

Amendment No.

CHAMBER ACTION

Senate

House

.

Representative Moskowitz offered the following:

Amendment (with schedule, ballot and title amendments)

Remove lines 75-83 and insert:

(c) The name of a district court of appeal judge may not appear on the ballot for retention if, by the end of his or her current term of office, the judge will have served in that office for eight consecutive years. A judge who is ineligible for retention under

SCHEDULE AMENDMENT

Remove lines 90-97 and insert:

872591

Approved For Filing: 3/24/2017 3:16:43 PM

Amendment No.

14 Applicability of limitations on the terms of judges.—The
 15 amendment to Section 10 of Article V takes effect on January 9,
 16 2019, and applies to each district court judge in office on that
 17 date and to each district court judge who assumes office
 18 thereafter. When determining whether a district court judge in
 19 office on January 9, 2019, may appear on the ballot for
 20 retention, time served by the district court judge in that
 21 office

22
 23 -----
 24 **B A L L O T A M E N D M E N T**

25 Remove lines 107-113 and insert:

26 TERM LIMITS FOR JUDGES.—Proposing an amendment to the State
 27 Constitution to prohibit the name of a district court of appeal
 28 judge from appearing on a ballot for retention if he or she has
 29 served more than 8 consecutive years in the same office and
 30 prohibit reappointment of a judge for one year after leaving
 31 office. The term limit applies to judges in office on January
 32

33 -----
 34 **T I T L E A M E N D M E N T**

35 Remove line 5 and insert:

36 limits for judges of the

872591

Approved For Filing: 3/24/2017 3:16:43 PM