Florida Senate - 2016 Bill No. CS/CS/CS/HB 491, 1st Eng.

	291762
--	--------

LEGISLATIVE ACTION

Senate		House
	•	
	•	
Floor: 1/R/2R	•	Floor: SENAT/RC
03/11/2016 05:05 PM	•	03/11/2016 10:38 AM
	•	

Senator Hays moved the following:

Senate Amendment (with directory and title amendments)

Between lines 173 and 174

insert:

1 2 3

4

5 6

7

8

9

11

(7) The commission shall determine the reasonableness of rate case expenses and shall disallow all rate case expenses determined to be unreasonable. No rate case expense determined to be unreasonable shall be paid by a consumer.

(a) In determining the reasonable level of rate case 10 expense, the commission shall consider the following criteria as a basis for disallowing such rate case expense when the criteria

Florida Senate - 2016 Bill No. CS/CS/CS/HB 491, 1st Eng.

291762

10	and enceifically vaiced in switting by the Dublic Councel on
12	are specifically raised in writing by the Public Counsel, an
13	intervenor, or commission staff:
14	1. The extent to which a utility has utilized or failed to
15	utilize the provisions of paragraph (4)(a) or paragraph (4)(b).
16	2. Whether the customers have received a material benefit
17	as a result of the rate case.
18	3. The amount of time between each rate case.
19	4. The extent to which, at the time of the initial filing,
20	the utility filed complete documentation as required by
21	commission rule, including, but not limited to, minimum filing
22	requirements.
23	5. Whether the utility's rate case filing seeks
24	preferential benefits to shareholders, owners, or nonregulated
25	affiliates.
26	6. The proportion of any rate increase approved by the
27	commission as compared to the amount initially requested by the
28	utility.
29	7. The amount of overall rate case expense incurred and
30	requested as compared to the amount of rate increase approved by
31	the commission.
32	8. The utility management's culpability in causing any
33	deficiencies in the quality of service provided by the utility.
34	<u>9.</u> and Such other criteria as <u>the commission</u> it may
35	establish by rule.
36	(b) If any of the criteria specified under paragraph (a)
37	are specifically contested in an evidentiary proceeding, the
38	commission shall make specific findings of fact, supported by
39	competent, substantial evidence, for each criterion and the
40	extent to which each criterion benefits the customer. The

11-05399-16

Florida Senate - 2016 Bill No. CS/CS/CS/HB 491, 1st Eng.

291762

41	commission may allocate the benefits between the customers and
42	the shareholders, owners, or affiliates accordingly and may
43	disallow rate case expense in accordance with the specific
44	findings of fact.
45	
46	===== DIRECTORY CLAUSE AMENDMENT ======
47	And the directory clause is amended as follows:
48	Delete line 68
49	and insert:
50	(4) and subsection (7) are amended, subsection (8) is renumbered
51	as subsection (10),
52	
53	======================================
54	And the title is amended as follows:
55	Delete line 21
56	and insert:
57	specified expense items; requiring the commission to
58	consider certain criteria in determining the
59	reasonableness of rate case expenses, when
60	specifically raised in writing by certain parties;
61	specifying standards for evidentiary proceedings
62	involving challenges to such criteria; authorizing the
63	commission to allocate benefits between the customers
64	and shareholders, owners, or affiliates and to
65	disallow rate case expense under certain
66	circumstances; specifying the time period