's ownership of,					
63	or rights under, the patent;					
64	2. Offering the patent to other parties for license or					
65	sale;					
66	3. Notifying other parties of such parties' infringement of					
67	the patent as provided by 35 U.S.C. s. 287; or					
68	4. Seeking compensation for past or present infringement					
69	of, or license to, the patent.					
70	Section 2. Subsections (2) and (3) of section 501.992,					
71	Florida Statutes, are amended to read:					
72	501.992 Definitions.—As used in this part, the term:					
73	(2) "Institution of higher education" means an educational					
74	institution as defined in 20 U.S.C. s. 1001(a).					
75	(2)(3) "Target" means a person residing in, incorporated					
76	in, or organized under the laws of this state who purchases,					
77	rents, leases, or otherwise obtains a product or service in the					
78	commercial market which is not for resale in the commercial					
79	market <del>and who:</del>					
80	(a) Has received a demand letter or against whom a written					
81	assertion or allegation of patent infringement has been made; or					
82	(b) Has been threatened in writing with litigation or					
83	against whom a lawsuit has been filed alleging patent					
84	infringement.					
85	Section 3. Section 501.993, Florida Statutes, is amended to					
86	read:					
87	501.993 Bad faith assertions of patent infringementA					
88	person may not <u>send a demand letter to a target which makes</u> <del>make</del>					
89	a bad faith assertion of patent infringement. <u>A demand letter</u>					
90	makes a bad faith assertion of patent infringement if it:					

# Page 3 of 8

	22-01205A-16 20161298				
91	(1) Includes a claim that the target, or a person				
92	affiliated with the target, has infringed a patent and that the				
93	target is legally liable for such infringement; and A court may				
94	consider the following factors as evidence that a person has				
95	made a bad faith assertion of patent infringement:				
96	(a) The demand letter does not contain the following				
97	information:				
98	1. The patent number;				
99	2. The name and address of the patent owner and assignee,				
100	if any; and				
101	3. Factual allegations concerning the specific areas in				
102	which the target's products, services, or technology infringe or				
103	are covered by the claims in the patent.				
104	(b) Before sending the demand letter, the person failed to				
105	conduct an analysis comparing the claims in the patent to the				
106	target's products, services, or technology, or the analysis did				
107	not identify specific areas in which the target's products,				
108	services, and technology were covered by the claims of the				
109	patent.				
110	(c) The demand letter lacked the information listed under				
111	paragraph (a), the target requested the information, and the				
112	person failed to provide the information within a reasonable				
113	period.				
114	(d) The demand letter requested payment of a license fee or				
115	response within an unreasonable period.				
116	(e) The person offered to license the patent for an amount				
117	that is not based on a reasonable estimate of the value of the				
118	<del>license.</del>				
119	(f) The claim or assertion of patent infringement is				
	Page 4 of 8				

	22-01205A-16 20161298_				
120	unenforceable, and the person knew, or should have known, that				
121	the claim or assertion was unenforceable.				
122	(g) The claim or assertion of patent infringement is				
123	deceptive.				
124	(h) The person, including its subsidiaries or affiliates,				
125	has previously filed or threatened to file one or more lawsuits				
126	based on the same or a similar claim of patent infringement and:				
127	1. The threats or lawsuits lacked the information listed				
128	under paragraph (a); or				
129	2. The person sued to enforce the claim of patent				
130	infringement and a court found the claim to be meritless.				
131	(i) Any other factor the court finds relevant.				
132	(2) <u>Meets one or more of the following criteria</u> <del>A court may</del>				
133	consider the following factors as evidence that a person has not				
134	made a bad faith assertion of patent infringement:				
135	(a) The demand letter falsely asserts that the sender has				
136	filed a lawsuit in connection with the claim contained the				
137	information listed under paragraph (1)(a).				
138	(b) The demand letter asserts a claim that is objectively				
139	baseless due to any of the following:				
140	1. The sender, or a person whom the sender represents,				
141	lacks a current right to license the patent to, or enforce the				
142	patent against, the target.				
143	2. The patent is invalid or unenforceable pursuant to a				
144	final judgment or an administrative order.				
145	3. The infringing activity alleged in the demand letter				
146	occurred after the expiration of the patent The demand letter				
147	did not contain the information listed under paragraph (1)(a),				
148	the target requested the information, and the person provided				

	22-01205A-16 20161298_					
149	the information within a reasonable period.					
150	(c) The demand letter is likely to materially mislead a					
151	reasonable person because it does not contain sufficient					
152	information to inform the target of all of the following:					
153	1. The identity of the person asserting the claim.					
154	2. The patent alleged to have been infringed.					
155	3. At least one product, service, or technology of the					
156	target alleged to infringe the patent, or at least one activity					
157	of the end user which is alleged to infringe the patent $rac{ extsf{The}}{ extsf{The}}$					
158	person engaged in a good faith effort to establish that the					
159	target has infringed the patent and negotiated an appropriate					
160	remedy.					
161	(d) The person made a substantial investment in the use of					
162	the patented invention or discovery or in a product or sale of a					
163	product or item covered by the patent.					
164	(c) The person is the inventor or joint inventor of the					
165	patented invention or discovery, or in the case of a patent					
166	filed by and awarded to an assignee of the original inventor or					
167	joint inventors, is the original assignee.					
168	(f) The person has:					
169	1. Demonstrated good faith business practices in previous					
170	efforts to enforce the patent, or a substantially similar					
171	patent; or					
172	2. Successfully enforced the patent, or a substantially					
173	similar patent, through litigation.					
174	(g) Any other factor the court finds relevant.					
175	Section 4. Section 501.994, Florida Statutes, is repealed.					
176	Section 5. Section 501.995, Florida Statutes, is amended to					
177	read:					

# Page 6 of 8

	22-01205A-16 20161298				
178	501.995 <u>No</u> private right of action.— <u>This part does not</u>				
179	create a private right of action. A person aggrieved by a				
180	violation of this part may bring an action in a court of				
181	competent jurisdiction. A court may award the following remedies				
182	to a prevailing plaintiff in an action brought pursuant to this				
183	section:				
184	(1) Equitable relief;				
185	(2) Damages;				
186	(3) Costs and fees, including reasonable attorney fees; and				
187	(4) Punitive damages in an amount equal to \$50,000 or three				
188	times the total damages, costs, and fees, whichever is greater.				
189	Section 6. Section 501.996, Florida Statutes, is amended to				
190	read:				
191	501.996 Enforcement by Attorney General; injunction; civil				
192	penaltyNotwithstanding any other provisions of this chapter,				
193	if the Attorney General has reasonable cause to believe that a				
194	person is in violation of s. 501.993, he or she may bring an				
195	action to enjoin the person from engaging in the violation,				
196	continuing the violation, or committing any act in furtherance				
197	of the violation. The Attorney General may also seek other				
198	appropriate civil relief, including, but not limited to:				
199	(1) The imposition of a civil penalty of up to \$50,000 for				
200	each violation of s. 501.993;				
201	(2) Court costs, reasonable attorney fees, and reasonable				
202	costs of investigation; and				
203	(3) Restitution to a target for damages, court costs,				
204	attorney fees, and other reasonable expenses related to				
205	defending against the bad faith assertion of patent infringement				
206	A violation of this part is an unfair or deceptive trade				

# Page 7 of 8

	22-01205A-16		20161298
207	practice under j	part II of this chapter.	
208	Section 7.	Section 501.997, Florida Statutes,	is repealed.
209	Section 8.	This act shall take effect July 1,	2016.