1 A bill to be entitled	
2 An act relating to contaminated sites; amending s.	
3 376.301, F.S.; defining the terms "background	
4 concentration" and "long-term natural attenuation";	
5 amending s. 376.30701, F.S.; requiring the Department	
6 of Environmental Protection to include protocols for	
7 the use of long-term natural attenuation where site	
8 conditions warrant; requiring specified interactive	
9 effects of contaminants to be considered as cleanup	
10 criteria; revising how cleanup target levels are	
11 applied where surface waters are exposed to	
12 contaminated groundwater; authorizing the use of	
13 relevant data and information when assessing cleanup	
14 target levels; providing that institutional controls	
15 are not required under certain circumstances if	
16 alternative cleanup target levels are used; amending	
17 s. 376.79, F.S.; defining the terms "background	
<pre>18 concentration" and "long-term natural attenuation";</pre>	
amending s. 376.81, F.S.; providing additional	
20 contamination cleanup criteria for brownfield sites	
and brownfield areas; amending ss. 196.1995, 287.0595,	
and 288.1175, F.S.; conforming cross-references;	
23 providing an effective date.	
24	
25 Be It Enacted by the Legislature of the State of Florida:	
26	
Page 1 of 25	

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

27	Section 1. Present subsections (4) through (22) of section
28	376.301, Florida Statutes, are redesignated as subsections (5)
29	through (23), respectively, present subsections (23) through
30	(48) of that section are redesignated as subsections (25)
31	through (50), respectively, and new subsections (4) and (24) are
32	added to that section, to read:
33	376.301 Definitions of terms used in ss. 376.30-376.317,
34	376.70, and 376.75.—When used in ss. 376.30-376.317, 376.70, and
35	376.75, unless the context clearly requires otherwise, the term:
36	(4) "Background concentration" means the concentration of
37	contaminants naturally occurring or resulting from anthropogenic
38	impacts unrelated to the discharge of pollutants or hazardous
39	substances at a contaminated site undergoing site
40	rehabilitation.
41	(24) "Long-term natural attenuation" means natural
42	attenuation approved by the department as a site rehabilitation
43	program task for a period of more than 5 years.
44	Section 2. Subsection (2) of section 376.30701, Florida
45	Statutes, is amended to read:
46	376.30701 Application of risk-based corrective action
47	principles to contaminated sites; applicability; legislative
48	intent; rulemaking authority; contamination cleanup criteria;
49	limitations; reopeners
50	(2) INTENT; RULEMAKING AUTHORITY; CLEANUP CRITERIAIt is
51	the intent of the Legislature to protect the health of all
52	people under actual circumstances of exposure. By July 1, 2004,
	Page 2 of 25

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

2016

53 the secretary of the department shall establish criteria by rule for the purpose of determining, on a site-specific basis, the 54 55 rehabilitation program tasks that comprise a site rehabilitation 56 program, including a voluntary site rehabilitation program, and 57 the level at which a rehabilitation program task and a site 58 rehabilitation program may be deemed completed. In establishing 59 these rules, the department shall apply, to the maximum extent feasible, a risk-based corrective action process to achieve 60 61 protection of human health and safety and the environment in a 62 cost-effective manner based on the principles set forth in this 63 subsection. These rules shall prescribe a phased risk-based 64 corrective action process that is iterative and that tailors 65 site rehabilitation tasks to site-specific conditions and risks. The department and the person responsible for site 66 67 rehabilitation are encouraged to establish decision points at 68 which risk management decisions will be made. The department 69 shall provide an early decision, when requested, regarding 70 applicable exposure factors and a risk management approach based 71 on the current and future land use at the site. These rules must 72 shall also include protocols for the use of natural attenuation, 73 including long-term natural attenuation where site conditions 74 warrant, the use of institutional and engineering controls, and 75 the issuance of "No Further Action" orders. The criteria for determining what constitutes a rehabilitation program task or 76 77 completion of a site rehabilitation program task or site 78 rehabilitation program, including a voluntary site

Page 3 of 25

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

79 rehabilitation program, must:

(a) Consider the current exposure and potential risk of
exposure to humans and the environment, including multiple
pathways of exposure. The physical, chemical, and biological
characteristics of each contaminant must be considered in order
to determine the feasibility of a risk-based corrective action
assessment.

86 Establish the point of compliance at the source of the (b) 87 contamination. However, the department may is authorized to 88 temporarily move the point of compliance to the boundary of the 89 property, or to the edge of the plume when the plume is within 90 the property boundary, while cleanup, including cleanup through natural attenuation processes in conjunction with appropriate 91 92 monitoring, is proceeding. The department may also is 93 authorized, pursuant to criteria provided in this section, to 94 temporarily extend the point of compliance beyond the property 95 boundary with appropriate monitoring, if such extension is 96 needed to facilitate natural attenuation or to address the 97 current conditions of the plume, provided human health, public 98 safety, and the environment are protected. When temporarily 99 extending the point of compliance beyond the property boundary, 100 it cannot be extended further than the lateral extent of the 101 plume, if known, at the time of execution of a cleanup agreement, if required, or the lateral extent of the plume as 102 103 defined at the time of site assessment. Temporary extension of 104 the point of compliance beyond the property boundary, as

#### Page 4 of 25

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

105 provided in this paragraph, must include actual notice by the person responsible for site rehabilitation to local governments 106 107 and the owners of any property into which the point of 108 compliance is allowed to extend and constructive notice to 109 residents and business tenants of the property into which the 110 point of compliance is allowed to extend. Persons receiving 111 notice pursuant to this paragraph shall have the opportunity to comment within 30 days after receipt of the notice. Additional 112 notice concerning the status of natural attenuation processes 113 114 shall be similarly provided to persons receiving notice pursuant 115 to this paragraph every 5 years.

116 (C) Ensure that the site-specific cleanup goal is that all contaminated sites being cleaned up pursuant to this section 117 118 ultimately achieve the applicable cleanup target levels provided 119 in this subsection. In the circumstances provided in this 120 subsection, and after constructive notice and opportunity to 121 comment within 30 days after receipt of the notice to local 122 government, owners of any property into which the point of 123 compliance is allowed to extend, and residents of any property into which the point of compliance is allowed to extend, the 124 125 department may allow concentrations of contaminants to 126 temporarily exceed the applicable cleanup target levels while 127 cleanup, including cleanup through natural attenuation processes in conjunction with appropriate monitoring, is proceeding, if 128 129 human health, public safety, and the environment are protected. 130 (d) Allow the use of institutional or engineering controls

## Page 5 of 25

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

2016

131 at contaminated sites being cleaned up pursuant to this section, where appropriate, to eliminate or control the potential 132 133 exposure to contaminants of humans or the environment. The use 134 of controls must be preapproved by the department and only after 135 constructive notice and opportunity to comment within 30 days 136 after receipt of notice is provided to local governments, owners 137 of any property into which the point of compliance is allowed to extend, and residents on any property into which the point of 138 compliance is allowed to extend. When institutional or 139 140 engineering controls are implemented to control exposure, the 141 removal of the controls must have prior department approval and 142 must be accompanied by the resumption of active cleanup, or other approved controls, unless cleanup target levels under this 143 144 section have been achieved.

(e) Consider the <u>interactive</u> additive effects of
contaminants, including additive, synergistic, and antagonistic
<u>effects</u>. The synergistic and antagonistic effects shall also be
considered when the scientific data become available.

149 (f) Take into consideration individual site 150 characteristics, which shall include, but not be limited to, the 151 current and projected use of the affected groundwater and 152 surface water in the vicinity of the site, current and projected 153 land uses of the area affected by the contamination, the exposed 154 population, the degree and extent of contamination, the rate of 155 contaminant migration, the apparent or potential rate of 156 contaminant degradation through natural attenuation processes,

Page 6 of 25

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

157 the location of the plume, and the potential for further 158 migration in relation to site property boundaries.

159 Apply state water quality standards as follows: (q) 160 1. Cleanup target levels for each contaminant found in 161 groundwater shall be the applicable state water quality 162 standards. Where such standards do not exist, the cleanup target 163 levels for groundwater shall be based on the minimum criteria 164 specified in department rule. The department shall apply the 165 following, as appropriate, in establishing the applicable 166 cleanup target levels: calculations using a lifetime cancer risk 167 level of 1.0E-6; a hazard index of 1 or less; the best 168 achievable detection limit; and nuisance, organoleptic, and 169 aesthetic considerations. However, the department may shall not 170 require site rehabilitation to achieve a cleanup target level 171 for any individual contaminant that is more stringent than the 172 site-specific, naturally occurring background concentration for 173 that contaminant.

174 2. Where surface waters are exposed to contaminated 175 groundwater, the cleanup target levels for the contaminants must 176 shall be based on the more protective of the groundwater or 177 surface water standards as established by department rule, 178 unless it has been demonstrated that the contaminants do not 179 cause or contribute to the exceedance of applicable surface 180 water quality criteria. In such circumstance, the point of 181 measuring compliance with the surface water standards shall be 182 in the groundwater immediately adjacent to the surface water

## Page 7 of 25

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

183 body.

Using risk-based corrective action principles, the 184 3. 185 department shall approve alternative cleanup target levels in 186 conjunction with institutional and engineering controls, if 187 needed, based upon an applicant's demonstration, using site-188 specific or other relevant data and information, risk assessment 189 modeling results, including results from probabilistic risk 190 assessment modeling, risk assessment studies, risk reduction 191 techniques, or a combination thereof, that human health, public 192 safety, and the environment are protected to the same degree as 193 provided in subparagraphs 1. and 2. Where a state water quality 194 standard is applicable, a deviation may not result in the 195 application of cleanup target levels more stringent than the 196 standard. In determining whether it is appropriate to establish 197 alternative cleanup target levels at a site, the department must 198 consider the effectiveness of source removal, if any, that has 199 been completed at the site and the practical likelihood of the 200 use of low yield or poor quality groundwater, the use of 201 groundwater near marine surface water bodies, the current and 202 projected use of the affected groundwater in the vicinity of the 203 site, or the use of groundwater in the immediate vicinity of the 204 contaminated area, where it has been demonstrated that the 205 groundwater contamination is not migrating away from such 206 localized source, provided human health, public safety, and the 207 environment are protected. Groundwater resource protection 208 remains the ultimate goal of cleanup, particularly in light of

## Page 8 of 25

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

209 the state's continued growth and consequent demands for drinking 210 water resources. The Legislature recognizes the need for a 211 protective yet flexible cleanup approach that risk-based 212 corrective action provides. Only where it is appropriate on a 213 site-specific basis, using the criteria in this paragraph and 214 careful evaluation by the department, shall proposed alternative 215 cleanup target levels be approved. If alternative cleanup target 216 levels are used, institutional controls are not required if: 217 The only cleanup target levels exceeded are the a. 218 groundwater cleanup target levels derived from nuisance, 219 organoleptic, or aesthetic considerations; 220 b. Concentrations of all contaminants meet the state water 221 quality standards or the minimum criteria, based on the 222 protection of human health, public safety, and the environment, 223 as provided in subparagraph 1.; 224 c. All of the groundwater cleanup target levels 225 established pursuant to subparagraph 1. are met at the property 226 boundary; 227 d. The person responsible for site rehabilitation has 228 demonstrated that the contaminants will not migrate beyond the 229 property boundary at concentrations that exceed the groundwater 230 cleanup target levels established pursuant to subparagraph 1.; 231 The property has access to and is using an offsite e. 232 water supply, and an unplugged private well is not used for 233 domestic purposes; and 234 The real property owner does not object to the "No f.

Page 9 of 25

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

## 235 <u>Further Action" proposal to the department or the local</u> 236 pollution control program.

Provide for the department to issue a "No Further 237 (h) Action" order, with conditions, including, but not limited to, 238 239 the use of institutional or engineering controls where 240 appropriate, when alternative cleanup target levels established 241 pursuant to subparagraph (g)3. have been achieved or when the person responsible for site rehabilitation can demonstrate that 242 243 the cleanup target level is unachievable with the use of 244 available technologies. Before Prior to issuing such an order, 245 the department shall consider the feasibility of an alternative 246 site rehabilitation technology at the contaminated site.

247 Establish appropriate cleanup target levels for soils. (i) Although there are existing state water quality standards, there 248 249 are no existing state soil quality standards. The Legislature 250 does not intend, through the adoption of this section, to create 251 such soil quality standards. The specific rulemaking authority granted pursuant to this section merely authorizes the 252 253 department to establish appropriate soil cleanup target levels. 254 These soil cleanup target levels shall be applicable at sites 255 only after a determination as to legal responsibility for site 256 rehabilitation has been made pursuant to other provisions of 257 this chapter or chapter 403.

In establishing soil cleanup target levels for human
 exposure to each contaminant found in soils from the land
 surface to 2 feet below land surface, the department shall apply

## Page 10 of 25

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words <u>underlined</u> are additions.

261 the following, as appropriate: calculations using a lifetime cancer risk level of 1.0E-6; a hazard index of 1 or less; and 262 263 the best achievable detection limit. However, the department may 264 shall not require site rehabilitation to achieve a cleanup 265 target level for an individual contaminant that is more 266 stringent than the site-specific, naturally occurring background 267 concentration for that contaminant. Institutional controls or 268 other methods shall be used to prevent human exposure to 269 contaminated soils more than 2 feet below the land surface. Any 270 removal of such institutional controls shall require such 271 contaminated soils to be remediated.

272 2. Leachability-based soil cleanup target levels shall be 273 based on protection of the groundwater cleanup target levels or 274 the alternate cleanup target levels for groundwater established 275 pursuant to this paragraph, as appropriate. Source removal and 276 other cost-effective alternatives that are technologically 277 feasible shall be considered in achieving the leachability soil cleanup target levels established by the department. The 278 279 leachability goals are shall not be applicable if the department 280 determines, based upon individual site characteristics, and in 281 conjunction with institutional and engineering controls, if 282 needed, that contaminants will not leach into the groundwater at 283 levels that pose a threat to human health, public safety, and 284 the environment.

285 3. Using risk-based corrective action principles, the286 department shall approve alternative cleanup target levels in

## Page 11 of 25

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

295

287 conjunction with institutional and engineering controls, if needed, based upon an applicant's demonstration, using site-288 289 specific or other relevant data and information, risk assessment 290 modeling results, including results from probabilistic risk 291 assessment modeling, risk assessment studies, risk reduction 292 techniques, or a combination thereof, that human health, public 293 safety, and the environment are protected to the same degree as 294 provided in subparagraphs 1. and 2.

296 The department shall require source removal as a risk reduction 297 measure if warranted and cost-effective. Once source removal at 298 a site is complete, the department shall reevaluate the site to 299 determine the degree of active cleanup needed to continue. 300 Further, the department shall determine if the reevaluated site 301 qualifies for monitoring only or if no further action is 302 required to rehabilitate the site. If additional site 303 rehabilitation is necessary to reach "No Further Action" status, 304 the department is encouraged to utilize natural attenuation 305 monitoring, including long-term natural attenuation and 306 monitoring, where site conditions warrant.

307 Section 3. Present subsections (3) through (11) of section 308 376.79, Florida Statutes, are redesignated as subsections (4) 309 through (12), respectively, present subsections (12) through 310 (19) are redesignated as subsections (14) through (21), 311 respectively, and new subsections (3) and (13) are added to that 312 section, to read:

## Page 12 of 25

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

2016

313	376.79 Definitions relating to Brownfields Redevelopment
314	ActAs used in ss. 376.77-376.85, the term:
315	(3) "Background concentration" means the concentration of
316	contaminants naturally occurring or resulting from anthropogenic
317	impacts unrelated to the discharge of pollutants or hazardous
318	substances at a contaminated site undergoing site
319	rehabilitation.
320	(13) "Long-term natural attenuation" means natural
321	attenuation approved by the department as a site rehabilitation
322	program task for a period of more than 5 years.
323	Section 4. Section 376.81, Florida Statutes, is amended to
324	read:
325	376.81 Brownfield site and brownfield areas contamination
326	cleanup criteria
327	(1) It is the intent of the Legislature to protect the
328	health of all people under actual circumstances of exposure. By
329	July 1, 2001, the secretary of the department shall establish
330	criteria by rule for the purpose of determining, on a site-
331	specific basis, the rehabilitation program tasks that comprise a
332	site rehabilitation program and the level at which a
333	rehabilitation program task and a site rehabilitation program
334	may be deemed completed. In establishing the rule, the
335	department shall apply, to the maximum extent feasible, a risk-
336	based corrective action process to achieve protection of human
337	health and safety and the environment in a cost-effective manner
338	based on the principles set forth in this subsection. The rule
	Page 13 of 25

# Page 13 of 25

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

339 must prescribe a phased risk-based corrective action process that is iterative and that tailors site rehabilitation tasks to 340 341 site-specific conditions and risks. The department and the 342 person responsible for brownfield site rehabilitation are 343 encouraged to establish decision points at which risk management 344 decisions will be made. The department shall provide an early 345 decision, when requested, regarding applicable exposure factors 346 and a risk management approach based on the current and future 347 land use at the site. The rule must shall also include protocols 348 for the use of natural attenuation, including long-term natural 349 attenuation where site conditions warrant, the use of 350 institutional and engineering controls, and the issuance of "no further action" letters. The criteria for determining what 351 constitutes a rehabilitation program task or completion of a 352 353 site rehabilitation program task or site rehabilitation program 354 must:

(a) Consider the current exposure and potential risk of
exposure to humans and the environment, including multiple
pathways of exposure. The physical, chemical, and biological
characteristics of each contaminant must be considered in order
to determine the feasibility of risk-based corrective action
assessment.

(b) Establish the point of compliance at the source of the
contamination. However, the department <u>may</u> is authorized to
temporarily move the point of compliance to the boundary of the
property, or to the edge of the plume when the plume is within

## Page 14 of 25

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

365 the property boundary, while cleanup, including cleanup through natural attenuation processes in conjunction with appropriate 366 367 monitoring, is proceeding. The department may also is 368 authorized, pursuant to criteria provided for in this section, 369 to temporarily extend the point of compliance beyond the 370 property boundary with appropriate monitoring, if such extension 371 is needed to facilitate natural attenuation or to address the 372 current conditions of the plume, provided human health, public 373 safety, and the environment are protected. When temporarily 374 extending the point of compliance beyond the property boundary, 375 it cannot be extended further than the lateral extent of the 376 plume at the time of execution of the brownfield site 377 rehabilitation agreement, if known, or the lateral extent of the 378 plume as defined at the time of site assessment. Temporary 379 extension of the point of compliance beyond the property 380 boundary, as provided in this paragraph, must include actual 381 notice by the person responsible for brownfield site 382 rehabilitation to local governments and the owners of any 383 property into which the point of compliance is allowed to extend 384 and constructive notice to residents and business tenants of the 385 property into which the point of compliance is allowed to 386 extend. Persons receiving notice pursuant to this paragraph 387 shall have the opportunity to comment within 30 days of receipt 388 of the notice.

389 (c) Ensure that the site-specific cleanup goal is that all390 contaminated brownfield sites and brownfield areas ultimately

## Page 15 of 25

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

391 achieve the applicable cleanup target levels provided in this section. In the circumstances provided below, and after 392 393 constructive notice and opportunity to comment within 30 days 394 from receipt of the notice to local government, to owners of any 395 property into which the point of compliance is allowed to 396 extend, and to residents on any property into which the point of compliance is allowed to extend, the department may allow 397 398 concentrations of contaminants to temporarily exceed the 399 applicable cleanup target levels while cleanup, including 400 cleanup through natural attenuation processes in conjunction 401 with appropriate monitoring, is proceeding, if human health, 402 public safety, and the environment are protected.

403 Allow brownfield site and brownfield area (d) 404 rehabilitation programs to include the use of institutional or 405 engineering controls, where appropriate, to eliminate or control 406 the potential exposure to contaminants of humans or the 407 environment. The use of controls must be preapproved by the 408 department and only after constructive notice and opportunity to 409 comment within 30 days from receipt of notice is provided to local governments, to owners of any property into which the 410 411 point of compliance is allowed to extend, and to residents on 412 any property into which the point of compliance is allowed to 413 extend. When institutional or engineering controls are 414 implemented to control exposure, the removal of the controls 415 must have prior department approval and must be accompanied by 416 the resumption of active cleanup, or other approved controls,

## Page 16 of 25

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

417 unless cleanup target levels under this section have been 418 achieved.

(e) Consider the <u>interactive</u> additive effects of
contaminants, including additive, synergistic, and antagonistic
<u>effects</u>. The synergistic and antagonistic effects shall also be
considered when the scientific data become available.

Take into consideration individual site 423 (f) 424 characteristics, which shall include, but not be limited to, the current and projected use of the affected groundwater and 425 426 surface water in the vicinity of the site, current and projected 427 land uses of the area affected by the contamination, the exposed 428 population, the degree and extent of contamination, the rate of 429 contaminant migration, the apparent or potential rate of contaminant degradation through natural attenuation processes, 430 431 the location of the plume, and the potential for further 432 migration in relation to site property boundaries.

433

(g) Apply state water quality standards as follows:

434 Cleanup target levels for each contaminant found in 1. 435 groundwater shall be the applicable state water quality standards. Where such standards do not exist, the cleanup target 436 437 levels for groundwater shall be based on the minimum criteria 438 specified in department rule. The department shall apply the 439 following, as appropriate, in establishing the applicable 440 cleanup target levels: calculations using a lifetime cancer risk 441 level of 1.0E-6; a hazard index of 1 or less; the best 442 achievable detection limit; and nuisance, organoleptic, and

## Page 17 of 25

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

443 aesthetic considerations. However, the department <u>may shall</u> not 444 require site rehabilitation to achieve a cleanup target level 445 for any individual contaminant which is more stringent than the 446 site-specific<del>, naturally occurring</del> background concentration for 447 that contaminant.

448 2. Where surface waters are exposed to contaminated 449 groundwater, the cleanup target levels for the contaminants must 450 shall be based on the more protective of the groundwater or 451 surface water standards as established by department rule, 452 unless it has been demonstrated that the contaminants do not 453 cause or contribute to the exceedance of applicable surface 454 water quality criteria. In such circumstances, the point of 455 measuring compliance with the surface water standards shall be 456 in the groundwater immediately adjacent to the surface water 457 body.

458 3. Using risk-based corrective action principles, the 459 department shall approve alternative cleanup target levels in 460 conjunction with institutional and engineering controls, if 461 needed, based upon an applicant's demonstration, using site-462 specific or other relevant data and information, risk assessment modeling results, including results from probabilistic risk 463 464 assessment modeling, risk assessment studies, risk reduction 465 techniques, or a combination thereof, that human health, public 466 safety, and the environment are protected to the same degree as 467 provided in subparagraphs 1. and 2. Where a state water quality 468 standard is applicable, a deviation may not result in the

## Page 18 of 25

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

469 application of cleanup target levels more stringent than the standard. In determining whether it is appropriate to establish 470 471 alternative cleanup target levels at a site, the department must 472 consider the effectiveness of source removal, if any, which has 473 been completed at the site and the practical likelihood of the 474 use of low yield or poor quality groundwater, the use of 475 groundwater near marine surface water bodies, the current and 476 projected use of the affected groundwater in the vicinity of the site, or the use of groundwater in the immediate vicinity of the 477 478 contaminated area, where it has been demonstrated that the 479 groundwater contamination is not migrating away from such 480 localized source, provided human health, public safety, and the 481 environment are protected. When using alternative cleanup target 482 levels at a brownfield site, institutional controls are shall 483 not be required if:

a. The only cleanup target levels exceeded are the
groundwater cleanup target levels derived from nuisance,
organoleptic, or aesthetic considerations;

b. Concentrations of all contaminants meet the state water
quality standards or <u>the</u> minimum criteria, based on <u>the</u>
protection of human health, provided in subparagraph 1.;

490 c. All of the groundwater cleanup target levels
491 established pursuant to subparagraph 1. are met at the property
492 boundary;

d. The person responsible for brownfield siterehabilitation has demonstrated that the contaminants will not

## Page 19 of 25

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

495 migrate beyond the property boundary at concentrations exceeding 496 the groundwater cleanup target levels established pursuant to 497 subparagraph 1.;

e. The property has access to and is using an offsite
water supply and no unplugged private wells are used for
domestic purposes; and

501 f. The real property owner provides written acceptance of 502 the "no further action" proposal to the department or the local 503 pollution control program.

504 Provide for the department to issue a "no further (h) 505 action order," with conditions, including, but not limited to, 506 the use of institutional or engineering controls where 507 appropriate, when alternative cleanup target levels established 508 pursuant to subparagraph (g)3. have been achieved, or when the 509 person responsible for brownfield site rehabilitation can 510 demonstrate that the cleanup target level is unachievable within 511 available technologies. Before Prior to issuing such an order, 512 the department shall consider the feasibility of an alternative 513 site rehabilitation technology at in the brownfield site area.

Establish appropriate cleanup target levels for soils. 514 (i) 515 1. In establishing soil cleanup target levels for human 516 exposure to each contaminant found in soils from the land 517 surface to 2 feet below land surface, the department shall apply the following, as appropriate: calculations using a lifetime 518 519 cancer risk level of 1.0E-6; a hazard index of 1 or less; and 520 the best achievable detection limit. However, the department may

## Page 20 of 25

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

521 shall not require site rehabilitation to achieve a cleanup target level for an individual contaminant which is more 522 523 stringent than the site-specific, naturally occurring background concentration for that contaminant. Institutional controls or 524 525 other methods shall be used to prevent human exposure to contaminated soils more than 2 feet below the land surface. Any 526 527 removal of such institutional controls shall require such 528 contaminated soils to be remediated.

529 Leachability-based soil cleanup target levels shall be 2. 530 based on protection of the groundwater cleanup target levels or 531 the alternate cleanup target levels for groundwater established 532 pursuant to this paragraph, as appropriate. Source removal and 533 other cost-effective alternatives that are technologically feasible shall be considered in achieving the leachability soil 534 535 cleanup target levels established by the department. The 536 leachability goals are shall not be applicable if the department 537 determines, based upon individual site characteristics, and in conjunction with institutional and engineering controls, if 538 539 needed, that contaminants will not leach into the groundwater at 540 levels that pose a threat to human health, public safety, and 541 the environment.

3. Using risk-based corrective action principles, the department shall approve alternative cleanup target levels in conjunction with institutional and engineering controls, if needed, based upon an applicant's demonstration, using sitespecific or other relevant data and information, risk assessment

Page 21 of 25

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

547 modeling results, <u>including results from probabilistic risk</u> 548 <u>assessment modeling</u>, risk assessment studies, risk reduction 549 techniques, or a combination thereof, that human health, public 550 safety, and the environment are protected to the same degree as 551 provided in subparagraphs 1. and 2.

552 The department shall require source removal, as a risk (2)553 reduction measure, if warranted and cost-effective. Once source 554 removal at a site is complete, the department shall reevaluate 555 the site to determine the degree of active cleanup needed to 556 continue. Further, the department shall determine if the 557 reevaluated site qualifies for monitoring only or if no further 558 action is required to rehabilitate the site. If additional site 559 rehabilitation is necessary to reach "no further action" status, 560 the department is encouraged to utilize natural attenuation 561 monitoring, including long-term natural attenuation and 562 monitoring, where site conditions warrant.

(3) The cleanup criteria described in this section govern only site rehabilitation activities occurring at the contaminated site. Removal of contaminated media from a site for offsite relocation or treatment must be in accordance with all applicable federal, state, and local laws and regulations.

568 Section 5. Subsection (3) of section 196.1995, Florida 569 Statutes, is amended to read:

570 196.1995 Economic development ad valorem tax exemption.571 (3) The board of county commissioners or the governing
572 authority of the municipality that calls a referendum within its

## Page 22 of 25

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

573 total jurisdiction to determine whether its respective 574 jurisdiction may grant economic development ad valorem tax 575 exemptions may vote to limit the effect of the referendum to 576 authority to grant economic development tax exemptions for new 577 businesses and expansions of existing businesses located in an 578 enterprise zone or a brownfield area, as defined in s. 376.79(5) 579 s. 376.79(4). If an area nominated to be an enterprise zone 580 pursuant to s. 290.0055 has not yet been designated pursuant to 581 s. 290.0065, the board of county commissioners or the governing 582 authority of the municipality may call such referendum prior to 583 such designation; however, the authority to grant economic 584 development ad valorem tax exemptions does not apply until such 585 area is designated pursuant to s. 290.0065. The ballot question 586 in such referendum shall be in substantially the following form 587 and shall be used in lieu of the ballot question prescribed in 588 subsection (2):

590 Shall the board of county commissioners of this county (or the 591 governing authority of this municipality, or both) be authorized 592 to grant, pursuant to s. 3, Art. VII of the State Constitution, 593 property tax exemptions for new businesses and expansions of 594 existing businesses that are located in an enterprise zone or a 595 brownfield area and that are expected to create new, full-time 596 jobs in the county (or municipality, or both)? 597

598

589

....Yes-For authority to grant exemptions.

Page 23 of 25

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

599 ....No-Against authority to grant exemptions. Section 6. Paragraph (a) of subsection (1) of section 600 287.0595, Florida Statutes, is amended to read: 601 602 287.0595 Pollution response action contracts; department 603 rules.-604 (1) The Department of Environmental Protection shall 605 establish, by adopting administrative rules as provided in 606 chapter 120: 607 Procedures for determining the qualifications of (a) 608 responsible potential vendors prior to advertisement for and 609 receipt of bids, proposals, or replies for pollution response 610 action contracts, including procedures for the rejection of unqualified vendors. Response actions are those activities 611 described in s. 376.301(39) s. 376.301(37). 612 613 Section 7. Paragraph (c) of subsection (5) of section 614 288.1175, Florida Statutes, is amended to read: 615 288.1175 Agriculture education and promotion facility.-616 The Department of Agriculture and Consumer Services (5) 617 shall competitively evaluate applications for funding of an agriculture education and promotion facility. If the number of 618 619 applicants exceeds three, the Department of Agriculture and 620 Consumer Services shall rank the applications based upon 621 criteria developed by the Department of Agriculture and Consumer 622 Services, with priority given in descending order to the 623 following items: 624 The location of the facility in a brownfield site as (C)

Page 24 of 25

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

2016

625	defined in <u>s. 376.79(4)</u> <del>s. 376.79(3)</del> , a rural enterprise zone as
626	defined in s. 290.004, an agriculturally depressed area as
627	defined in s. 570.74, or a county that has lost its agricultural
628	land to environmental restoration projects.
629	Section 8. This act shall take effect July 1, 2016.

Page 25 of 25

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.