I. **Summary:**

SB 242 creates the Miami-Dade Infectious Disease Elimination Act (IDEA), which authorizes the University of Miami and its affiliates to establish a single sterile needle and syringe exchange pilot program in Miami-Dade County as a means to prevent the transmission of blood-borne diseases. The bill provides duties and requirements for the operation of the pilot program.

The bill prohibits state funds from being used to operate the pilot program. Instead, the pilot program must be funded through grants and donations from private resources.

The bill directs the Office of Program Policy Analysis and Government Accountability (OPPAGA) to submit a report with specified data and a recommendation regarding continuance of the pilot program by January 1, 2020, six months before the pilot program’s expiration.

The bill has no fiscal impact. The bill has an effective date of July 1, 2016.

II. **Present Situation:**

Needle and syringe exchange programs (NSEPs) provide sterile needles and syringes in exchange for used needles and syringes to reduce the transmission of human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) and other blood-borne infections associated with the reuse of contaminated needles and syringes by injection-drug-users (IDUs).
Intravenous Drug Use in Florida

The majority of Florida counties with high rates of persons living with HIV/AIDS (PLWHA), and with a high IDU-associated risk, in 2013 were in the southeast or central parts of the state.\footnote{Florida Dep’t of Health, \textit{HIV Infection Among Those with an Injection Drug Use-Associated Risk, Florida, 2014} (power point slide 18) (revised Jan. 29, 2015), available at \url{http://www.floridahealth.gov/diseases-and-conditions/aids/surveillance/_documents/hiv-aids-slide-sets/2014/idu-2014.pdf} (last visited Sept. 19, 2015).} The Department of Health (DOH) reports that 50 to 90 percent of HIV-infected IDUs are also co-infected with Hepatitis C Virus.\footnote{Florida Dep’t of Health, \textit{HIV Disease and Hepatitis C Virus (HCV) Co-Infection – Florida, 2013} (Revised Sept. 3, 2014) (on file with the Senate Committee on Health Policy).} The chart below displays data from 2013 of the 11 Florida counties with the highest incidence of PLWHA with an IDU-associated risk.\footnote{\textit{Supra} note 1. Percent IDU adjusted to conform to previous data charts.}

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>County</th>
<th>Total PLWHA Cases</th>
<th>Total IDU</th>
<th>Percent IDU</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Miami-Dade</td>
<td>26,445</td>
<td>3,240</td>
<td>12%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Broward</td>
<td>17,214</td>
<td>2,132</td>
<td>12%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palm Beach</td>
<td>7,964</td>
<td>1,481</td>
<td>19%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orange</td>
<td>7,508</td>
<td>1,304</td>
<td>17%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hillsborough</td>
<td>6,262</td>
<td>1,198</td>
<td>19%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duval</td>
<td>5,584</td>
<td>999</td>
<td>18%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pinellas</td>
<td>3,675</td>
<td>728</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lee</td>
<td>1,777</td>
<td>310</td>
<td>18%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St. Lucie</td>
<td>1,550</td>
<td>309</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volusia</td>
<td>1,408</td>
<td>340</td>
<td>24%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brevard</td>
<td>1,300</td>
<td>273</td>
<td>21%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>STATE TOTAL</strong></td>
<td><strong>101,977</strong></td>
<td><strong>17,368</strong></td>
<td><strong>17%</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Intravenous Drug Use in Miami-Dade County

In a 2011 study, researchers from the University of Miami estimated that there are more than 10,000 IDUs in Miami and that one in five of these IDUs are HIV positive while one in three are Hepatitis C Virus positive.\footnote{Hansel E. Tookes, et al. “A comparison of syringe disposal practices among injection drug users in a city with versus a city without needle and syringe programs.” Drug and Alcohol Dependence, June 2012, Vol. 123, Issue 1, pp. 255-259, available at \url{http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22209091} (last visited Sept. 21, 2015).} The researchers also found that IDUs in Miami—a city without a needle and syringe exchange program—had over 34 times the adjusted odds of disposal of a used syringe in a public location relative to IDUs in San Francisco—a city with multiple exchange programs.\footnote{Id.}

Needle and Syringe Exchange Programs

In the mid-1980s, the National Institute on Drug Abuse (NIDA) undertook a research program to develop, implement, and evaluate the effectiveness of intervention strategies to reduce risk behaviors and prevent the spread of HIV/AIDS, particularly among IDUs, their sexual partners, and offspring. The studies found that comprehensive strategies—in the absence of a vaccine or
cure for AIDS—are the most cost effective and reliable approaches to prevent new blood-borne infections. The strategies NIDA recommends are community-based outreach, drug abuse treatment, and sterile syringe access programs, including needle and syringe exchange programs (NSEPs). In general, these strategies are referred to as harm reduction.  

Needle and syringe exchange programs provide free sterile needles and syringe units and collect used needles and syringes from IDUs to reduce transmission of blood-borne pathogens, including HIV, hepatitis B virus, and hepatitis C virus (HCV). In addition, the programs help to:

- Increase the number of drug users who enter and remain in available treatment programs;
- Disseminate HIV risk reduction information and referrals for HIV testing and counseling and drug treatment;
- Reduce injection frequency and needle-sharing behaviors;
- Reduce the number of contaminated syringes in circulation in a community; and
- Increase the availability of sterile needles, thereby reducing the risk that new infections will spread.  

The first sanctioned NSEP in the world began in Amsterdam, the Netherlands, in 1984. The first sanctioned program to operate in North America originated in Tacoma, Washington, in 1988. As of June 2014, there are 194 NSEPs in 33 states, the District of Columbia, the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, and the Indian Nations.  

**Safe Sharps Disposal**

Improperly discarded sharps pose a serious risk for injury and infection to sanitation workers and the community. “Sharps” is a medical term for devices with sharp points or edges that can puncture or cut skin.  

Examples of sharps include:

- Needles - hollow needles used to inject drugs (medication) under the skin;
- Syringes - devices used to inject medication into or withdraw fluid from the body;
- Lancets - also called “fingerstick” devices - instruments with a short, two-edged blade used to get drops of blood for testing;
- Auto injectors - including epinephrine and insulin pens - syringes pre-filled with fluid medication designed to be self-injected into the body;
- Infusion sets - tubing systems with a needle used to deliver drugs to the body; and

---


• Connection needles/sets - needles that connect to a tub to transfer fluids in and out of the body.¹⁰

Used needles and other sharps pose a dangerous risk to people and animals if not properly disposed as they can spread disease and cause injury. On November 8, 2011, the Federal Drug Administration (FDA) launched a new website for patients and caregivers on the safe disposal of sharps.¹¹ The most common infections from such injuries are Hepatitis B (HBV), Hepatitis C (HCV), and Human Immunodeficiency Virus (HIV).¹² The FDA’s guidelines for disposal are to never place loose needles or other sharps into household or public trash cans or recycling bins, and never to flush them down the toilet.¹³

**Federal Ban on Funding Needle and Syringe Exchange Programs**

In 1988, Congress enacted an initial ban on the use of federal funds for NSEPs which remained in place until 2009. In 2009, Congress passed the 2010 Consolidated Appropriations Act, which removed the ban on federal funding of NSEPs. In July 2010, the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services issued implementation guidelines for programs interested in using federal dollars for NSEPs.¹⁴

However, on December 23, 2011, President Barack Obama signed the 2012 omnibus spending bill that reinstated the ban on the use of federal funds for NSEPs, which reversed the 111th Congress’s 2009 decision to allow federal funds to be used for NSEPs.¹⁵ The ban on federal funding for NSEPs remains in effect.

**Florida Comprehensive Drug Abuse Prevention and Control Act**

In Florida, the term “drug paraphernalia” is defined as all equipment, products, and materials of any kind which are used, intended for use, or designed for use in planting, propagating, cultivating, growing, harvesting, manufacturing, compounding, converting, producing, processing, preparing, testing, analyzing, packaging, repackaging, storing, containing, concealing, transporting, injecting, ingesting, inhaling, or otherwise introducing into the human body, a controlled substance in violation of ch. 893, F.S., or s. 877.111, F.S.¹⁶

Section 893.147, F.S., regulates the use or possession of drug paraphernalia. Currently, it is unlawful for any person to use, or to possess with intent to use, drug paraphernalia:

• To plant, propagate, cultivate, grow, harvest, manufacture, compound, convert, produce, process, prepare, test, analyze, pack, repack, store, contain, or conceal a controlled substance in violation of this chapter; or

¹⁰ Id.
¹² Supra, note 10.
¹³ Id.
¹⁵ Id.
¹⁶ Section 893.145, F.S.
• To inject, ingest, inhale, or otherwise introduce into the human body a controlled substance in violation of ch. 893, F.S.

Any person who violates this provision commits a first degree misdemeanor.¹⁷

It is unlawful for any person to deliver, possess with intent to deliver, or manufacture with intent to deliver drug paraphernalia, knowing, or under circumstances where one reasonably should know, that it will be used:
• To plant, propagate, cultivate, grow, harvest, manufacture, compound, convert, produce, process, prepare, test, analyze, pack, repack, store, contain, or conceal a controlled substance in violation of this act, or
• To inject, ingest, inhale, or otherwise introduce into the human body a controlled substance in violation of this act.

Any person who violates this provision commits a third degree felony.¹⁸

A court, jury, or other authority, when determining in a criminal case whether an object constitutes drug paraphernalia, must consider specified facts surrounding the connection between the item and the individual arrested for possessing drug paraphernalia. A court or jury is required to consider a number of factors in determining whether an object is drug paraphernalia, such as proximity of the object in time and space to a controlled substance, the existence of residue of controlled substances on the object, and expert testimony concerning its use.¹⁹

**Federal Law Exemption**

Any person authorized by local, state, or federal law to manufacture, possess, or distribute drug paraphernalia is exempt from the federal drug paraphernalia statute.²⁰

### III. Effect of Proposed Changes:

**Section 1** titles the bill as the “Miami-Dade Infectious Disease Elimination Act (“IDEA”).”

**Section 2** amends s. 381.0038, F.S., to create a sterile needle and syringe exchange pilot program in Miami-Dade County.

The bill authorizes the University of Miami and its affiliates to establish a single sterile needle and syringe exchange pilot program in Miami-Dade County. The pilot program may operate at a fixed location or through a mobile health unit. The pilot program is designed to offer the free exchange of clean, unused needles and hypodermic syringes for used needles and hypodermic syringes as a means to prevent the transmission of HIV, AIDS, viral hepatitis, or other blood-borne diseases.

---

¹⁷ A first degree misdemeanor is punishable by up to 1-year imprisonment in a county jail, a fine of up to $1,000, or both. See ss. 775.082 and 775.083, F.S.
¹⁸ A third degree felony is punishable by up to 5 years in state prison, a fine not to exceed $5,000, or both. See ss. 775.082 and 775.083, F.S.
¹⁹ Section 893.146, F.S.
The bill provides that the pilot program must provide for maximum security of exchange sites and equipment, including:

- An accounting of the number of needles and syringes in use;
- The number of needles and syringes in storage;
- Safe disposal of returned needles; and
- Any other measure required to control the use and dispersal of needles and syringes.

The pilot program must operate a one-to-one exchange, whereby participants receive one sterile needle and syringe unit in exchange for each used one. In addition to the needle and syringe exchange, the pilot program must make available:

- Educational materials;
- HIV, AIDS, and viral hepatitis counseling and testing;
- Referral services to provide education regarding HIV, AIDS, viral hepatitis, and other blood-borne disease transmission; and
- Drug-abuse prevention and treatment counseling and referral services.

The bill specifies that the possession, distribution, or exchange of needles or syringes as part of the pilot program is not a violation of any law. However, a pilot program staff member, volunteer, or participant is not immune for criminal prosecution for:

- Possession of needles or syringes that are not a part of the pilot program; or
- Redistribution of needles or syringes in any form, if acting outside the pilot program.

The pilot program must collect data for annual and final reporting purposes, including information on:

- The number of participants served;
- The number of needles and syringes exchanged and distributed;
- The demographic profiles of the participants served;
- The number of participants entering drug counseling and treatment;
- The number of participants receiving testing for HIV, AIDS, viral hepatitis, or other blood-borne diseases, and
- Other data deemed necessary for the pilot program.

The bill specifies that personal identifying information may not be collected from a participant for any purpose.

State funds may not be used to operate the pilot program and the pilot program must be funded through grants and donations from private resources and funds.

The bill provides that the pilot program will expire July 1, 2020. The bill directs the OPPAGA to submit a report to the President of the Senate and the Speaker of the House of Representatives at least six months before the pilot program expires. The OPPAGA report must include:

- The data collection requirements established in the bill;
- The rates of HIV, AIDS, viral hepatitis, and other blood-borne diseases before the pilot program began and every subsequent year thereafter; and
- A recommendation on whether to continue the pilot program.
Section 3 creates an undesignated section of Florida law to provide a severability clause, providing that if any provision of this act or its application to any person or circumstances is held invalid, the invalidity does not affect other provisions or applications of the bill that can be given effect without the invalid provision or application, and to this end the provisions of the bill are severable.

Section 4 provides an effective date of July 1, 2016.

IV. Constitutional Issues:

A. Municipality/County Mandates Restrictions:

None.

B. Public Records/Open Meetings Issues:

None.

C. Trust Funds Restrictions:

None.

V. Fiscal Impact Statement:

A. Tax/Fee Issues:

None.

B. Private Sector Impact:

The University of Miami will be responsible for securing funding through grants and donations from private sources.

C. Government Sector Impact:

According to the Department of Health, the needle exchange site must obtain a Biomedical Waste Operating Permit as a sharps collection program in accordance with Chapter 64E-16, Florida Administrative Code, through the Department’s Miami-Dade Environmental Health office. The number of sites that would be participating in the program is unknown until funding is secured through grants and donations, so any potential fiscal impact on local government is not known. The assumption by the Department is that the number of collection sites would be small and the costs could be absorbed through existing resources.21

The OPPAGA will incur additional workload demands to submit the report required under the bill in 2020.

21 Florida Dep’t of Health, Senate Bill 1040 Analysis (Jan. 29, 2015) (on file with the Senate Committee on Health Policy).
The pilot program may reduce state and local government expenditures for the treatment of blood-borne diseases associated with intravenous drug use in Miami-Dade County. As one example, the state and local governments currently pay for medical expenditures through a number of programs for patients with AIDS, such as Medicaid, the AIDS Drug Assistance Program, and the AIDS Insurance Continuation Program. In 2010 dollars, the lifetime treatment of an HIV infection is $379,668.22

VI. Technical Deficiencies:

None.

VII. Related Issues:

The bill requires the pilot program to collect various data for the purpose of annual reports and the program’s final report, including “other data deemed necessary for the pilot program.” The bill does not provide guidance as to standards under which data may be deemed necessary or which entity may deem data to be necessary.

VIII. Statutes Affected:

This bill substantially amends section 381.0038 of the Florida Statutes.

IX. Additional Information:

A. Committee Substitute – Statement of Changes:

(Summarizing differences between the Committee Substitute and the prior version of the bill.)

None.

B. Amendments:

None.

This Senate Bill Analysis does not reflect the intent or official position of the bill’s introducer or the Florida Senate.